

Review

Prokaryotic toxin-antitoxin systems — the role in bacterial physiology and application in molecular biology

Michal Bukowski, Anna Rojowska and Benedykt Wladyka[⊠]

Faculty of Biochemistry, Biophysics and Biotechnology, Jagiellonian University, Kraków, Poland

Bacteria have developed multiple complex mechanisms ensuring an adequate response to environmental changes. In this context, bacterial cell division and growth are subject to strict control to ensure metabolic balance and cell survival. A plethora of studies cast light on toxinantitoxin (TA) systems as metabolism regulators acting in response to environmental stress conditions. Many of those studies suggest direct relations between the TA systems and the pathogenic potential or antibiotic resistance of relevant bacteria. Other studies point out that TA systems play a significant role in ensuring stability of mobile genetic material. The evolutionary origin and relations between various TA systems are still a subject of a debate. The impact of toxin-antitoxin systems on bacteria physiology prompted their application in molecular biology as tools allowing cloning of some hard-to-maintain genes, plasmid maintenance and production of recombinant proteins.

Keywords: antibiotic resistance, bacteria physiology, environmental stress conditions, toxin-antitoxin systems

Received: 16 March, 2010; revised: 24 January, 2011; accepted: 08 March, 2011; available on-line: 11 March, 2011

INTRODUCTION

Toxin-antitoxin systems emerged in research in mid 80's. A detailed insight into their functions and mechanisms of action has been gained in the last two decades and brought several interesting conclusions as to the importance of such systems for bacterial physiology. The term "toxin-antitoxin system", usually abbreviated as "TA system", comprises a functional element consisting, in most cases, of a biologically active protein molecule and a corresponding inhibitor, whose nature and inhibitory mechanism depend on the system's class affiliation. Components of such systems are encoded within policistronic operons, often with partially overlapping open reading frames. The systems are widespread among Bacteria as well as Archaea (Mittenhuber, 1999; Gerdes, 2000; Pandey & Gerdes, 2005; Makarova et al., 2009) and evolved to carry out diverse functions. However, their common feature is an enzymatic activity detrimental for the cell metabolism. Such toxic activity has been demonstrated to switch bacterial cells over to a dormant state, leading to cell death during prolonged exposure. In most cases various stress stimuli are responsible for TA system activation. The signalling pathway in such instances is often related to other stress-induced response pathways. Moreover, it is well documented that in some cases the activity of TA systems stabilizes mobile genetics elements, therefore comprising an important mechanism of plasmids maintenance. In the light of the increasing multi-drug resistance among virulent strains, reports on the potential relation between TA systems and modulation of pathogen-host interactions seem to be of utmost importance.

CLASSIFICATION OF TOXIN-ANTITOXIN SYSTEMS

The biological activity of a toxin comprising a component of a TA systems is usually (but not always) that of an endoribonuclease. Bioinformatic analysis of multiple available sequences of bacterial genetic elements points to multiple novel, putative TA *loci* and suggests that many of known TA systems, bacterial as well as archaeal, are evolutionarily related (Anantharaman & Aravind, 2003; Haves & Sauer, 2003; Gerdes et al., 2005; Sevin & Barloy-Hubler, 2007; Makarova et al., 2009; Weaver et al., 2009; Arbing et al., 2010). The classification of TA systems is based on the mechanism of inhibition of the toxin as well as on operon autoregulatory functions. Initially two classes of TA systems were identified (Gerdes & Wagner, 2007), but subsequent discoveries extended the classification to three classes (Blower et al., 2009). Recent studies suggest the existence of yet another type, namely a three-component TA system (Hallez et al., 2010). As immediately visible from the above discussion the field is in a constant and dynamic growth and one may expect that many interesting findings are likely to emerge in the following years.

Class I includes systems in which the antitoxin is an antisense RNA forming duplexes with the toxin mRNA. This leads to inhibition of translation in a process known as RNA interference. Examples of such systems are chromosomally located operons found in Escherichia coli, namely tisAB (Vogel et al., 2004) and symER (Kawano et al., 2007), as well as plasmid loci parB (Gerdes et al., 1986) of E. coli and par of Enterococcus faecalis (Greenfield et al., 2000; Weaver et al., 2009) and a homologous plasmid operon of Staphylococcus aureus (Jensen et al., 2010). Among the mentioned systems toxins have multiple different roles. For example the SymE toxin is an mRNA interferase encoded in the symER operon. The toxin binds ribosomes to exert its activity (Kawano et al., 2007). The TisB toxin, which is encoded in the tisAB operon (Vogel et al., 2004) decreases the protonmotoric force across the bacterial cell membrane and cause subsequent drop in ATP production, which leads

Abbreviations: TA system, toxin-antitoxin system; ppGpp, 3/5'-guanosine bisphosphate; NMR, nuclear magnetic resonance; SPP system, single protein production system

[™]e-mail: wladykab@interia.pl

to metabolic dormancy (Unoson & Wagner, 2008). Hok toxin, encoded in the *parB* operon, irreversibly damages the cell membrane (Gerdes *et al.*, 1986). In the latter case the regulation of the toxin level is indirect. RNA interference suppresses expression of the gene *mok*, which is a regulator of *hok* gene transcription (Thisted & Gerdes, 1992).

Class II encompasses a wide range of TA systems. Antitoxins of this class are proteins. The biological activities exhibited by the toxins include transcription inhibition by targeting gyrase function and interference with translation through an mRNA interferase activity, which may or may not rely on ribosome binding. The endoribonucleolytic activity of mRNA interferases is often sequence specific. Table 1 gives a short overview of the class II TA systems and their characteristics.

Class III comprises a single member only. This system is encoded in the *toxIN* operon of *Erwinia carotonovora*, a plant pathogen. In this case inhibition of ToxN toxin activity is driven by RNA molecules directly interacting with the toxin molecules (Blower *et al.*, 2009; Fineran *et al.*, 2009).

RELATIONS AND STRUCTURAL SIMILARITIES AMONG CLASS II TA SYSTEMS

The evolutionary relationship among class II TA systems is a subject of an open debate. Attention is mainly focused on toxins since there is a substantial sequence and structural variety among the antitoxins. Ten TA families of class II have been described so far (Pandey & Gerdes, 2005; Jorgensen *et al.*, 2009; Van Melderen & Saavedra De Bast, 2009) and for three of them, *relBE*, *parDE* and *higBA*, a phylogenetic relationship based on sequence similarities has been proposed (Anantharaman & Aravind, 2003; Tsilibaris *et al.*, 2007). Strikingly, the toxin of the *parDE* system is a gyrase inhibitor in contrast to the toxins of the *relBE* and higBA systems, which are mRNA interferases. A broader analysis of this issue leads to other interesting conclusions. There is no evidence for an evolutionary relation between the *ccdAB* and *parDE* systems (Anantharaman & Aravind, 2003) although the toxin of the *ccdAB* system is also a gyrase inhibitor. However, there is a significant structural similarity between the toxins of the *ccdAB* and *kis/kid (parD)* systems (Diago-Navarro *et al.*, 2010), which, similarly to the *parDE* and *relBE* or *higBA* systems, are a gyrase inhibitor and an mRNA interferase, respectively. Other reports point to a structural similarity among the toxins of the *ygiUT (mqsRA)*, *relBE* and *yefM-yoeB* systems as well as RNase Sa of *Streptomyces aureofaciens* (Brown *et al.*, 2009).

Not only among RelE homologues is a similarity with RNase Sa noticeable. Toxins of the *ccdAB* and *kis/kid* or mazEF (chpAK) systems are also structurally similar. This similarity is related to the presence of a β -sheet core in these molecules (Fig. 1). However, this β -sheet core structure is most likely related to the ability to form dimers (Miller, 1989) rather than reflects evolutionary or functional relationships. Structural analysis of mRNA interferases and comparative studies allow the deduction of the mechanism of their endoribonucleolytic activity (Agarwal et al., 2009; Brown et al., 2009; Diago-Navarro et al., 2010). Tracing evolutionary relations among the TA systems is difficult because of the fast specialisation of TA system components (Arbing et al., 2010). It has been reported that the toxin of the phd/doc system is similar to a virulence factor toxic to eukaryotic host cells (Arbing et al., 2010). Another example is the sequence similarity of toxins of the symER and phd/doc systems to antitoxins of other TA systems - yefM-yeeB (Arbing et al., 2010) and mazEF (Kawano et al., 2007), respectively.

REGULATION OF CLASS II TA SYSTEM ACTIVITY

In operons of class II TA systems an antitoxin gene is usually, but not always, located upstream a gene for a toxin. The order is reversed for example in the *higBA*,



Figure 1. Structural similarities among toxins belonging to different families

(A) ccdBA and mazEF (Diago-Navarro et al., 2010); (B) relBE and RNase Sa of Streptomyces aureofaciens (Brown et al., 2009). In fact, β-sheet core (red) structure is similar among all these toxins. Models prepared with PyMOL ver. 1.1r2pre (DeLano WL, 2002). Structures' PDB IDs — CcdB: 1VUB; Kid: 1M1F; MazF: 1UB4; RelE: 2KC8; YoeB: 2A6Q; MqsR: 3HI2; RNase Sa: 1RSN.

