



Regular paper

Pioglitazone, a PPAR-gamma ligand, exerts cytostatic/cytotoxic effects against cancer cells, that do not result from inhibition of proteasome

Piotr Mrówka^{1,2∞}, Eliza Głodkowska¹, Izabela Młynarczuk-Biały³, Łukasz Biały³, Ulrike Kuckelkorn⁴, Dominika Nowis¹, Marcin Makowski¹, Magdalena Legat¹ and Jakub Gołąb¹

¹Department of Immunology, Center of Biostructure Research; ²Department of Biophysics and Human Physiology and ³Department of Histology and Embryology, Center of Biostructure Resaearch, The Medical University of Warsaw, Warszawa, Poland; ⁴Institute of Biochemistry, Charité-Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Germany

> Received: 07 November, 2007; revised: 19 February, 2008; accepted: 03 March, 2008 available on-line: 10 March, 2008

Thiazolidinediones are oral antidiabetic agents that activate peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-gamma (PPAR-γ) and exert potent antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties. It has also been shown that PPAR-γ agonists induce G0/G1 arrest and apoptosis of malignant cells. Some of these effects have been suggested to result from inhibition of proteasome activity in target cells. The aim of our studies was to critically evaluate the cytostatic/cytotoxic effects of one of thiazolidinediones (pioglitazone) and its influence on proteasome activity. Pioglitazone exerted dose-dependent cytostatic/cytotoxic effects in MIA PaCa-2 cells. Incubation of tumor cells with pioglitazone resulted in increased levels of p53 and p27 and decreased levels of cyclin D1. Accumulation of polyubiquitinated proteins within cells incubated with pioglitazone suggested dysfunction of proteasome activity. However, we did not observe any influence of pioglitazone on the activity of isolated proteasome and on the proteolytic activity in lysates of pioglitazone-treated MIA PaCa-2 cells. Further, treatment with pioglitazone did not cause an accumulation of fluorescent proteasome substrates in transfected HeLa cells expressing unstable GFP variants. Our results indicate that pioglitazone does not act as a direct or indirect proteasome inhibitor.

Keywords: pioglitazone, thiazolidinediones, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-gamma, proteasome

INTRODUCTION

Pioglitazone belongs to a class of antidiabetic drugs called thiazolidinediones (TZDs). These compounds have been shown to improve insulin sensitivity and inhibit hepatic gluconeogenesis by activation of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-gamma (PPAR- γ) (Kahn *et al.*, 2000).

PPAR- γ receptor is a member of the nuclear receptor superfamily (Theocharis *et al.*, 2004). After

heterodimerization with retinoic acid-like receptor (RXR) it recognizes a specific sequence – the peroxisome proliferator response element (PPRE) located within promoters of target genes, and acts as a transcription regulator for genes involved in lipid and glucose metabolism and energy homeostasis (Desvergne & Wahli, 1999). Moreover, it also affects expression of genes that regulate proliferation, terminal differentiation, apoptosis, angiogenesis and inflammation. Natural ligands of PPAR- γ are long-

^{CC}Corresponding author: Piotr Mrówka, Department of Biophysics and Human Physiology, The Medical University of Warsaw, Chalubinskiego 5, 02-004 Warszawa, Poland; tel.: (48) 22 628 6334, fax: (48) 22 628 7846; e-mail: pmroovka@ib.amwaw.edu.pl

Abbreviations: 15-PGJ₂, 15-deoxy- $\Delta^{12,14}$ -prostaglandin J₂; GADD45, damage-inducible gene 45; GFP, green fluorescent protein; PBS, phosphate-buffered saline; PPAR- γ , peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-gamma; PPRE, peroxisome proliferator response elements; RXR, retinoic acid-like receptor; TZDs, thiazolidinediones; UFD, ubiquitin-fusion degradation.

chain polyunsaturated fatty acids, arachidonic acid metabolites such as 15-deoxy- $\Delta^{12,14}$ -prostaglandin J₂ (15-PGJ₂) and other fatty acid-derived compounds. High levels of PPAR- γ are detected in adipose tissue and its important role in adipocytogenesis is well established (Lecka-Czernik *et al.*, 2002). Lower levels of this receptor are found in intestinal epithelium, skeletal muscle, retina and lymphatic tissue (Michalik *et al.*, 2002). Moreover, PPAR- γ are expressed in a variety of tumor cells (Ray *et al.*, 2004; Strakova *et al.*, 2004; Subbarayan *et al.*, 2004; Park *et al.*, 2005; Cellai *et al.*, 2006; Emery *et al.*, 2006; Nomura *et al.*, 2006; Nam *et al.*, 2007; Occhi *et al.*, 2007).