ccdAB CcdB CcdA parDE ParE ParD bc phd/doc Phd Doc bc phd/doc Phd Doc kis/kid (parD) Kid Kis FemI kis/kid (parD) Kid Kis FemI pemIK PemK PemI Kis mazEF-mt1 mazF-mt1 MazF MazF-mt1 mazEF_sa MazF MazF-mt1 MazF-s mazEF_sa MazF MazF-s MazF-s pemIK_sa MazF MazF-s MazF-s pemIK_sa MazF MazF-s MazF-s pemIK_sa MazF MazF-s MazF-s pemIK_sa MazF MazF MazF-s pemIK_sa PemK_sa MazF MazF-s pemIK_sa PemK_sa MazF MazF pemIK_sa PemK_sa PemI_sa MazF pemIK_sa PemK_sa PemI_sa MazF vefNo YegU<	Family	Operon	Toxin	Antitoxin	Source organism/location	Activity	Mechanism of toxicity
parDE ParE ParD Escherichia coli/plasmid* phd/doc Phd Doc prophage P15 mazEF (chpAK) MazF (ChpK) MazE (chpAK) Escherichia coli/plasmid* kis/kid (parD) Kid Kis Escherichia coli/plasmid* mazEF mazEF-mut1 MazF-mut1 Escherichia coli/plasmid* chpBlk ChpBK ChpBl Escherichia coli/plasmid* chpBlk ChpBK ChpBl Escherichia coli/plasmid* mazEF-mut1 mazF-mut1 MazF-mut1 MazF-mut1 Escherichia coli/chromosom* mazEF mazEF_s MazF-mut1 MazF-mut1 MazF-mut1 Escherichia coli/chromosom* mazEF mazEF-mut1 MazF-mut1 MazF-mut1 MazF-mut1 Escherichia coli/chromosom* mazEF mazF-mut1 MazF-mut1 MazF-mut1 MazF-mut1 Escherichia coli/chromosom* mazEF mazF-mut1 MazF-mut1 MazF-mut1 MazF-mut1 Escherichia coli/chromosom* mazEF mazF-mut1 MazF MazF-mut1 MazF Escherichia	ccdAB	ccdAB	CcdB	CcdA	Escherichia coli/plasmid ¹	gyrase inhibitor ²	transcription inhibition ²
Individue Phid Doc prophage P15 mazEF (chpAK) MazF (ChpK) MazE (ChpA) Escherichia coli/chromosom kis/kid (parD) Kid Kis Escherichia coli/plasmid* pemIK PemK ChpBK ChpBI Escherichia coli/plasmid* mazEF mazEF-mt1 - ma- MazF-mt1 - MazF MazE-mt1 - MazF Microbacterium tuberculosis/ mazEF mazEF MazF-mt1 - MazF MazE-mt1 - MazF Microbacterium tuberculosis/ mazEF mazEF MazF-s Staphylococcus aureus/plasm pemIK_s PemK_s MazE_s Staphylococcus aureus/plasm yefM-yoeB YafO YafM Escherichia coli/chromosom yefM-yoeB YafO YafM Escherichia coli/chromosom yefM-yoeB YafM YafM Escherichia coli/chromosom yefM-yoeB YafM YafM Escherichia coli/chromosom yefM-yoeB YafM YafM Escherichia coli/chromosom yefM yefM-yoeB Escherichia coli/chromosom Escherichia coli/chromosom ye	parDE	parDE	ParE	ParD	<i>Escherichia coli/</i> plasmid ³	gyrase inhibitor ⁴	transcription inhibition ⁴
mazEF MazF C(Tp(K) MazF C(Tp(K) MazF C(Tp(K) MazF Escherichia coli/plasmid* kis/kid pemIK PemK PemI Escherichia coli/plasmid* pemIK ChpBK ChpBK ChpBI Escherichia coli/plasmid* mazEF-mt1 mazEF-mt1 MazF-mt1 MazF-mt1 MazF-mt1 MazF-mt1 mazEF mazEF-mt1 mazF MazF-mt1 MazF-mt1 MazF-mt1 mazEF mazEF-mt7 mazF MazF-mt1 MazF-mt1 MazF-mt1 mazEF mazEF MazF MazF-mt1 MazF-mt1 MazF-mt1 mazEF mazEF MazF MazF-mt1 MazF-mt1 MazF-mt1 mazEF mazEF MazF-mt1 MazF-mt1 MazF-mt1 MazF-mt1 mazEF mazEF MazF-mt1 MazF-mt1 MazF-mt1 MazF-mt1 mazEF mazFF-mt7 MazF MazF-mt1 MazF-mt1 MazF-mt1 mazEF mazFF-mt7 MazF MazF-mt1 MazF-mt1 MazF-mt1 mazFF mazFF-mt7 MazF MazF Staphylococcus aureus/plasmid* mells pemIK_s PemK_s PemK_s Staphylococcus aureus/plasmid* mells <	phd/doc	phd/doc	Phd	Doc	prophage P1 ⁵	binding ribosome 30S subunit ⁶	translation inhibition ⁶
kis/kid (parD) Kid Kis Escherichia coli/plasmid* pemIK PemK PemK FemI Escherichia coli/plasmid* mazEF-mt1 mazEF-mt1 Escherichia coli/plasmid* Escherichia coli/plasmid* mazEF mazEF-mt1 mazEmt1 MazEmt1 MazEmt1 Mazemt1 mazEF mazEF-mt1 mazEmt1 MazEmt1 Mazemt1 Mazemt1 mazEF mazEF MazEs MazEmt1 Mazemt1 Mazemt1 mazEF mazEF MazEs Maze Staphylococcus aureus/plasm relB RelE RelB Escherichia coli/chromosom yafNO YafN YafN Escherichia coli/chromosom		mazEF (chpAK)	MazF (ChpK)	MazE (ChpA)	<i>Escherichia coli/</i> chromosome ⁷	endoribonuclease ⁸	translation inhibition ⁸
pemIK PemK PemI Escherichia coli/chromosome chpBIK ChpBK ChpBI Escherichia coli/chromosome mazEF-mt1 mazF-mt1 MazF-mt1 MazF-mt1 MazF-mt1 mazEF-mt7 mazF MazF-mt1 MazF-mt1 MazF-mt1 MazF-mt1 mazEF-mt7 mazE MazF MazF-mt1 MazF Mycobacterium tuberculosis/ mazEF_s, MazF_s, MazF_s, MazE_s, Staphylococcus aureus/plasn relBE PemK_s, PemK_s, PemS, Staphylococcus aureus/plasn yefN-yoeB YefN YafN Escherichia coli/chromosom yefN-yoeB YefN YgiT (MqsA) Escherichia coli/chromosom yefN-yofQ YafO YafN Escherichia coli/chromosom dinJ-yafQ YafQ DinJ Escherichia coli/chromosom		kis/kid (parD)	Kid	Kis	<i>Escherichia coli/</i> plasmid ⁹	endoribonuclease ¹⁰	translation inhibition ¹⁰
ChpBIK ChpBK ChpBI Escherichia coll/chromosom mazEF-mt1 mazF-mt1 mazF-mt1 MazF-mt1 mazF-mt1 zEF-mt7 mt7 mt7 Some13 Some13 zEF-mt7 mazF MazFs Some13 Some13 zEF-mt7 mazEfs MazFs Some13 Some13 mazEfs MazFs MazFs Some13 Some13 mazEfs RelE RelE RelE Staphylococcus aureus/chronesom yafNO YafN YafN YafN Escherichia coll/chromosom yafNO YafN YafN YafN Escherichia coll/chromosom yafNO YafN YafN YafN Escherichia coll/chromosom yafNO YafQ DinJ Escherichia coll/chromosom Escherichia coll/chromosom relBE yafNO YafQ DinJ Escherichia coll/chromosom dinJ-yafQ YafQ DinJ Escherichia coll/chromosom Escherichia coll/chromosom dinJ-yafQ YafQ DinJ Esc		pemIK	PemK	Peml	<i>Escherichia coli/</i> plasmid ¹¹	endoribonuclease ¹²	translation inhibition ¹²
MazEF mazEF-mt1 - ma- zEF-mt7 MazE-mt1 - MazE Mycobacterium tuberculosis/ and some ¹⁵ ZEF-mt7 mt7 mt7 some ¹⁵ some ¹⁵ mazEFs ₁₈ MazEs ₁₈ MazEs ₁₈ Staphylococcus aureus/plasn me ¹⁶ Staphylococcus aureus/plasn pemIK ₁₈ PemK ₂₈ PemIS ₂₈ Staphylococcus aureus/plasn staphylococcus aureus/plasn relBE RelE RelB RelB Escherichia coll/chromosom ygiUT ygiUT mgRA YgiM Escherichia coll/chromosom ygiUT mgRA YgiM Escherichia coll/chromosom Escherichia coll/chromosom upsi YgiM YgiM Escherichia coll/chromosom Escherichia coll/chromosom value YgiM YgiM Escherichia coll/chromosom Escherichia coll/chromosom ygiUT mgRA YgiM Escherichia coll/chromosom Escherichia coll/chromosom relBE ygiUT mgRA YgiT MgrA Escherichia coll/chromosom relBE higBA HigA Vibrio coll MgrA Escherichia coll/chromosom <td>L</td> <td>chpBIK</td> <td>ChpBK</td> <td>ChpBI</td> <td>Escherichia coli/chromosome¹³</td> <td>endoribonuclease¹⁴</td> <td>translation inhibition¹⁴</td>	L	chpBIK	ChpBK	ChpBI	Escherichia coli/chromosome ¹³	endoribonuclease ¹⁴	translation inhibition ¹⁴
mazEF _{5a} MazF _{5a} MazF _{5a} MazEf _{5a} MazEf _{5a} MazEf _{5a} Mazef _{5a} Mazef _{5a} Staphylococcus aureus/chronesom pemIK _{5a} PemK _{5a} PemK _{5a} PemK _{5a} Staphylococcus aureus/plasm relBE RelE RelE RelB Escherichia coli/chromosom yafNO YafO YafN YafN Escherichia coli/chromosom yafNO YafO YafN YafN Escherichia coli/chromosom yafNO YafO YafN YafN Escherichia coli/chromosom higBA HigA YigN YafQ DinJ Escherichia coli/chromosom higBA HigA HigA Vibrio cholerae/chromosom Vibrio cholerae/chromosom vapBC vapBC VapC VapB Mycobacterium smegnatis/c vapBC vapBC VapC VapB Mycobacterium smegnatis/c vapBC vapBC VapB MipB Mycobacterium smegnatis/c vapBC vapBC VapB Mycobacterium smegnatis/c vapB hipB	mazer	mazEF-mt1 – ma- zEF-mt7	MazF-mt1 – MazF -mt7	MazE-mt1 – MazE- mt7	<i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis/</i> chromo- some ¹⁵	MazF-mt-1,3,6,7 – endoribonuclease, others not researched ¹⁵	MazF-mt-1,3,6,7 – translation inhibi- tion, others not researched ¹⁵
pemIK _{3a} PemK _{3a} PemI _{5a} Staphylococcus aureus/plasm relBE RelE RelB Escherichia coli/chromosome yafNO YafO YafN Escherichia coli/chromosome yajNM YgjN YgjN Escherichia coli/chromosome yafNO YafQ DinJ Escherichia coli/chromosome dinJ-yafQ YafQ DinJ Escherichia coli/chromosome dinJ-yafQ YafQ DinJ Escherichia coli/chromosome ulpBA higA HigA Vibrio cholerae/chromosome vapBC vapBC VapC Some3a če č some3a Streptococcus pyogens/plasn hipBA hipA HipA Vibrio cholerae/chromosome če č s Streptococcus pyogens/plasn fipBA hipB HipA Nibiob		mazEF _{Sa}	MazF _{sa}	MazE _{sa}	Staphylococcus aureus/chromoso- me ¹⁶	endoribonuclease ¹⁶	translation inhibition ¹⁶
relBE RelE RelB Escherichia coli/chromosome yefM-yoeB YoeB YafM Escherichia coli/chromosome yafNO YafO YafN Escherichia coli/chromosome yafNO YafO YafN Escherichia coli/chromosome yafNO YafO YafN Escherichia coli/chromosome ygiUT (mqsRA) YgiU MqsR) YgiT (MqsA) Escherichia coli/chromosome higBA higBA HigB HigA Vibrio cholerae/chromosome wapBC vapBC VapC VapB Mycobacterium smegmatis/c ofic č č Streptococcus pyogens/plasn hipBA hipBA HipA Nibrio cholerae/chromosome vabBC vapBC VapB Mycobacterium smegmatis/c ofic č č streptococcus pyogens/plasn hipBA hipA HipA Nibrio cholerae/chromosome ofact vapB ficentrichia endi/chromosome streptococcus pyogens/plasn din hipBA HipA Nibrio endi/chromosome		pemIK _{sa}	PemK _{sa}	Peml _{sa}	Staphylococcus aureus/plasmid ¹⁷	endoribonuclease ¹⁸	unknown
yafM-yoeB YafM Escherichia coli/chromosome yafNO YafO YafN Escherichia coli/chromosome ygiUT wafNO YafO YafN Escherichia coli/chromosome ygiUT wafNO YafO YafN Escherichia coli/chromosome ygiUT magRA YgiU MagA Escherichia coli/chromosome higBA higB HigA Vibrio cholerae/chromosome higBA higB HigA Vibrio cholerae/chromosome vapBC vapBC VapC VapB Mycobacterium smegmatis/c ce č č some²s Streptococcus pyogens/plasn hipBA hipB HipB Escherichia coli/chromosome incAB hipBA HipB Escherichia coli/chromosome ce č č some²s data 1983); ²(Antrope Streptococcus pyogens/plasn hipBA HipB Escherichia coli/chromosome hipBA HipB Escherichia coli/chromosome dat _J, 2003); ??(Dutra & Hiraga, 1983); ??(Antrope <td></td> <td>relBE</td> <td>RelE</td> <td>RelB</td> <td><i>Escherichia coli/</i>chromosome¹⁹</td> <td>endoribonuclease, ribosome-binding²⁰</td> <td>translation inhibition²⁰</td>		relBE	RelE	RelB	<i>Escherichia coli/</i> chromosome ¹⁹	endoribonuclease, ribosome-binding ²⁰	translation inhibition ²⁰
yafNO YafN Fach Escherichia coli/chromosome ygiUT YgiU KafN Fscherichia coli/chromosome ygiUT YgiU Kash YgiU Escherichia coli/chromosome ygiUT YafQ DinJ Escherichia coli/chromosome higBA HigB HigA Vibrio cholerae/chromosome vapBC vapBC VapC VapB Mycobacterium smegmatis/c vapBC vapBC VapC VapB Mycobacterium smegmatis/c someas Escherichia coli/chromosome Escherichia coli/chromosome vapBC vapBC VapC VapB Mycobacterium smegmatis/c someas Escherichia coli/chromosome Escherichia coli/chromosome Escherichia coli/chromosome vapBC vapBC VapC VapB Mycobacterium smegmatis/c Escherichia coli/chromosome vapBC vapBA HipA Nibrio cholerae/chromosome Mycobacterium smegmatis/c fipBA hipBA HipA Kib Escherichia coli/chromosome hipBA hipBA HipB		yefM-yoeB	YoeB	YafM	<i>Escherichia coli/</i> chromosome ²¹	endoribonuclease, ribosome-binding ²²	translation inhibition ²²
Venc VgjN YgjN Scherichia coli/chromosome VgiUT (mqsRA) YgiU (MqsR) YgiT (MqsA) Escherichia coli/chromosome dinJ-yafQ YafQ DinJ Escherichia coli/chromosome higBA higBA HigA Vibrio cholerae/chromosome higBA higBA HigA Vibrio cholerae/chromosome higBA higBA HigA Vibrio cholerae/chromosome vapBC vapBC VapC VapB Mycobacterium smegmatis/c some26 vapBA HipA Niprobacterium smegmatis/c inDBA hipBA HipA Niprobacterium smegmatis/c inDBA hipBA HipA HipB Escherichia coli/chromosome inDBA hipBA HipB Escherichia coli/chromosome inDBA hipBA HipB Escherichia coli/chromosome inDA itcali, 1983; "a/saurugger, 1986); "d/liang et al., 2002); s(Lehnherr et al., 1993; Magnus Al et al., 2004); "(Bravo et al., 1983); "a/Saurugger, 1986); "d/liang et al., 2003); "I(Towder et al., 1993; Bagnus Al et al., 2004); "(Rowder et al., 2009); "a(Lehnherr et al., 1993; Magnus Al et al., 2004); "Icowder et al., 2003); "I(Towder et al., 1993; Bagnus Al et al., 2004); "Icowder et al., 2003); "I(Towder et al., 1993; Bagnus Al et al., 2004); "Icowder et al., 2003); "I(T		yafNO	YafO	YafN	Escherichia coli/chromosome ²³	endoribonuclease, ribosome-binding ²³	translation inhibition ²³
ygiUT (mqsRA) YgiU (MqsR) YgiT (MqsA) Escherichia coli/chromosome dinJ-yafQ YafQ DinJ Escherichia coli/chromosome higBA HigB HigA Vibrio cholerae/chromosome vapBC vapBC VapC VapB Mycobacterium smegmatis/c some ²⁶ č č č Streptococcus pyogens/plasn hipBA HipA HipB Escherichia coli/chromosome hipBA HipA Nibrio cholerae/chromosome hipBA HipA HipB Escherichia coli/chromosome hipBA HipA HipB Escherichia coli/chromosom hipBA HipA HipB Escherichia coli/chromosom lot di, 2004); *(Bravo et al., 1992); *(Saurugger, 1986); *(Jiang et al., 2002); *(Lehnherr et al., 1993; Nagnus Al et al., 2009); */(Lowder et al., 1983); *?(Anngucki et al., 2003); **(Lehnherr et al., 1993; *?(Anngucki		ygjNM	YgjN	YgjM	Escherichia coli/chromosome ²³	endoribonuclease, ribosome-binding ²³	translation inhibition ²³
dinJ-yafQ YafQ DinJ Escherichia coll/chromosome higBA higBA HigB HigA Vibrio cholerae/chromosome vapBC vapBC VapC VapB Mycobacterium smegmatis/c vapBC vapBC VapC VapB Mycobacterium smegmatis/c some ²⁶ vapBA HipA HipA Robust hipBA hipBA HipA HipB Escherichia coli/chromosom hipBA hipBA HipA HipB Escherichia coli/chromosom hipBA hipBA HipB Escherichia coli/chromosom Visuada Hiraga, 1983; ²(Miki et al., 1992); ³(Saurugger, 1986); 4(Jiang et al., 2002); °(Lehnherr et al., 1993; Magnus Al et al., 2009); 1°(Lowder et al., 1987; Bravo et al., 1988); 1°(Zhang et al., 2003); 1°(Tisuchimoto et al., 1993; Magnus Al et al., 2009); 1°(Lowder et al., 2009); 1°(Lowder et al., 1993; Bravo et al., 1993; Bravo et al., 2003); 1°(Tisuchimoto et al., 1993; Bravo et al., 1993; Bravo et al., 2003); 1°(Tisuchimoto et al., 1993; Bravo et al., 2003); 1°(Tisuchimoto et al., 1993; Bravo et al., 2009); 1°(Towalle, 1965; Diderichsen et al., 1993; Branda et al., 2009); 1°(Lowder et al., 2009); 1°(Lowd		ygiUT (mqsRA)	YgiU (MqsR)	YgiT (MqsA)	<i>Escherichia coli/</i> chromosome ²³	endoribonuclease ²³	translation inhibition ²³
higBAhigBAHigBHigAVibrio cholerae/chromosomevapBCvapBCVapCVapBMycobacterium smegmatis/cδδδδδδξεζεζεStreptococcus pyogens/plasnhipBAhipBAHipAHipBEscherichia coli/chromosomehipBAhicAB<(yncN/ydcQ)		dinJ-yafQ	YafQ	DinJ	Escherichia coli/chromosome ²³	endoribonuclease, ribosome-binding ²³	translation inhibition ²³
vapBC vapBC VapC VapC VapB Mycobacterium smegmatis/c some ²⁶ $\zeta\epsilon$ $\zeta\epsilon$ ζ ϵ Streptococcus pyogens/plasn λ δ ϵ ϵ Streptococcus pyogens/plasn hipBA hipBA HipA HipB ϵ scherichia coli/chromosomu hicAB hicAB HicA (YncN) HicB ϵ scherichia coli/chromosomu 'lOgura & Hiraga, 1983); 2(Miki et al., 1992); 3(Saurugger, 1986); 4(Jiang et al., 2002); 5(Lehnherr et al., 1993; Magnus Al et al., 2003); 1'(Tsuchimoto et al., 1983); 12/2hang et al., 2003); 1'(Tsuchimoto et al., 1993; Magnus Al et al., 2003); 1'(Tsuchimoto et al., 1993; Bravo et al., 1988); 10(Zhang et al., 2003); 1'(Tsuchimoto et al., 1993; Bravo et al., 1988); 10(Zhang et al., 2003); 1'(Tsuchimoto et al., 1993; Bravo et al., 1987; Bravo et al., 2010); 19(Lavalle, 1965; Diderichsen et al., 1977; Bech et al., 1 et al., 2009); 1'(Lowder et al., 2009); 18(Bukowski et al., 2010); 19(Lavalle, 1965; Diderichsen et al., 1977; Bech et al., 1 et al., 2009); 1'(Lowder et al., 2009); 18(Bukowski et al., 2010); 19(Chang et al., 2009); 2(Hirtersen-Dalsgaard et al., 1 et al., 2009); 10(Chrone et al., 2009); 10(Chrone et al., 1977; Bech et al., 1 et al., 2009; Christersen-Dalsgaard et al., 1 et al., 2009; Christersen-Dalsgaard et al., 2009; 2009); 2009; Christersen-Dalsgaard et al., 1 et al., 2009; Christersen-Dalsgaard et al., 2009; 2	higBA	higBA	HigB	HigA	<i>Vibrio cholerae</i> /chromosome ²⁴	endoribonuclease, ribosome-binding ²⁵	translation inhibition ²⁵
ζε ζε ξ ε Streptococcus pyogens/plasm hipBA hipBA HipA HipB Escherichia coli/chromosome hicAB hicAB HicA (YncN) HicA (YncN) Escherichia coli/chromosome 10Gura & Hiraga, 1983); 2(Miki et al., 1992); 3(Saurugger, 1986); 4(Jiang et al., 2002); 5(Lehnherr et al., 1993; Magnus Nagura & Hiraga, 1983); 2(Shang et al., 2003); 10(Suchimoto et al., 1988); 13(Zhang et al., 2003); 10(Suchimoto et al., 1987; Bravo et al., 1988); 10(Zhang et al., 2003); 10(Suchimoto et al., 1977; Bech et al., 1 tensen-Dalsgaard & Gerdes, 2008; Zhang & Inouye, 2009); 13(Yanaguchi et al., 2009); 10(Suchimoto et al., 1977; Bech et al., 1 tensen-Dalsgaard & Gerdes, 2008; Zhang & Inouye, 2009); 13(Yanaguchi et al., 2009); 10(Suchimoto et al., 1977; Bech et al., 1 tensen-Dalsgaard & Gerdes, 2008; Zhang & Inouye, 2009); 13(Yanaguchi et al., 2009); 10(Suchimoto et al., 1977; Bech et al., 2 tensen-Dalsgaard & Gerdes, 2008; Zhang & Inouye, 2009); 13(Yanaguchi et al., 2009); 10(Suchimoto et al., 1977; Bech et al., 2 tensen-Dalsgaard & Gerdes, 2008; Zhang & Inouye, 2009); 13(Yanaguchi et al., 2 tensen-Dalsgaard & Gerdes, 2008; Zhang & Inouye, 2009); 13(Suchimoto et al., 1977; Bech et al., 2 tensen-Dalsgaard & Gerdes, 2008; Zhang & Inouye, 2009); 13(Suchimoto et al., 1977; Bech et al., 2 tensen-Dalsgaard & Gerdes, 2 tensen, 2 tensen, 2 tensen, 2 tensen, 2 tensen, 2 tensen, 2 tensen, 2 tense	vapBC	vapBC	VapC	VapB	<i>Mycobacterium smegmatis</i> /chromo- some ²⁶	endoribonuclease ²⁷	translation inhibition ²⁷
hipBA hipBA HipA HipB Escherichia coll/chromosome hicAB hicAB (yncN/ydcQ) HicA (YncN) HicB (YdcQ) Escherichia coll/chromosome 'lOgura & Hiraga, 1983); 2(Miki et al., 1992); 3(Saurugger, 1986); 4(Jiang et al., 2002); 5(Lehnherr et al., 1993; Magnus Nagnus 'lOgura & Hiraga, 1983); 2(Wiki et al., 1982); 3(Saurugger, 1986); 4(Jiang et al., 2003); 7(Lehnherr et al., 1983); 7(Zhang et al., 2003); 7(Lowder et al., 1987; Bravo et al., 1988); 10(Zhang et al., 2003); 7(Lowder et al., 1987; Bravo et al., 2010); 19(Lavalle, 1965; Diderichsen et al., 1977; Bech et al., 1 tensen-Dalsgaard & Gerdes, 2009); 70(Yanaguchi et al., 700); 70(Yanaguchi et al., 70); 70(Yanaguchi et al., 700); 70(Yanaguchi et al., 70); 70(Yan	ζε	ζε	2	ω	Streptococcus pyogens/plasmid ²⁸	phosphotransferase ²⁹	unknown
hicAB hicAB (yncN/ydcQ) HicA (YncN) HicB (YdcQ) Escherichia coli/chromosome (Ogura & Hiraga, 1983); ² (Miki et al., 1992); ³ (Saurugger, 1986); ⁴ (Jiang et al., 2002); ⁵ (Lehnherr et al., 1993; Magnus Al et al., 2004); ⁹ (Bravo et al., 1987); ^{Bi} (Saurugger, 1986); ¹⁰ (Zhang et al., 2003); ¹¹ (Tsuchimoto et al., 1988); ¹³ (Zhang et et al., 2009); ¹⁷ (Lowder et al., 2009); ¹⁸ (Bukowski et al., 2010); ¹⁹ (Lavalle, 1965; Diderichsen et al., 1977; Bech et al., 1 tensen-Dalsgaard & Gerdes, 2008; Zhang & Inouye, 2009); ¹³ (Yamaguchi et al., 2009); ¹⁴ (Lavalle, 1965; Diderichsen et al., 1977; Bech et al., 1 tensen-Dalsgaard & Gerdes, 2008; Zhang & Inouye, 2009); ¹³ (Yamaguchi et al., 2009); ¹⁴ (Lavalle, 1965; Diderichsen et al., 1977; Bech et al., 1 tensen-Dalsgaard & Gerdes, 2008; Zhang & Inouye, 2009); ¹³ (Vamaguchi et al., 2009); ¹⁴ (Lavalle, 1965; Diderichsen et al., 1977; Bech et al., 2 tensen-Dalsgaard & Gerdes, 2008; Zhang & Inouye, 2009); ¹⁴ (Lavalle, 1965; Diderichsen et al., 1 tensen-Dalsgaard & Gerdes, 2008; Zhang & Inouye, 2009); ¹⁵ (Vamaguchi et al., 2 tensen-Dalsgaard & Gerdes, 2 tensen-Dalsgaard & Ge	hipBA	hipBA	HipA	HipB	<i>Escherichia coli/</i> chromosome ³⁰	Ser/Thr kinase (target: EF-Tu) ³¹	translation inhibition ³²
¹ (Ogura & Hiraga, 1983); ² (Miki <i>et al.</i> , 1992); ³ (Saurugger, 1986); ⁴ (Jiang <i>et al.</i> , 2002); ⁵ (Lehnherr <i>et al.</i> , 1993; Magnus AJ <i>et al.</i> , 2004); ⁹ (Bravo <i>et al.</i> , 1987; Bravo <i>et al.</i> , 1988); ¹⁰ (Zhang <i>et al.</i> , 2003); ¹¹ (Tsuchimoto <i>et al.</i> , 1988); ¹² (Zhang <i>et al.</i> , 2009); ¹¹ (Lowder <i>et al.</i> , 1988); ¹² (Zhang <i>et al.</i> , 2009); ¹¹ (Lowder <i>et al.</i> , 1988); ¹² (Zhang <i>et al.</i> , 2009); ¹¹ (Lowder <i>et al.</i> , 1988); ¹² (Zhang <i>et al.</i> , 2009); ¹¹ (Fsuchimoto <i>et al.</i> , 1988); ¹² (Zhang <i>et al.</i> , 2009); ¹¹ (Lowder <i>et al.</i> , 1977; Bech <i>et al.</i> , 1 et al., 2009); ¹² (Yanaguchi <i>et al.</i> , 2009; Christensen-Dalsgaard <i>et al.</i> , 1 et al., 2009; Christensen-Dalsgaard <i>et al.</i> , 2 et al., 2 et al	hicAB	hicAB (yncN/ydcQ)	HicA (YncN)	HicB (YdcQ)	Escherichia coli/chromosome ³³	endoribonuclease ³⁴	translation inhibition ³⁴
<i>a</i> ', 2007; Kobson <i>et a</i> ', 2009); ²⁴ (Lamacho <i>et a</i> ', 2002; Lioy <i>et a</i> ', 2006); ²⁹ (Meinhart <i>et a</i> ', 2003); ³⁴ (Black <i>et a</i> ', 199 ⁻³) ³⁴ (Jorgensen <i>et a</i> ', 2009).	Ogura & Hira J et al., 2004) t al., 2009); ¹⁷ 1 2nsen-Dalsgai <i>I.</i> , 2007; Robsi (Jorgensen et	ga, 1983); ² (Miki et al.,); ⁹ (Bravo et al., 1987; Br (Lowder et al., 2009); ¹⁶ , and & Gerdes, 2008; Zhi on et al., 2009); ²⁸ (Cama t al., 2009).	1 <i>92</i>); ³ (Saurugger, 198 avo <i>et al.</i> , 1988); ¹⁰ (Zha Bukowski <i>et al.</i> , 2010); Bukowski <i>et al.</i> , 2009); ²³ ang & Inouye, 2009); ²³ acho <i>et al.</i> , 2002; Lioy <i>e</i>	(b): "(Jiang et al., 2002); "(Tsuch ng et al., 2003); "(Tsuch "P(Lavalle, 1965; Dideric (Yamaguchi et al., 2009); "t al., 2006); ²⁹ (Meinhart	$^{(Lehnherr et al., 1993; Magnuson & Yarmimoto et al., 1988); 13(Zhang et al., 2004);chsen et al., 1977; Bech et al., 1985; Mostechristensen-Dalsgaard et al., 2010); 24(Buet al., 2003); 30(Black et al., 1991; 1994; Ko$	olinsky, 1998; Gazit & Sauer, 1999); ⁶ (Liu <i>et al</i> , ¹³ (Masuda <i>et al</i> , 1993); ¹⁴ (Zhang <i>et al</i> , 2005); ¹³ (Masuda <i>et al</i> , 2005); ²⁴ (Ieller, 1978); ²⁰ (Galvani <i>et al</i> , 2001); Pedersen <i>et</i> de <i>t</i> al, 2007); ²⁵ (Christensen-Dalsgaard & C orch & Hill, 2006); ³² (Sch	(, 2008); ⁷ (Masuda <i>et al.</i> , 1993); ⁸ (Munoz-Gomez ¹⁵ (Zhu <i>et al.</i> , 2006); ¹⁶ (Fu <i>et al.</i> , 2007; 2009; Zhu <i>et al.</i> , 2003); ²² (Christensen <i>et al.</i> , 2004); ²² (Chris- Gerdes, 2006); ²⁶ (Arcus <i>et al.</i> , 2005); ²⁷ (Daines <i>et</i> numacher <i>et al.</i> , 2009); ³³ (Makarova <i>et al.</i> , 2006);