Recent reports show that PPAR-y agonists may exert cytostatic and cytotoxic activity against tumor cells in vitro (Brockman et al., 1998; Sarraf et al., 1998; Takahashi et al., 1999; Chang & Szabo, 2000; Ray et al., 2004). Although these findings are rather new and need clinical verification, a growing number of observations indicate that PPAR- γ agonists might exert an antitumor activity in vivo as well. Synthetic ligands of PPAR- γ receptors include not only anti-diabetic TZDs such as troglitazone, rosiglitazone, ciglitazone and pioglitazone, but also some non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs such as indomethacin, ibuprofen or fenoprofen (Wang et al., 2006), and specific PPAR-y ligands like GW 7845 (Suh et al., 1999). Thiazolidinediones have been shown to induce apoptosis of tumor cells, associated with caspase-3 activation and decreased expression of anti-apoptotic proteins BCL-2 and BCL-X₁ (Elstner et al., 1998; Chattopadhyay et al., 2000). Gene expression analysis with DNA microarrays in TZDs-treated tumor cells revealed PPAR-y targets among proteins involved in growth regulatory pathways (Vignati et al., 2006). It was shown that TZDs induce G0/G1 arrest and apoptosis of malignant cells. Although the mechanism of this action still needs elucidation, several reports indicate that PPAR- γ agonists influence expression of cell cycle regulatory proteins. TZDs can increase the levels of cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors such as p21^{Waf1} and p27^{Kip1}, thereby blocking cell cycle progression (Elstner et al., 1998; Elnemr et al., 2000; Radhakrishnan & Gartel, 2005). Other reports showed TZDs-mediated up-regulation of the tumor suppressor p53, involved in cell cycle regulation, control of DNA repair systems and apoptosis (Liu et al., 2006). One of the suggested mechanisms of this action was inhibition of proteasome-dependent degradation of cell cycle inhibitors (Motomura et al., 2004).

Proteasomal proteolysis is the major non-lysosomal protein degradation pathway (Grune, 2000; Kloetzel, 2001; Mani & Gelmann, 2005). It is essential for such cellular functions as metabolic adaptation, removal of abnormal proteins, stress response, generation of antigen peptides presented by MHC I, and cell cycle control (Kloetzel, 2001; 2004; 2004). The process is conducted by a large multi-catalytic protease complex — the 26S proteasome – consisting of 20S proteasome, which forms a cylindrical, catalytic core, and two asymmetric 19S regulatory complexes associated on both sides of the 20S complex. The 20S core is built of four rings consisting of seven subunits each. Proteolytic activity is localized at the inner surface of three out of the seven subunits of the inner rings (Peters *et al.*, 1993; Wlodawer, 1995; Coux *et al.*, 1996).

The correct function of proteasomes is indispensable for tumor cells' growth due to their role in ordered and rapid degradation of many key regulatory proteins (Spataro *et al.*, 1998; Mani & Gelmann, 2005). Therefore, proteasomes are potent targets for antitumor therapy and their inhibitor Bortezomib is approved for clinical use in relapsed multiple myeloma (Richardson & Anderson, 2003; Cecchi *et al.*, 2005; Ludwig *et al.*, 2005; Meiners *et al.*, 2007). The consequences of action of proteasome inhibitors include cell cycle arrest (Fan *et al.*, 2001; Zhang *et al.*, 2004; Drakos *et al.*, 2007; Yan *et al.*, 2007) and induction of apoptosis (Pigneux *et al.*, 2007).

Understanding of the processes in which TZDs affect the cell cycle is of great importance from the perspective of type 2 diabetes treatment and potential antitumor applications. The aim of the present study was to determine whether proteasomes are involved in the antitumor activity of pioglitazone.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell lines. Human pancreatic cancer MIA PaCa-2 cell line was obtained from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). Stable cell lines overexpressing marker substrates HeLa-GV and HeLa-R were kindly provided by Dr. Cezary Wójcik from the Department of Anatomy and Cell Biology, Indiana University, School of Medicine (Evansville, USA). Cells were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Medium (DMEM; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal calf serum (FCS) and antibiotics (all from Gibco BRL, Paisley, UK), hereafter referred to as culture medium. Cells were maintained in a humidified 5% CO₂ atmosphere at 37°C.

Drugs and reagents. Pioglitazone was kindly donated by Takeda Chemical Industries Ltd. (Osaka, Japan). It was diluted in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO; Sigma-Aldrich) to a final concentration of 50 mM. Stock solution was aliquoted and stored frozen (–20°C).

Cytostatic/cytotoxic assays. The cytostatic and/or cytotoxic effects of combination treatment were measured using a crystal violet staining quantitative assay and XTT assay based on the ability of mitochondrial dehydrogenase enzymes in living cells to convert the XTT substrate (2,3-bis(2-methoxy-4-nitro-5-sulfophenyl)-5-[(phenyloamino)carboxyl]-2Htetrazolium hydroxide) into a water-soluble color product - formazan. Although the result of these tests do not give a direct information about condition of cells, the amount of crystal violet bound is proportional to the number of cells and the production of formazans is proportional to the viability of cells, which makes these methods useful in cytostatic/cytotoxic studies (Scudiero et al., 1988). The MIA PaCa-2 cells were dispensed into a 96-well flat-bottomed microtiter plate (Nunc, Rochester, NY, USA) at a concentration 3×10^3 cells/100 µl per well and allowed to attach overnight. Then, the cells were treated for 24, 48 or 72 h with different pioglitazone concentrations. Control cells were incubated with DMSO at a concentration corresponding to the highest dose of pioglitazone (0.2%). DMSO at this concentration did not cause any significant cytostatic/ cytotoxic effects as compared with untreated control. For crystal violet staining the medium was removed, wells were washed with PBS and stained with 0.5% crystal violet in 30% ethanol for 15 min at room temperature. The plates were washed four times with tap water. The cells were lysed in 1% SDS solution, and dye uptake was measured at 550 nm using an ELISA reader (SLT Labinstrument GmbH, Salzburg, Austria). The relative number of cells was calculated as follows: relative number of cells = [(experimental absorbance - background absorbance)/(untreated control absorbance - background absorbance)] × 100%. Alternatively, after pioglitazone treatment the culture medium were replaced with medium containing 0.35 mg/ml of XTT (Sigma-Aldrich) and 7.5 µg/ml of phenazine methosulfate (Sigma-Aldrich). After 4 h of incubation, production of formazan was measured at 450 nm using an ELISA reader. The relative viability was calculated as follows: relative viability = [(experimental absorbance - background absorbance)/(untreated control absorbance - background absorbance)] × 100%.

Western blotting. For Western blotting studies, MIA PaCa-2 cells were cultured with different concentrations of pioglitazone. After 48 h of culture, cells were collected and lysed as described before (Nowis *et al.*, 2006). Protein concentration was measured using Bradford's method (Bio Rad, Rockville NY, USA). Equal amounts of proteins were separated on 12.5% SDS/polyacrylamide gel, transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes, blocked with TBST (Tris-buffered saline (pH 7.4), 0.05% Tween 20) with 5% nonfat milk and 5% fetal bovine serum. Primary antibodies were applied overnight at 4°C, dilution 1:1000. Antibodies recognizing the following antigens were used: p53 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc., Santa Cruz, CA, USA), ubiquitin (P4D1, Cell Signaling Technology Inc., Beverly, MA, USA), $p27^{Kip1}$ (Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.). Anti- α -tubulin antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc.) served as a protein loading control. After extensive washing with TBST, the membranes were incubated for 45 min in corresponding horseradish peroxidase-coupled secondary antibodies (ImmunoPure Antibody goat anti-mouse and donky anti-rabbit, Pierce, Rockford, IL, USA). The chemiluminescent reaction was developed using West Pico (Pierce) reagent.

Isolation of 20S proteasomes. Constitutively expressed 20S proteasomes were isolated from T2 cells. Cells were lysed with DTT (dithiothreitol, 1 mM) and the supernatant was applied to DEAE-Sephacel (Pharmacia Fine Chemicals, Freiburg, Germany). 20S proteasome was eluted with an NaCl gradient in TEAD (20 mM Tris/HCl, pH 7.4, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM sodium azide and 1 mM DTT) from 100 to 350 mM NaCl. 20S proteasome was concentrated by ammonium sulfate precipitation (between 40 and 70% of saturation) and separated in a 10-40% sucrose gradient by centrifugation at 40 000 r.p.m. for 16 h (SW40; L7, Beckman & Coulter, Palo Alto, USA). Finally, 20S proteasome was purified on a MonoQ column and eluted with an NaCl gradient at 280 mM NaCl. The fractions containing purified 20S proteasome were dialyzed against 50 mM NaCl in TEAD and stored on ice. The purity was determined by SDS/PAGE.