Table 1. Ten families of class II TA systems and data about well-researched members

3

bicAB and *ygiUT* systems. Binding of toxin-antitoxin complexes to promoter sites is the most common way of direct transcription regulation of TA operons (Fig. 2). Single components also bind the promoters but with a low affinity (Kedzierska *et al.*, 2007; Li *et al.*, 2008) when compared to the toxin-antitoxin oligomers which bind to palindromic sequences within the promoters, which process is enhanced cooperatively (Tsuchimoto & Ohtsubo, 1993; Black *et al.*, 1994; Magnuson *et al.*, 1996; Magnuson & Yarmolinsky, 1998; Marianovsky *et al.*, 2001; Bailey & Hayes, 2009). Moreover, apart form the described primary palindromes, promoter of the *mazEF* operon contains alternate palindromes.

Binding to the latter by a toxin-antitoxin complex manifests in a decrease in the transcription efficiency of the operon (Marianovsky et al., 2001). An exception to the above rule is the prophage P1 zeta-epsilon system $(\zeta \varepsilon)$ where the antitoxin serves only as an inhibitor of toxin activity and an additional expression regulator ω is present (de la Hoz et al., 2000), which is similar to recently reported three-component systems homologous to parDE, namely paaR1-paaA1-parE1 and paaR2-paaA2parE2 (Hallez et al., 2010). Such a way of controlling the cellular levels of TA system components combined with high proteolysis susceptibility of the antitoxin provides the way of tight and environmentally switchable regulation. The instability of the antitoxin in a TA system is a crucial step in the system activation. It is suggested that disordered C-terminal regions of the antitoxin are target for ATP-dependent serine proteases (Kamada et al., 2003). These members of chaperone family are responsible for degradation of misfolded proteins as well as components of signalling pathways (Gottesman, 1996). However, the antitoxin YgiT (MqsA) of the ygiUT (mqs-RA) system is structured throughout its entire sequence, both free and toxin-bound state (Brown et al., 2009). The activity of ATP-dependent proteases stays in a specific relation with the activity of TA systems. In all documented cases only a single protease is responsible for degradation of a particular antitoxin (although the proteases of interest comprise a family of related enzymes) (Van Melderen et al., 1994; Lehnherr & Yarmolinsky, 1995; Aizenman et al., 1996; Christensen et al., 2001; 2004; Kawano et al., 2007; Christensen-Dalsgaard et al., 2010; Donegan et al., 2010). Degradation of the antitoxin component leads to subsequent toxin activation and increase in operon transcription in response to a toxin and antitoxin level imbalance. However, a halt of translation, induced for example by antibiotics, acts as another way of toxin activation by causing a drop in the production of labile antitoxin.

The significant influence of the TA systems on bacterial metabolism implies multiple ways of their activity



Figure 2. Binding of toxin-antitoxin complex to regulatory sequences leads to autorepression of TA operon expression

regulation. A well documented mechanism is the relation between the mazEF system of E. coli and locus relA, which codes for ATP:GTP 3'-diphosphotransferase implicated in the synthesis of 3',5'-guanosine bisphosphate (Justesen et al., 1986; Metzger et al., 1988). The ppGpp molecule is a signal of amino-acid starvation (Cashel, 1975; Gallant et al., 1976). The mazEF locus is located downstream the relA locus (Masuda et al., 1993) and is cotranscribed when relA expression is activated (Aizenman et al., 1996; Christensen et al., 2003; Hazan & Engelberg-Kulka, 2004). A similar neighbourhood pattern of the mazEF and parDE systems is found in genomes of other enteric bacteria such as Shigella and Salmonella (Pandey & Gerdes, 2005). Another example is the SOS system and its relations with various TA systems of E. coli. In this case the activation of SOS system leads to switching on the activity of TA systems including *bokE* (Fernandez De Henestrosa et al., 2000), yafNO (McKenzie et al., 2003; Christensen-Dalsgaard et al., 2010), tisAB (Vogel et al., 2004; Unoson & Wagner, 2008), symER (Kawano et al., 2007), and yefQ (Motiejunaite et al., 2007). A similar situation was recently reported for another E. coli TA system — yafNO (Singletary et al., 2009).