Protease assays. Fluorescent proteasome substrates: Suc-LLVY-AMC or with Z-GGL-AMC (BACHEM, Bubendorf, Switzerland and Calbiochem, San Diego, CA, USA) were used to estimate chymotrypsin-like activities of the 20S proteasome. 20S proteasome (100 ng) was pre-incubated for 15 min with 0.1-100 µM pioglitazone, 1 µM MG132 or DMSO (0.2%) used as control. The reaction was started by addition of substrate (50 µM). Substrates were incubated with 20S proteasome at 37°C in assay buffer (20 mM Tris/HCl, pH 7.2, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT) for 1 h. The released AMC was detected by fluorescence emission at 460 nm (excitation at 390 nm) using a Fluostar Reader (SLT Labinstruments, Crailsheim, Germany). Activity was estimated in fluorescence units and as percentage of control value.

Measurements of proteasomes activity within cells. Cells were harvested after 24, 48 or 72 h of incubation with pioglitazone or DMSO (0.2%) and lysed with 0.1% NP40 in TEAD in the presence of commercial protease inhibitor mixture Complete (Roche). The proteasomal activity was measured in 10 µl of lysates using Suc-LLVY-AMC (BACHEM, Calbiochem) to estimate the chymotrypsin-like activity of the 20S proteasome. The released AMC was detected by fluorescence emission at 460 nm (excitation at 390 nm) using a Fluostar Reader. The results of those measurements were calculated relative to the number of cells estimated in the crystal violet staining quantitative assay and shown as the percentage of untreated control. Cells treated for 2 h with 10 μ M MG132 served as a positive control of proteasome activity inhibition.

Assessment of proteasome function using stable cell lines overexpressing fluorescent proteasome substrates. To further study the influence of pioglitazone on proteasome activity we examined the accumulation of proteins using known fluorescent substrates of the proteasomal degradation. HeLa cells expressing two different unstable cytosolic GFP variants were used. R-GFP has a destabilizing N-terminal Arg residue and is recognized and targeted for degradation by the N-end rule pathway (HeLa-R), while Ub_{G76V}GFP has non-cleavable ubiquitin fused in frame with GFP, targeting the product for degradation through the ubiquitin-fusion degradation (UFD) pathway (HeLa-GV).

The morphology of both cell lines, HeLa-R and HeLa-GV, was examined after 48 h of treatment with different concentrations of pioglitazone or MG132 directly on 24-well plates by phase contrast microscopy (Nikon Eclipse TE2000-U connected to Nikon Digital Sight DS-U1 camera). Accumulation of marker substrates was assessed by fluorescence intensity and was examined by fluorescence microscopy (Nikon Eclipse TE2000-U connected to Nikon Digital Sight DS-U1 camera). Cells treated with 1 μ M MG132 served as a positive control of proteasome activity inhibition.

Statistical analyses. Data were calculated using GraphPad Prism 5 Demo. One-way ANOVA was used to compare tested groups in respect of viability of cells, number of cells and proteasome activity. When the analysis revealed a significant effect, Dunnett's test was used to compare groups treated with the reagents tested *versus* controls. Values of P < 0.05 were considered as statistically significant.

RESULTS

MIA PaCa-2 cells were incubated with serial dilutions of pioglitazone for 24, 48 or 72 h. Crystal violet staining and XTT assay revealed



Figure 1. Pioglitazone exerts cytostatic/cytotoxic effects against MIA PaCa-2 cells. Mia PaCa-2 cells were incubated with pioglitazone at indicated concentrations for 24, 48 and 72 h. Diluent-treated cells served as controls. The cytostatic/cytotoxic effects were measured with crystal violet staining and XTT assay. Results are expressed as means of control \pm S.E. **P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01, ****P* < 0.001 (one-way ANOVA and Dunnett's test).



Figure 2. Incubation of MIA PaCa-2 cells with pioglitazone increases levels of p27^{Kip1} and p53.