The activity of TA systems can also be induced by systems responsible for quorum sensing. Such a mechanism has been reported for the mazEF system of E. coli (Kolodkin-Gal et al., 2007). Another noteworthy fact is the possibility of cascade activation of TA systems (Hazan et al., 2001) since the bacteria often carry more than a single TA system within their genome. Activation of a single system which leads to protein synthesis inhibition and subsequent activation of another TA system is plausible. An even more complex relation has been described for the ygiUT (MqsRA) system of E. coli. In this case activation of the TA system is necessary for activation of toxin CspD, whose gene promoter is controlled by the ygiU/ygiT (MqsR/MqsA) complex (Brown *et al.*, 2009; Kim *et al.*, 2010). Furthermore, a cross-regulation has been observed for homologous systems present in the genome (Yang et al., 2010), where toxin-antitoxin complexes of one system bind to regulatory sequences of another TA system operon.

FUNCTIONS OF CLASS II TA SYSTEMS

A plasmid maintenance function was initially assigned to several newly discovered plasmid-borne TA systems (Gerdes & Molin, 1986; Saurugger, 1986; Bravo et al., 1988; Tsuchimoto et al., 1988; Gerlitz et al., 1990; Sobecky et al., 1996). Cells that do not inherit a copy of a plasmid upon division do not survive the effect of a stable toxin after degradation of a labile antitoxin. Moreover, a role of multiple TA loci in stabilization of a megaintegron of Vibrio cholerae has been suggested (Pandey & Gerdes, 2005). There is no doubt that TA systems play a role in the phenomenon of mobile genetic element stabilization but operons of many TA systems are also located in the bacterial chromosome. Recent studies report that TA systems are mainly concerned with the regulation of bacterial metabolism rather than simple plasmid maintenance functions.

Toxin activity leads primarily to bacterial metabolic dormancy that can be abolished at initial stages (Nystrom, 1999; Pedersen *et al.*, 2002; Keren *et al.*, 2004; Gerdes *et al.*, 2005; Suzuki *et al.*, 2005; Buts *et al.*, 2005; Lewis, 2005; Inouye, 2006; Schumacher *et al.*, 2009; Fu *et al.*, 2009; Kasari *et al.*, 2010), which contrasts with earlier suggestions that this activity leads to immediate cell death (Aizenman *et al.*, 1996; Hazan & Engelberg-Kulka, 2004; Engelberg-Kulka *et al.*, 2005). There are examples of such systems whose major role is to kill the cells, but this is only true in some specialized situations. A good example are formation of fruiting bodies of *Myxococcus xanthus* (Nariya & Inouye, 2008) or defence against phage infection in lactic acidic bacteria (Forde & Fitzgerald, 1999). The question whether TA system activity leading to death of selected cells in a colony is a manifestation of an altruistic or other mechanism is currently a topic of discussion (Aizenman *et al.*, 1996; Forde & Fitzgerald, 1999; Nystrom, 1999; Lioy *et al.*, 2006).

A flexible response of a bacterial cell to stress conditions seems to be the major function of most TA systems. A reversible metabolic dormancy caused by their activation allows a bacterial cell to survive detrimental provides clear advanconditions. This phenomenon tages in the case of starvation (Christensen et al., 2001; Jorgensen et al., 2009) as well as heat, osmotic and freeradicals-induced stress (Pedersen et al., 2002; Senn et al., 2005). Moreover, TA systems can contribute to the formation of persistent cells during an exposure to antibiotics (Falla & Chopra, 1998; Keren et al., 2004; Dorr et al., 2010; Kasari et al., 2010). The mechanism of described phenomenon is straightforward in the case of drugs acting as transcription (eg. rifampicin) or translation (eg. chloramphenicol, doxycyclin, spectinomycin, eritromycin) inhibitors when the decay of the labile antitoxin causes the toxin activation. Paradoxically, antibiotics that are gyrase inhibitors (quinolone antibiotics) can act in a way similar to the *ccdAB* TA system, in which the toxin is a gyrase inhibitor. In this case binding of the inhibitor to an open gyrase-DNA complex induces DNA nicks (Drlica & Zhao, 1997; Jiang et al., 2002), which is followed by SOS-system activation (Little & Mount, 1982; Karoui et al., 1983; Bailone et al., 1985). The same mechanism is proposed for homologues of parDE system (Hallez et al., 2010). The described sequence of events leads to increased genetic diversity of a colony and may contribute to persisters formation (Couturier et al., 1998) in the same way as do quinolone antibiotics (Drlica & Zhao, 1997).

The activity of TA systems can also modulate the behaviour of a bacterial colony. An increase in the expression of genes related to cell motility and structural genes of flagella has been reported for the *ygiUT (MqsRA)* system (Gonzalez Barrios, 2006). In turn the *hipAB* system is implicated in biofilm formation providing multi drug resistance (Lewis, 2007; 2008). TA systems can modulate formation of a biofilm over time (Kim *et al.*, 2009). In line with that, a recent report indicates elevated expression of TA systems in bacterial cells building a biofilm (Mitchell *et al.*, 2010).

A precise control over pathogenesis progression has been demonstrated for mRNA interferases exhibiting sequence specificity. This specificity allows for molecular evolution of target gene sequences. The mRNA interferases of the *mazEF-mt3* and *mazEF-mt7* systems are able to specifically recognize pentanucleotide sequences. In both cases a statistically significant representation of genes implicated in pathogenesis was found among genes containing underrepresented number of the recognized sequences (Zhu *et al.*, 2008). Such genes are resistant to the interferase activity and thereby are expected to be expressed even when the TA system is activated. A similar relation was found for the *sraP* gene of *S. anreus*. This gene, coding for a protein responsible for adhesion to platelets (Siboo et al., 2005), is characterized by a statistically significant overrepresentation of the sequence recognized by the mRNA interferase of the maz- EF_{Sa} TA system (Zhu *et al.*, 2009), hence its expression is suggested to be primarily turned off upon TA system activation. Additionally, the mentioned TA system may potentially be implicated in pathogenesis progression in yet another way. Downstream of the $maz EF_{Sa}$ locus a sigB locus is located (Kullik et al., 1998; Gertz et al., 1999; Ferreira et al., 2004). The sigB-encoded alternative subunit σ^{B} of the RNA polymerase is responsible for global transcription regulation of virulence factors, comprising one of the most important staphylococcal systems of gene regulation responsible for pathogenesis (Wu et al., 1996). In stress conditions the sigB locus is coexpressed with mazEF_c (Senn et al., 2005; Fu et al., 2007; Donegan & Cheung, 2009). However, any potential functional relation demands further investigation since the elevated expression of sigB locus does not necessarily lead to a direct increase in the level of σ^{B} subunit (Senn et al., 2005). Among other pathogenic strains also Bacillus anthracis possesses a TA system of the mazEF family, namely a pemIK module (Agarwal et al., 2007; 2009). Recently a *pemIK* homologue located in a plasmid of an avian strains of S. aureus has been documented (Lowder et al., 2009; Bukowski et al., 2010). In this system the toxin is a sequence-specific endoribonuclease which targets a tetranucleotide sequence. Bioinformatic analysis of the occurrence of the recognized sequence in the coding sequences of the S. aureus genome elucidated a potential relation of the system with virulence factor regulation (Bukowski et al., 2010).

CLASS II TA SYSTEMS AS BIOTECHNOLOGICAL TOOLS

Two of the best-described TA systems have found application in molecular biology, namely *ccdAB* and *mazEF*. The former is used as a factor for positive selection of transformants, primarily in *E. coli* strains (Bernard *et al.*, 1994). Such systems, which are commercially available (e.g. StabyCloningTM and StabyExpressTM, Delphi Genetics SA), are based on CcdB toxicity against gyrase and allow one-step selection of transformants ensuring stable vector plasmid maintenance (Fig. 3). This idea was originally developed by Szpirer and Milinkovitch (2005) followed by other efforts to develop a more complex system allowing increased production of recombinant protein (Stieber *et al.*, 2008).



Figure 3. ccdAB system components as tools for positive selection during cloning

The mazEF system has been adapted for single protein production (SPP) systems. The initial idea uses MazF toxin to trigger bacteriostasis and bacterial protein shutdown. The recombinant gene lacks the ACA sequences, recognized by the MazF interferase, therefore upon induction of MazF expression production of the recombinant protein of interest is continued almost exclusively. Moreover, bacteriostasis allows for culturing of the transformed strains in lower medium volumes than in traditional methods (Suzuki et al., 2005; 2007). This idea has been successfully applied for protein production for NMR studies in 150-fold concentrated cultures, which allowed significant cost saving on isotopes (Mao et al., 2009; Schneider et al., 2009). Recently the SPP system based on MazF activity was extended with the capability for induction of protein production using particular amino acids. MazF mutants with histidine or tryptophan substitution were used in histidine or tryptophan auxotrophs, respectively. After transferring cells to the medium enriched in isotopes but lacking one of these amino acids the production of MazF is still provided. Subsequent addition of the amino acid induces exclusive production of the recombinant protein, since production of host proteins is blocked by the toxic action of MazF. Therefore, this approach allows not only single protein production but also high-efficiency isotope-labelling of the target protein (Vaiphei et al., 2010).

TA systems are successfully used also in studies on eukaryotic cells. Recently a report concerning the usage of mazEF system in studies on HIV virus was published (Chono et al., 2010). Further possible applications have already been suggested, such as TA-based contamination control in fermentation processes (Kristoffersen et al., 2000), antibacterial drug development (Engelberg-Kulka et al., 2004; Moritz & Hergenrother, 2007; Lioy et al., 2010), selectable elimination of cells in cell cultures, tissue cultures and whole organisms (de la Cueva-Mendez et al., 2003) or stable plasmid maintenance without antibiotic pressure (Wladyka et al., 2010).

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Results collected so far give a complex but concise image of the role of TA systems in bacterial physiology. Their functions range far beyond stabilization of mobile genetic elements. Metabolic dormancy induced by the systems seems a general but adequate response to various stress stimuli coming from the environment. Endoribonucleases, also termed mRNA interferases, are the most common group among the toxic components of various TA systems. Their activity leads to bacteriostasis through the inhibition of translation, which enables survival during starvation or antibiotic exposition. Further specialisation of interferases in selective sequence recognition allowed some genes to escape from expression suppression or, conversely, become exceptionally sensitive to a particular TA system. These phenomena are suggested to play a significant role in pathogen-host interaction and pathogenesis progression by modulation of biofilm formation and interactions with host proteins or coupling with other pathogen invasion-facilitating systems.

The relations among the ten families of class II TA systems are difficult to untangle. These TA systems are spread throughout the two huge domains of Archaea and Bacteria. Beside clear relationships, it seems that the similar way of acting and regulation of various groups of TA systems are due to convergence. Components of such systems could have evolved divergently from unrelated groups of genes to create autoregulated operons coding for pairs of toxic protein and its inhibitor.