MIA PaCa-2 cells were incubated with pioglitazone at indicated concentrations. After 48 h of incubation cells were harvested and cell lysates were subjected to Western blot analysis: 50 μ g of protein extracts was separated by electrophoresis. Blots were sequentially probed (after stripping) with different antibodies. Appropriate bands were scanned and compared.

slight, albeit statistically significant and dose-dependent, cytostatic/cytotoxic effects against these tumor cells (Fig. 1). Similar effects were also observed with other tumor cell lines tested (not shown).

Incubation of Mia PaCa-2 cells with pioglitazone for 48 h resulted in a dose-dependent induction of p53 and p27 levels (Fig. 2). At a highest pioglitazone concentration tested (100 μ M) this effect



Figure 3. Pioglitazone induces accumulation of ubiquitinated proteins in MIA PaCa-2 cells.

MIA PaCa-2 cells were incubated with pioglitazone at indicated concentrations. After 48 h of incubation cells were harvested and cell lysates were subjected to Western blot analysis: 50 μ g of protein extracts was separated by electrophoresis. Blots were sequentially probed (after stripping) with different antibodies. Appropriate bands were scanned and compared.



Figure 4. Incubation of Mia PaCa-2 cells with pioglitazone results in decreased levels of cyclin D1.

MIA PaCa-2 cells were incubated with pioglitazone at indicated concentrations. After 48 h of incubation cells were harvested and total cell lysates (50 μ g of protein) were electrophoresed. The blots were sequentially probed (after stripping) with antibodies against cyclin D1 and α -tubulin.

on p53 was slightly less pronounced. These effects were associated with a slight increase in the levels of polyubiquitinated proteins in total cell lysates (Fig. 3), which might indicate that the levels of these proteins might have increased due to pioglitazone-induced inhibition of proteasome activity. However, under identical conditions of pioglitazone-exposure the level of cyclin D, which is degraded by the proteasome system, decreased.

In order to investigate the influence of pioglitazone on the activity of ubiquitin-proteasome system, the proteolytic chymotrypsin-like activity of proteasomes in MIA PaCa-2 cells incubated for 24, 48 or 72 h with 5–100 µM pioglitazone was studied by measuring degradation of fluorogenic peptide substrates. These measurements revealed no inhibition of proteasomal activity in MIA PaCa-2 cells (Fig. 5). A 24-72 h incubation of Mia PaCa-2 cells with 10 µM MG132, a selective proteasome inhibitor, resulted in a significant, over 90% inhibition of proteasome activity. Also no inhibition was observed when pure 20S proteasomes isolated from T2 cells were incubated with 0.1-100 µM pioglitazone (Fig. 6). Again, 10 µM MG132 used as a positive control produced an over 90% inhibition of proteasome activity.

To further characterize the potential influence of pioglitazone on the activity of the ubiquitin-proteasome system an *in vivo* model was used. To this end HeLa cells stably transfected with two different plasmid vectors encoding cytosolic green fluorescent protein (GFP) variants rapidly degraded in proteasomes were used. HeLa-GV cells contain a GFP variant with a Gly/Val substitution that results in constitutive ubiquitination and degradation in the ubiquitin-fusion degradation (UFD) pathway. HeLa-R cells produce an unstable GFP variant that is rapidly processed in the N-end rule degradation pathway. Fluorescence analyses showed that upon pioglitazone treatment (at a concentration range of 5



Figure 5. Pioglitazone does not affect chymotrypsin-like activity of proteasomes in MIA PaCa-2 cells.

MIA PaCa-2 cells were treated with pioglitazone at indicated concentrations. After 24, 48 and 72 h of incubation cells were harvested and chymotrypsin-like activity of proteasomes in cell lysates was determined by measuring the fluorescence intensity of processed substrate Suc-LLVY-AMC. Results are expressed as percentage of controls \pm S. E. **P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01, ****P* < 0.001 (one-way ANOVA and Dunnett's test). MIA PaCa-2 cells treated for 2 h with 10 μ M MG132 served as a positive control.

to 100 μ M, for 48 h) neither of the GFP variants accumulated in the cytoplasm. MG132 at 1 μ M inhibited both pathways of protein degradation (Fig. 7).

DISCUSSION

It has recently been demonstrated that members of the TZD family exert inhibitory effects against a number of tumor cells (Brockman *et al.*, 1998; Sarraf *et al.*, 1998; Takahashi *et al.*, 1999; Chang & Szabo, 2000). However, the underlying mechanisms of their action remain poorly understood. It has been shown that the antitumor effects of TZDs treatment result from induction of cell cycle arrest and/or apoptosis



Figure 6. Pioglitazone does not affect chymotrypsin-like activity of isolated T2 proteasomes.

Isolated 20S subunits of T2 proteasomes were pre-incubated with pioglitazone at indicated concentrations or with 1 μ M MG132. One hour after substrate addition chymotrypsin-like activity was determined by measuring the fluorescence intensity of processed substrates: Suc-LLVY-AMC (A) and Z-GGL-AMC (B). Results are expressed as percentage of controls ± S.E. **P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01, ****P* < 0.001 (One-way ANOVA and Dunnett's test).

(Eibl *et al.*, 2001; Morosetti *et al.*, 2004; Yang *et al.*, 2007). Intriguingly, TZDs may exert these effects in cells either having or lacking PPAR- γ expression (Rumi *et al.*, 2004; Shiau *et al.*, 2005; Ray *et al.*, 2006). Thus, upon TZDs treatment PPAR- γ -dependent and independent effects can be observed (Akasaki *et al.*, 2006; Han & Roman, 2006; Ray *et al.*, 2006; Hazra *et al.*, 2007). Since the human pancreatic tumor cell line MIA PaCa-2 used in this study expresses PPAR- γ (Eibl *et al.*, 2001), both types of action may participate in the pioglitazone-mediated cytostatic/cytotoxic activity (Han & Roman, 2006). One of the candidate targets for PPAR- γ -independent action of TZDs are proteasomes (Motomura *et al.*, 2004; Chen & Harrison, 2005; Yang *et al.*, 2007).

It has recently been demonstrated that the TZDs family member troglitazone exerts a proteasome-inhibitory activity and by blocking the cell cycle inhibitor $p27^{Kip1}$ degradation induces cell-cycle arrest (Motomura *et al.*, 2004). Here, we studied another PPAR- γ agonist pioglitazone. Our data demonstrate that this TZDs family member is not capable of acting as a direct or indirect proteasome inhibitor. Previously, differences in the mechanisms of action of troglitazone and other

HeLa-GV

HeLa-R



Figure 7. Pioglitazone does not affect degradation of proteasome substrates in tumor cells. HeLa-GV and HeLa-R cells were treated with pioglitazone at indicated concentrations or with 1 µM MG132. After 48 h of incubation accumulation of marker substrates was examined with fluorescence microscopy. Representative photographs from one of three independent experiments are shown.

TZDs have been shown. For example, troglitazone, but not other TZDs up-regulated the expression of damage-inducible gene 45 (*GADD45*) (Yin *et al.*, 2004). Since troglitazone seems to exert the strongest antitumor effects among TZDs, it is possible that suppression of proteasome activity is at least partially responsible for its enhanced anticancer activity. It is also possible that the discrepant effects observed in our study result from the use of a different tumor cell line to that used by Motomura *et al.* (2004).

The daily dose of pioglitazone in diabetes type 2 treatment is 45–60 mg and the corresponding clinical peak plasma concentration of pioglitazone is 2.0–4.8 μ M (Gillies & Dunn, 2000). In our study we used pioglitazone at concentrations from 5 to 100 μ M. Although only the lowest concentration used in this study is clinically achievable at the pioglitazone dose recommended for diabetes treatment, it can be anticipated that the drug might be used at higher doses in oncology.

The cytostatic/cytotoxic effects in MIA PaCa-2 cells cultured in the presence of pioglitazone are associated with an increase in the expression levels of the cell cycle inhibitors p27Kip1 and p53 (Fig. 2). Accumulation of these proteins has been previously described to result from TZDs action (Leung et al., 2004; Liu et al., 2006). Moreover, numerous studies have demonstrated that both p27Kip1 and p53 are effectively degraded by proteasomes (Kudo et al., 2000; Yu et al., 2003; Saville et al., 2004; Mani & Gelmann, 2005; Zavrski et al., 2007; Zhu et al., 2007). An additional argument for the potential engagement of this pathway in pioglitazone-mediated effects was the accumulation of ubiquitinated proteins in Mia PaCa-2 cells observed after incubation with pioglitazone (Fig. 3). However, we also observed that in pioglitazone-treated MIA PaCa-2 cells cyclin D1 level was decreased. This observation was unexpected as regulation of cyclin D1 is partly controlled by