The physiological functions of the TA systems became a base for their successful applications as molecular biology tools, both in industry and research. Primarily they facilitate maintenance of plasmid vectors and transformant selection, but also effective overexpression of recombinant proteins. The potential application of TA systems in antibiotic therapy cannot be omitted as it is known that TA systems induce bacteriostasis, whose prolongation results in bacterial cell death. With the growing knowledge of TA systems new useful applications are expected to be developed.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Professor Adam Dubin for critical review of this manuscript.

This work was supported in part by grant NN302 130734 from the Ministry of Science and Higher Education.

REFERENCES

- Agarwal S, Agarwal S, Bhatnagar R (2007) Identification and characterization of a novel toxin-antitoxin module from *Bacillus anthracis*. FEBS Lett 581: 1727–1734.
- Agarwal S, Mishra NK, Bhatnagar S, Bhatnagar R (2009) PemK toxin of Bacillus anthracis is a ribonuclease: an insight into its active site, structure, and function. J Biol Chem 285: 7254-7270.
- Aizenman E, Engelberg-Kulka H, Glaser G (1996) An Escherichia coli chromosomal "addiction module" regulated by guanosine 3',5'-bispyrophosphate: a model for programmed bacterial cell death. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 93: 6059–6063. An Escherichia
- Anantharaman V, Aravind L (2003) New connections in the prokaryotic toxin-antitoxin network: relationship with the eukaryotic nonsense-mediated RNA decay system. Genome Biol 4: R81.
- Arbing MA, Handelman SK, Kuzin AP, Verdon G, Wang C, Su M, Rothenbacher FP, Abashidze M, Liu M, Hurley JM, Xiao R, Acton T, Inouye M, Montelione GT, Woychik NA, Hunt JF (2010) Crystal structures of Phd-Doc, HigA, and YeeU establish multiple evolutionary links between microbial growth-regulating toxin-antitoxin systems. Structure 18: 996-1010.
- Arcus VL, Rainey PB, Turner SJ (2005) The PIN-domain toxin-antitoxin array in mycobacteria. Trends Microbiol 13: 360-365.
- Bailey SE, Hayes F (2009) Influence of operator site geometry on transcriptional control by the YefM-YoeB toxin-antitoxin complex.] Bacteriol 191: 762-772
- Bailone A, Sommer S, Devoret R (1985) Mini-F plasmid-induced SOS signal in Escherichia coli is RecBC dependent. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 82: 5973-5977.
- Bech FW, Jorgensen ST, Diderichsen B, Karlstrom OH (1985) Sequence of the relB transcription unit from Escherichia coli and identi-
- quence of the relB transcription unit from *Excherichia coli* and identification of the *relB* gene. *EMBO J* 4: 1059–1066.
 Bernard P, Gabant P, Bahassi EM, Couturier M (1994) Positive-selection vectors using the F plasmid *calB* killer gene. *Gene* 148: 71–74.
 Black DS, Kelly AJ, Mardis MJ, Moyed HS (1991) Structure and organization of hip, an operon that affects lethality due to inhibition of peptidoglycan or DNA synthesis. *J Bacteriol* 173: 5732–5739.
 Black DS, Irwin B, Moyed HS (1994) Autoregulation of hip, an operon that affects lethality due to inhibition of peptidoglycan or DNA synthesis. *J Bacteriol* 173: 5732–5739.
- that affects lethality due to inhibition of peptidoglycan or DNA synthesis. J Bacteriol **176:** 4081–4091.
- Blower TR, Fineran PC, Johnson MJ, Toth IK, Humphreys DP, Sal-mond GP (2009) Mutagenesis and functional characterization of the RNA and protein components of the toxIN abortive infection and toxin-antitoxin locus of Erwinia. J Bacteriol **191:** 6029–6039.
- Bravo A, de Torrontegui G, Diaz Ř (1987) Identification of components of a new stability system of plasmid R1, ParD, that is close to the origin of replication of this plasmid. Mol Gen Genet 210: 101-110.
- Bravo A, Ortega S, de Torrontegui G, Diaz R (1988) Killing of Escherichia coli cells modulated by components of the stability system
- ParD of plasmid R1. Mol Gen Genet 215: 146–151. Brown BL, Grigoriu S, Kim Y, Arruda JM, Davenport A, Wood TK, Peti W, Page R (2009) Three dimensional structure of the MqsR:MqsA complex: a novel TA pair comprised of a toxin ho-

mologous to RelE and an antitoxin with unique properties. PLoS Pathog 5: e1000706.

- Budde PP, Davis BM, Yuan J, Waldor MK (2007) Characterization of a higBA toxin-antitoxin locus in Vibrio cholerae. J Bacteriol 189: 491-500
- Bukowski M, Wladyka B, Lyzen R, Szalewska-Palasz A, Rojowska A, Dubin G, Dubin A (2010) A novel mRNA interferase encoded in toxin-antitoxin system of Staphylococcus aureus targeting tetranucleotide sequence. Acta Biochim Pol 57 (Suppl. 4): 9.
- Buts L, Lah J, Dao-Thi MH, Wyns L, Loris R (2005) Toxin-antitoxin modules as bacterial metabolic stress managers. Trends Biochem Sci **30:** 672-679
- Camacho AG, Misselwitz R, Behlke J, Avora S, Welfle K, Meinhart A, Lara B, Saenger W, Welfle H, Alonso JC (2002) In vitro and in vivo stability of the epsilon2zeta2 protein complex of the broad host-range Streptococcus pyogenes pSM19035 addiction system. Biol Chem **383:** 1701–1713.
- Cashel M (1975) Regulation of bacterial ppGpp and pppGpp. Annu Rev Microbiol 29: 301-318.
- Chono H, Matsumoto K, Tsuda H, Saito N, Lee K, Kim S, Shibata H, Ageyama N, Terao K, Yasutomi Y, Mineno J, Kim S, Inouye M, Kato I (2010) Acquisition of HIV-1 resistance in T lymphocytes using an ACA-specific E. coli mRNA interferase. Hum Gene Ther.
- Christensen-Dalsgaard M, Gerdes K (2006) Two higBA loci in the Vi-brio cholerae superintegron encode mRNA cleaving enzymes and can stabilize plasmids. Mol Microbiol 62: 397-411.
- Christensen-Dalsgaard M, Gerdes K (2008) Translation affects YoeB and MazF messenger RNA interferase activities by different mechanisms. Nucleic Acids Res 36: 6472-6481.
- Christensen-Dalsgaard M, Jorgensen MG, Gerdes K (2010) Three new RelE-homologous mRNA interferases of Escherichia coli differentially induced by environmental stresses. Mol Microbiol 75: 333-348.
- Christensen SK, Mikkelsen M, Pedersen K, Gerdes K (2001) RelE, a global inhibitor of translation, is activated during nutritional stress. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 98: 14328–14333.
- Christensen SK, Pedersen K, Hansen FG, Gerdes K (2003) Toxin-antitoxin loci as stress-response-elements: ChpAK/MazF and ChpBK cleave translated RNAs and are counteracted by tmRNA. J Mol Biol 332: 809-819.
- Christensen SK, Maenhaut-Michel G, Mine N, Gottesman S, Gerdes K, Van Melderen L (2004) Overproduction of the Lon protease triggers inhibition of translation in Escherichia coli: involvement of the yefM-yoeB toxin-antitoxin system. Mol Microbiol 51: 1705-1717.
- Correia FF, D'Onofrio A, Rejtar T, Li L, Karger BL, Makarova K, Koonin EV, Lewis K (2006) Kinase activity of overexpressed HipA is required for growth arrest and multidrug tolerance in Escherichia coli. [Bacteriol 188: 8360-8367.
- Couturier M, Bahassi el M, Van Melderen L (1998) Bacterial death by DNA gyrase poisoning. Trends Microbiol 6: 269-275.
- Daines DA, Wu MH, Yuan SY (2007) VapC-1 of nontypeable Haemophilus influenzae is a ribonuclease. J Bacteriol 189: 5041-5048.
- de la Cueva-Mendez G, Mills AD, Clay-Farrace L, Diaz-Orejas R, Laskey RA (2003) Regulatable killing of eukaryotic cells by the prokaryotic proteins Kid and Kis. EMBO J 22: 246-251.
- de la Ĥoz AB, Ayora S, Sitkiewicz I, Fernandez S, Pankiewicz R, Alonso JC, Ceglowski P (2000) Plasmid copy-number control and better-than-random segregation genes of pSM19035 share a com-mon regulator. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **97:** 728–733.
- DeLano WL (2002) The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System. DeLano Scientific, San Carlos.
- Diago-Navarro E, Hernandez-Arriaga AM, Lopez-Villarejo J, Munoz-Gomez AJ, Kamphuis MB, Boelens R, Lemonnier M, Diaz-Orejas R (2010) parD toxin-antitoxin system of plasmid R1 — basic contributions, biotechnological applications and relationships with closely-related toxin-antitoxin systems. *FEBS J* 277: 3097–3117.
 Diderichsen B, Fiil NP, Lavalle R (1977) Genetics of the relB locus in *Escherichia edi. J Bacteriol* 131: 30–33.
- Donegan NP, Cheung AL (2009) Regulation of the mazEF toxin-antitoxin module in Staphylococcus aureus and its impact on sigB expression. J Bacteriol 191: 2795-2805.
- Donegan NP, Thompson ET, Fu Z, Cheung AL (2010) Proteolytic regulation of toxin-antitoxin systems by ClpPC in Staphylococcus aureus. J Bacteriol 192: 1416-1422
- Dorr T, Vulic M, Lewis K (2010) Ciprofloxacin causes persister formation by inducing the TisB toxin in Escherichia coli. PLoS Biol 8: e1000317
- Drlica K, Zhao X (1997) DNA gyrase, topoisomerase IV, and the 4-quinolones. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 61: 377-392.
- Engelberg-Kulka H, Sat B, Reches M, Amitai S, Hazan R (2004) Bacterial programmed cell death systems as targets for antibiotics. Trends Microbiol 12: 66–71
- Engelberg-Kulka H, Hazan R, Amitai S (2005) mazEF: a chromosomal toxin-antitoxin module that triggers programmed cell death in bacteria. J Cell Sci 118: 4327-4332.