proteasomal degradation (Dragnev et al., 2001; Feng et al., 2007). Also relatively lower p53 level observed at the highest concentration of pioglitazone (100 µM) could result either from an enhanced activity of the ubiquitin-proteasome system or from an unspecific drug cytotoxicity. The decline in the level of cyclin D1 may have resulted from down-regulation of its gene expression at the transcriptional or translational level or from enhanced degradation. Indeed, enhanced proteasome-dependent degradation of cyclin D1 induced by PPAR-y agonists has been described (Qin et al., 2003; Huang et al., 2005). Analysis of gene expression profiles in TZDs-treated tumor cells revealed a decrease in the levels of other proteins such as the anti-apoptotic proteins BCL-2 and BCL-X₁ (Elstner et al., 1998; Chattopadhyay et al., 2000; Lee et al., 2006) or stress proteins such as HSP70 (Davies et al., 2003). This suggests that modulation of protein expression under the influence of TZDs is more complex and proteasomal processing is neither the only nor the most important factor affecting cell proliferation and survival.

The mechanism of pioglitazone-mediated increase in the levels of polyubiquitinated proteins is unknown. As we did not observe any proteasome inhibitory effect of pioglitazone on isolated 20S proteasome or in cell lysates, it can be concluded that this effect is independent of the blockade of proteasome processing and may be caused by cellular stress (Hermann et al., 2003; Caraglia et al., 2005). For instance, up-regulation of ubiquitination enzymes is an essential mechanism by which the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress enhances ER-associated degradation (Shen et al., 2007). Indeed, recent evidence has demonstrated that TZDs induce ER stress (Weber et al., 2004). Moreover, we demonstrated that both the ubiquitin-dependent and N-terminus-dependent proteasome degradation pathways are not affected by pioglitazone, as we did not observe accumulation of fluorescent proteasome substrates in HeLa-GV and HeLa-R cells.

To conclude, our results indicate that pioglitazone demonstrates weak cytostatic/cytotoxic effects that are not due to inhibition of proteasome activity. Elucidation of the underlying mechanisms of action and of the differences between TZD family members is necessary for their potential use in oncology.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by a UICC International Cancer Technology Transfer Fellowship and by a grant from the Ministry of Science and Higher Education No. NN401 3240 33, Poland.

We would like to thank Anna Czerepińska, Elżbieta Gutowska and Ilse Drung for their excellent technical assistance.