- Falla TJ, Chopra I (1998) Joint tolerance to beta-lactam and fluoroquinolone antibiotics in Escherichia coli results from overexpression of hipA. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 42: 3282-324.
- Fernandez De Henestrosa AR, Ogi T, Aoyagi S, Chafin D, Hayes JJ, Ohmori H, Woodgate R (2000) Identification of additional genes belonging to the LexA regulon in Escherichia coli. Mol Microbiol 35: 1560-1572
- Ferreira A, Gray M, Wiedmann M, Boor KJ (2004) Comparative genomic analysis of the sigB operon in Listeria monocytogenes and in other Gram-positive bacteria. Curr Microbiol 48: 39-46.
- Fineran PC, Blower TR, Foulds IJ, Humphreys DP, Lilley KS, Salmond GP (2009) The phage abortive infection system, ToxIN, functions as a protein-RNA toxin-antitoxin pair. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 106: 894-899.
- Forde A, Fitzgerald GF (1999) Bacteriophage defence systems in lactic acid bacteria. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek 76: 89-113.
- Fu Z, Donegan NP, Memmi G, Cheung AL (2007) Characterization of MazFSa, an endoribonuclease from Staphylococcus aureus. J Bacteriol 189: 8871-8879.
- Fu Z, Tamber S, Memmi G, Donegan NP, Cheung AL (2009) Overexpression of MazFsa in Staphylococcus aureus induces bacteriostasis by selectively targeting mRNAs for cleavage. J Bacteriol 191: 2051–2059.
- Gallant J, Shell L, Bittner R (1976) A novel nucleotide implicated in the response of *E. voli* to energy source downshift. *Cell* **7**: 75–84. Galvani C, Terry J, Ishiguro EE (2001) Purification of the RelB and
- RelE proteins of Escherichia coli: RelE binds to RelB and to ribosomes. J Bacteriol 183: 2700–2703. Gazit E, Sauer RT (1999) The Doc toxin and Phd antidote proteins
- of the bacteriophage P1 plasmid addiction system form a heterotrimeric complex. J Biol Chem 274: 16813-16818.
- Gerdes K (2000) Toxin-antitoxin modules may regulate synthesis of macromolecules during nutritional stress. J Bacteriol 182: 561-572.
- Gerdes K, Molin S (1986) Partitioning of plasmid R1. Structural and functional analysis of the parA locus. J Mol Biol 190: 269-279
- Gerdes K, Wagner EG (2007) RNA antitoxins. Curr Opin Microbiol 10: 117-124.
- Gerdes K, Bech FW, Jorgensen ST, Lobner-Olesen A, Rasmussen PB, Atlung T, Boe L, Karlstrom O, Molin S, von Meyenburg K (1986) Mechanism of postsegregational killing by the hok gene product of the parB system of plasmid R1 and its homology with the relF gene product of the E. coli relB operon. Embo J 5: 2023-2029.
- Gerdes K, Christensen SK, Lobner-Olesen Å (2005) Prokaryotic toxinantitoxin stress response loci. Nat Rev Microbiol 3: 371-382.
- Gerlitz M, Hrabak O, Schwab H (1990) Partitioning of broad-hostrange plasmid RP4 is a complex system involving site-specific recombination. J Bacteriol 172: 6194-6203.
- Gertz S, Engelmann S, Schmid R, Ohlsen K, Hacker J, Hecker M (1999) Regulation of sigmaB-dependent transcription of sigB and asp23 in two different Staphylococcus aureus strains. Mol Gen Genet 261: 558-566.
- Gonzalez Barrios AF, Zuo R, Hashimoto Y, Yang L, Bentley WE, Wood TK (2006) Autoinducer 2 controls biofilm formation in Escherichia coli through a novel motility quorum-sensing regulator (MqsR, B3022). J Bacteriol 188: 305-316.
- Gottesman S (1996) Proteases and their targets in Escherichia coli. Annu Rev Genet 30: 465-506.
- Greenfield TJ, Ehli E, Kirshenmann T, Franch T, Gerdes K, Weaver KE (2000) The antisense RNA of the par locus of pAD1 regulates the expression of a 33-amino-acid toxic peptide by an unusual mechanism. Mol Microbiol 37: 652-660.
- Hallez R, Geeraerts D, Sterckx Y, Mine N, Loris R, Van Melderen L (2010) New toxins homologous to ParE belonging to three-compo-nent toxin-antitoxin systems in *Escherichia coli* O157:H7. *Mol Micro*biol 76: 719-732
- Hayes CS, Sauer RT (2003) Toxin-antitoxin pairs in bacteria: killers or stress regulators? Cell 112: 2-4.
- Hazan R, Engelberg-Kulka H (2004) Escherichia coli mazEF-mediated cell death as a defense mechanism that inhibits the spread of phage P1. Mol Genet Genomics 272: 227-234.
- Hazan R, Sat B, Reches M, Engelberg-Kulka H (2001) Postsegregation-al killing mediated by the P1 phage "addiction module" phd-doc phd-doc requires the Escherichia coli programmed cell death system mazEF. J Bacteriol 183: 2046–2050.
- Inouye M (2006) The discovery of mRNA interferases: implication in bacterial physiology and application to biotechnology. J Cell Physiol **209:** 670–676.
- Jensen SO, Apisiridej S, Kwong SM, Yang YH, Skurray RA, Firth N (2010) Analysis of the prototypical Staphylococcus aureus multiresistance plasmid pSK1. Plasmid 64: 135-142.
- Jiang Y, Pogliano J, Helinski DR, Konieczny I (2002) ParE toxin encoded by the broad-host-range plasmid RK2 is an inhibitor of Es-cherichia coli gyrase. Mol Microbiol 44: 971–979.
- Jorgensen MG, Pandey DP, Jaskolska M, Gerdes K (2009) HicA of Es cherichia coli defines a novel family of translation-independent mRNA interferases in bacteria and archaea. J Bacteriol 191: 1191-1199.

- Justesen J, Lund T, Skou Pedersen F, Kjeldgaard NO (1986) The physiology of stringent factor (ATP:GTP 3'-diphosphotransferase) in Es-cherichia coli. Biochimie 68: 715–722.
- Kamada K, Hanaoka F, Burley SK (2003) Crystal structure of the MazE/MazF complex: molecular bases of antidote-toxin recognition. Mol Cell 11: 875-884.
- Karoui H, Bex F, Dreze P, Couturier M (1983) Ham22, a mini-F mutation which is lethal to host cell and promotes recA-dependent induction of lambdoid prophage. EMBO J 2: 1863–1868. Kasari V, Kurg K, Margus T, Tenson T, Kaldalu N (2010) The Es-
- *cherichia coli* mqsR and ygiT genes encode a new toxin-antitoxin pair. *J Bacteriol* **192:** 2908–2919.
- Kawano M, Aravind L, Storz G (2007) An antisense RNA controls synthesis of an SOS-induced toxin evolved from an antitoxin. Mol *Microbiol* 64: 738–754.
- Kedzierska B, Lian LY, Hayes F (2007) Toxin-antitoxin regulation: bimodal interaction of YefM-YoeB with paired DNA palindromes exerts transcriptional autorepression. Nucleic Acids Res 35: 325-339.
- Keren I, Kaldalu N, Spoering A, Wang Y, Lewis K (2004) Persister cells and tolerance to antimicrobials. FEMS Microbiol Lett 230: 13–8.
- Kim Y, Wang X, Ma Q, Zhang XS, Wood TK (2009) Toxin-antitoxin systems in *Escherichia coli* influence biofilm formation through YjgK (TabA) and fimbriae. J Bacteriol 191: 1258-1267
- Kim Y, Wang X, Zhang XS, Grigoriu S, Page R, Peti W, Wood TK (2010) Escherichia coli toxin/antitoxin pair MqsR/MqsA regulate toxin CspD. Environ Microbiol 12: 1105-1121.
- Kolodkin-Gal I, Hazan R, Gaathon A, Carmeli S, Engelberg-Kulka H (2007) A linear pentapeptide is a quorum-sensing factor required for mazEF-mediated cell death in Escherichia coli. Science 318: 652-655.
- Korch SB, Hill TM (2006) Ectopic overexpression of wild-type and mutant hipA genes in *Escherichia coli*: effects on macromolecular syn-thesis and persister formation. J Bacteriol **188**: 3826–3836.
- Kristoffersen P, Jensen GB, Gerdes K, Piskur J (2000) Bacterial toxinantitoxin gene system as containment control in yeast cells. Appl Environ Microbiol 66: 5524-5526.
- Kullik I, Giachino P, Fuchs T (1998) Deletion of the alternative sigma factor sigmaB in Staphylococcus aureus reveals its function as a global regulator of virulence genes. J Bacteriol 180: 4814-4820.
- Lavalle R (1965) New mutants for regulation of RNA synthesis. Bull Soc Chim Biol (Paris) 47: 1567–1570.
- Lehnherr H, Yarmolinsky MB (1995) Addiction protein Phd of plasmid prophage P1 is a substrate of the ClpXP serine protease of Escherichia coli. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 92: 3274–3277.
- Lehnherr H, Maguin E, Jafri S, Yarmolinsky MB (1993) Plasmid addiction genes of bacteriophage P1: doc, which causes cell death on curing of prophage, and phd, which prevents host death when prophage is retained. J Mol Biol 233: 414-428.
- Lewis K (2005) Persister cells and the riddle of biofilm survival. Biochemistry (Mosc) 70: 267-274.
- Lewis K (2007) Persister cells, dormancy and infectious disease. Nat Rev Microbiol 5: 48-56.
- Lewis K (2008) Multidrug tolerance of biofilms and persister cells. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol 322: 107-131.
- Li GY, Zhang Y, Inouye M, Ikura M (2008) Structural mechanism of
- Lion, Zhang T, Hodye H, Hata H (2006) Structural inecriatism of transcriptional autorepression of the *Escherichia coli* RelB/RelE anti-toxin/toxin module. *J Mol Biol* 380: 107–119.
 Lioy VS, Martin MT, Camacho AG, Lurz R, Antelmann H, Hecker M, Hitchin E, Ridge Y, Wells JM, Alonso JC (2006) pSM19035-encod-ed zeta toxin induces stasis followed by dominant bio activity of ed zeta toxin induces stasis followed by death in a subpopulation of cells. *Microbiology* **152**: 2365–2379.
- Lioy VS, Rey O, Balsa D, Pellicer T, Alonso JC (2010) A toxin-antitoxin module as a target for antimicrobial development. Plasmid 63: 31-39.
- Little JW, Mount DW (1982) The SOS regulatory system of Escherichia coli. Cell 29: 11-22.
- Liu M, Zhang Y, Inouye M, Woychik NA (2008) Bacterial addiction module toxin Doc inhibits translation elongation through its association with the 30S ribosomal subunit. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 105: 5885-5890.
- Lowder BV, Guinane CM, Ben Zakour NL, Weinert LA, Conway-Morris A, Cartwright RA, Simpson AJ, Rambaut A, Nubel U, Fitzgerald JR (2009) Recent human-to-poultry host jump, adaptation, and pandemic spread of Staphylococcus aureus. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA **106:** 19545–19550.
- Magnuson R, Yarmolinsky MB (1998) Corepression of the P1 addiction operon by Phd and Doc. J Bacteriol 180: 6342-6351.
- Magnuson R, Lehnherr H, Mukhopadhyay G, Yarmolinsky MB (1996) Autoregulation of the plasmid addiction operon of bacteriophage P1. J Biol Chem 271: 18705-18710.
- Makarova KS, Grishin NV, Koonin EV (2006) The HicAB cassette, a putative novel, RNA-targeting toxin-antitoxin system in archaea and bacteria. Bioinformatics 22: 2581-2584.
- Makarova KS, Wolf YI, Koonin EV (2009) Comprehensive comparative-genomic analysis of type 2 toxin-antitoxin systems and related mobile stress response systems in prokaryotes. Biol Direct 4: 19.

- Mao L, Tang Y, Vaiphei ST, Shimazu T, Kim SG, Mani R, Fakhoury E, White E, Montelione GT, Inouye M (2009) Production of membrane proteins for NMR studies using the condensed single protein (cSPP) production system. J Struct Funct Genomics 10: 281-289
- Marianovsky I, Aizenman E, Engelberg-Kulka H, Glaser G (2001) The regulation of the Escherichia coli mazEF promoter involves an unusual alternating palindrome. [Biol Chem 276: 5975-5984.
- Masuda Y, Miyakawa K, Nishimura Y, Ohtsubo E (1993) chpA and chpB, Escherichia ali chromosomal homologs of the pen locus re-sponsible for stable maintenance of plasmid R100. J Bacteriol 175: 6850-6856.
- McKenzie GJ, Magner DB, Lee PL, Rosenberg SM (2003) The dinB operon and spontaneous mutation in Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol 185: 3972-3877
- Meinhart A, Alonso JC, Strater N, Saenger W (2003) Crystal structure of the plasmid maintenance system epsilon/zeta: functional mechanism of toxin zeta and inactivation by epsilon 2 zeta 2 complex formation. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 100: 1661-1666.
- Metzger S, Dror IB, Aizenman E, Schreiber G, Toone M, Friesen JD, Cashel M, Glaser G (1988) The nucleotide sequence and characterization of the relA gene of Escherichia coli. J Biol Chem 263: 15699-15704.
- Miki T, Park JA, Nagao K, Murayama N, Horiuchi T (1992) Control of segregation of chromosomal DNA by sex factor F in *Escherichia coli*. Mutants of DNA gyrase subunit A suppress letD (ccdB) product growth inhibition. *J Mol Biol* **225**: 39–52.
- Miller S (1989) The structure of interfaces between subunits of dimeric and tetrameric proteins. *Protein Eng* 3: 77–83.
 Mitchell HL, Dashper SG, Catmull DV, Paolini RA, Cleal SM, Slakeski
- N, Tan KH, Reynolds EC (2010) Treponema denticola biofilm-induced expression of a bacteriophage, toxin-antitoxin systems and transposases. *Microbiology* 156: 774-788.
- Mittenhuber G (1999) Occurrence of mazEF-like antitoxin/toxin systems in bacteria. J'Mol Microbiol Biotechnol 1: 295-302.
- Moritz EM, Hergenrother PJ (2007) Toxin-antitoxin systems are ubiquitous and plasmid-encoded in vancomycin-resistant enterococci. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 104: 311-316.
- Mosteller RD (1978) Evidence that glucose starvation-sensitive mutants are altered in the relB locus. J Bacteriol 133: 1034-1037
- Motiejunaite R, Armalyte J, Markuckas A, Suziedeliene E (2007) Escherichia coli dinJ-yafQ genes act as a toxin-antitoxin module. FEMS Microbiol Lett 268: 112-119.
- Munoz-Gomez AJ S-SS, Berzal-Herranz A, Lemonnier M, Diaz RO (2004) Insights into the specificity of RNA cleavage by the Es-cherichia coli MazF toxin. FEBS Lett 567: 316–320.
- Nariya H, Inouye M (2008) MazF, an mRNA interferase, mediates programmed cell death during multicellular Myxococcus development. Cell 132: 55–66.
- Nystrom T (1999) Starvation, cessation of growth and bacterial aging. Curr Opin Microbiol 2: 214-219.
- Ogura T, Hiraga S (1983) Mini-F plasmid genes that couple host cell division to plasmid proliferation. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 80: 4784-4788.
- Pandey DP, Gerdes K (2005) Toxin-antitoxin loci are highly abundant in free-living but lost from host-associated prokaryotes. Nucleic Acids Res 33: 966-976.
- Pedersen K, Christensen SK, Gerdes K (2002) Rapid induction and reversal of a bacteriostatic condition by controlled expression of toxins and antitoxins. Mol Microbiol 45: 501-510.
- Pedersen K, Zavialov AV, Pavlov MY, Elf J, Gerdes K, Ehrenberg M (2003) The bacterial toxin RelE displays codon-specific cleavage of mRNAs in the ribosomal A site. *Cell* **112:** 131–140.
- Robson J, McKenzie JL, Cursons R, Cook GM, Arcus VL (2009) The vapBC operon from Mycobacterium smegmatis is an autoregulated toxin-antitoxin module that controls growth via inhibition of translation. J Mol Biol 390: 353-367.
- Saurugger PN, Hrabak O, Schwab H, Lafferty RM (1986) Mapping and cloning of the par-region of broad-host-range plasmid RP4. J Biotechnol 4: 333-343.
- Schneider WM, Inouye M, Montelione GT, Roth MJ (2009) Independently inducible system of gene expression for condensed single protein production (cSPP) suitable for high efficiency isotope enrichment. J Struct Funct Genomics 10: 219-225.
- Schumacher MA, Piro KM, Xu W, Hansen S, Lewis K, Brennan RG (2009) Molecular mechanisms of HipA-mediated multidrug tolerance and its neutralization by HipB. Science 323: 396-401.
- Senn MM, Giachino P, Homerova D, Steinhuber A, Strassner J, Kormanec J, Fluckiger U, Berger-Bachi B, Bischoff M (2005) Molecular analysis and organization of the sigmaB operon in Staphylococcus aureus. J Bacteriol 187: 8006-8019.
- Sevin EW, Barloy-Hubler F (2007) RASTA-Bacteria: a web-based tool for identifying toxin-antitoxin loci in prokaryotes. Genome Biol 8: R155
- Siboo IR, Chambers HF, Sullam PM (2005) Role of SraP, a serine-rich surface protein of Staphylococcus aureus, in binding to human platelets. Infect Immun 73: 2273-2280.

- Singletary LA, Gibson JL, Tanner EJ, McKenzie GJ, Lee PL, Gonzalez C, Rosenberg SM (2009) An SOS-regulated type 2 toxin-antitoxin system. J Bacteriol 191: 7456–7465.
- Sobecky PÅ, Easter CL, Bear PD, Helinski DR (1996) Characterization of the stable maintenance properties of the par region of broadhost-range plasmid RK2. J Bacteriol 178: 2086–2093.
- Stieber D, Gabant P, Szpirer C (2008) The art of selective killing: plasmid toxin/antitoxin systems and their technological applications. *Biotechniques* 45: 344–346.
- Suzuki M, Zhang J, Liu M, Woychik NA, Inouye M (2005) Single protein production in living cells facilitated by an mRNA interferase. *Mol Cell* 18: 253–261.
- Suzuki M, Mao L, Inouye M (2007) Single protein production (SPP) system in *Escherichia coli. Nat Protoc* 2: 1802–1810.
- Szpirer CY, Milinkovitch MC (2005) Separate-component-stabilization system for protein and DNA production without the use of antibiotics. *Biotechniques* 38: 775–781.
- Thisted T, Gerdes K (1992) Mechanism of post-segregational killing by the hok/sok system of plasmid R1. Sok antisense RNA regulates hok gene expression indirectly through the overlapping mok gene. J Mol Biol 223: 41–54.
- Tsilibaris V, Maenhaut-Michel G, Mine N, Van Melderen L (2007)
 What is the benefit to *Escherichia coli* of having multiple toxin-antitoxin systems in its genome? *J Bacteriol* 189: 6101–6108.
 Tsuchimoto S, Ohtsubo E (1993) Autoregulation by cooperative bind-
- Tsuchimoto S, Ohtsubo E (1993) Autoregulation by cooperative binding of the PemI and PemK proteins to the promoter region of the pem operon. *Mol Gen Genet* 237: 81–88.
- Tsuchimoto S, Ohtsubo H, Ohtsubo E (1988) Two genes, pemK and pemI, responsible for stable maintenance of resistance plasmid R100. J Bacteriol 170: 1461–1466.
- Unoson Č, Wagner EG (2008) A small SOS-induced toxin is targeted against the inner membrane in *Escherichia coli*. Mol Microbiol 70: 258–270.
- Vaiphei ST, Mao L, Shimazu T, Park JH, Inouye M (2010) Use of amino acids as inducers for high-level protein expression in the single-protein production system. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **76**: 6063–6068.
- Van Melderen L, Bernard P, Couturier M (1994) Lon-dependent proteolysis of CcdA is the key control for activation of CcdB in plasmidfree segregant bacteria. *Mol Microbiol* **11**: 1151–1157.
- Van Melderen L, Saavedra De Bast M (2009) Bacterial toxin-antitoxin systems: more than selfish entities? *PLoS Genet* 5: e1000437.
- Vogel J, Argaman L, Wagner EG, Altuvia S (2004) The small RNA IstR inhibits synthesis of an SOS-induced toxic peptide. *Curr Biol* 14: 2271–2276.

- Weaver KE, Reddy SG, Brinkman CL, Patel S, Bayles KW, Endres JL (2009) Identification and characterization of a family of toxinantitoxin systems related to the *Enterococcus faecalis* plasmid pAD1 par addiction module. *Microbiology* 155: 2930–2940.
- Władyka B, Ilczyszyn WM, Pogwizd J, Rojowska A, Malachowa J, Bonar E, Polakowska K, Dubin G, Dubin A (2010) Potential application of staphylococcal pCH91 plasmid in biotechnology. *Acta Biochim Pol* 57 (Suppl. 4): 24.
 Wu S, de Lencastre H, Tomasz A (1996) Sigma-B, a putative oper-
- Wu S, de Lencastre H, Tomasz A (1996) Sigma-B, a putative operon encoding alternate sigma factor of *Staphylococcus aureus* RNA polymerase: molecular cloning and DNA sequencing. *J Bacteriol* 178: 6036–6042.
- Yamaguchi Y, Park JH, Inouye M (2009) MqsR, a crucial regulator for quorum sensing and biofilm formation, is a GCU-specific mRNA interferase in *Escherichia coli*. J Biol Chem 284: 28746–28753.
- Yang M, Gao C, Wang Y, Zhang H, He ZG (2010) Characterization of the interaction and cross-regulation of three Mycobacterium tubereulosis RelBE modules. PLoS One 5: e10672.
- Zhang Y, Inouye M (2009) The inhibitory mechanism of protein synthesis by YoeB, an *Escherichia coli* toxin. J Biol Chem **284**: 6627–6638.
- Zhang J, Źhang Ý, Zhu L, Suzuki M, Inouye M (2004) Interference of mRNA function by sequence-specific endoribonuclease PemK. J Biol Chem 279: 20678–20684.
- Zhang Y, Zhang J, Hoeflich KP, Ikura M, Qing G, Inouye M (2003) MazF cleaves cellular mRNAs specifically at ACA to block protein synthesis in *Escherichia coli. Mol Cell* 12: 913–923.
- Zhang Y, Zhu L, Zhang J, Inouye M (2005) Characterization of ChpBK, an mRNA interferase from *Escherichia coli*. J Biol Chem 280: 26080–26088.
- Zhu L, Zhang Y, Teh JS, Zhang J, Connell N, Rubin H, Inouye M (2006) Characterization of mRNA interferases from *Mycobacterium* tuberculosis. J Biol Chem 281: 18638–18643.
- Zhu L, Phadtare S, Nariya H, Ouyang M, Husson RN, Inouye M (2008) The mRNA interferases, MazF-mt3 and MazF-mt7 from *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* target unique pentad sequences in singlestranded RNA. *Mol Microbiol* 69: 559–569.
- Zhu L, Inoue K, Yoshizumi S, Kobayashi H, Zhang Y, Ouyang M, Kato F, Sugai M, Inouye M (2009) *Staphylococcus aureus* MazF specifically cleaves a pentad sequence, UACAU, which is unusually abundant in the mRNA for pathogenic adhesive factor SraP. J Bacteriol 191: 3248–3255.