REFERENCES

- Akasaki Y, Liu G, Matundan HH, Ng H, Yuan X, Zeng Z, Black KL, Yu JS (2006) A peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-gamma agonist, troglitazone, facilitates caspase-8 and -9 activities by increasing the enzymatic activity of protein-tyrosine phosphatase-1B on human glioma cells. J Biol Chem 281: 6165–6174.
- Brockman JA, Gupta RA, Dubois RN (1998) Activation of PPAR gamma leads to inhibition of anchorage-independent growth of human colorectal cancer cells. *Gastroenterology* **115**: 1049–1055.
- Caraglia M, Marra M, Mancinelli F, D'Ambrosio G, Massa R, Giordano A, Budillon A, Abbruzzese A, Bismuto E (2005) Electromagnetic fields at mobile phone frequency induce apoptosis and inactivation of the multi-chaperone complex in human epidermoid cancer cells. J Cell Physiol 204: 539–548.
- Cecchi M, Caccese E, Messori A (2005) Bortezomib in multiple myeloma. N Engl J Med 353: 1297–1298; author reply 1297–1298.
- Cellai I, Benvenuti S, Luciani P, Galli A, Ceni E, Simi L, Baglioni S, Muratori M, Ottanelli B, Serio M, Thiele CJ, Peri A (2006) Antineoplastic effects of rosiglitazone and PPAR gamma transactivation in neuroblastoma cells. Br J Cancer 95: 879–888.
- Chang TH, Szabo E (2000) Induction of differentiation and apoptosis by ligands of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma in non-small cell lung cancer. *Cancer Res* **60**: 1129–1138.
- Chattopadhyay N, Singh DP, Heese O, Godbole MM, Sinohara T, Black PM, Brown EM (2000) Expression of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPARS) in human astrocytic cells: PPAR gamma agonists as inducers of apoptosis. J Neurosci Res 61: 67–74.
- Chen F, Harrison LE (2005) Ciglitazone-induced cellular anti-proliferation increases p27kip1 protein levels through both increased transcriptional activity and inhibition of proteasome degradation. *Cell Signal* **17**: 809–816.
- Coux O, Tanaka K, Goldberg AL (1996) Structure and functions of the 20S and 26S proteasomes. *Annu Rev Biochem* 65: 801-847.
- Davies GF, Roesler WJ, Ovsenek N, Bharadwaj LA (2003) Troglitazone reduces heat shock protein 70 content in primary rat hepatocytes by a ubiquitin proteasome independent mechanism. *Pharmacol Res* **48**: 119–126.
- Desvergne B, Wahli W (1999) Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors: nuclear control of metabolism. *Endocr Rev* 20: 649–688.
- Dragnev KH, Freemantle SJ, Spinella MJ, Dmitrovsky E (2001) Cyclin proteolysis as a retinoid cancer prevention mechanism. *Ann NY Acad Sci USA* **952**: 13–22.
- Drakos E, Thomaides A, Medeiros LJ, Li J, Leventaki V, Konopleva M, Andreeff M, Rassidakis GZ (2007) Inhibition of p53-murine double minute 2 interaction by nutlin-3A stabilizes p53 and induces cell cycle arrest and apoptosis in Hodgkin lymphoma. *Clin Cancer Res* **13**: 3380–3387.
- Eibl G, Wente MN, Reber HA, Hines OJ (2001) Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma induces pancreatic cancer cell apoptosis. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 287: 522–529.
- Elnemr A, Ohta T, Iwata K, Ninomia I, Fushida S, Nishimura G, Kitagawa H, Kayahara M, Yamamoto M, Terada T, Miwa K (2000) PPAR gamma ligand (thiazolidinedione) induces growth arrest and differentiation markers of human pancreatic cancer cells. *Int J Oncol* 17: 1157–1164.

- Elstner E, Muller C, Koshizuka K, Williamson EA, Park D, Asou H, Shintaku P, Said JW, Heber D, Koeffler HP (1998) Ligands for peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma and retinoic acid receptor inhibit growth and induce apoptosis of human breast cancer cells *in vitro* and in BNX mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **95**: 8806–8811.
- Emery MN, Leontiou C, Bonner SE, Merulli C, Nanzer AM, Musat M, Galloway M, Powell M, Nikookam K, Korbonits M, Grossman AB (2006) PPAR-gamma expression in pituitary tumours and the functional activity of the glitazones: evidence that any anti-proliferative effect of the glitazones is independent of the PPARgamma receptor. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)* **65**: 389–395.
- Fan XM, Wong BC, Wang WP, Zhou XM, Cho CH, Yuen ST, Leung SY, Lin MC, Kung HF, Lam SK (2001) Inhibition of proteasome function induced apoptosis in gastric cancer. *Int J Cancer* **93**: 481–488.
- Feng Q, Sekula D, Muller R, Freemantle SJ, Dmitrovsky E (2007) Uncovering residues that regulate cyclin D1 proteasomal degradation. *Oncogene* 26: 5098–5106.
- Gillies PS, Dunn CJ (2000) Pioglitazone. Drugs 60: 333–343; discussion 344–345.
- Grune T (2000) Oxidative stress, aging and the proteasomal system. *Biogerontology* **1**: 31–40.
- Han S, Roman J (2006) Rosiglitazone suppresses human lung carcinoma cell growth through PPAR gamma-dependent and PPAR gamma-independent signal pathways. *Mol Cancer Ther* 5: 430–437.
- Hazra S, Batra RK, Tai HH, Sharma S, Cui X, Dubinett SM (2007) Pioglitazone and rosiglitazone decrease prostaglandin E2 in non-small-cell lung cancer cells by up-regulating 15-hydroxyprostaglandin dehydrogenase. *Mol Pharmacol* 71: 1715–1720.
- Hermann J, Gulati R, Napoli C, Woodrum JE, Lerman LO, Rodriguez-Porcel M, Sica V, Simari RD, Ciechanover A, Lerman A (2003) Oxidative stress-related increase in ubiquitination in early coronary atherogenesis. *FASEB* J 17: 1730–1732.
- Huang JW, Shiau CW, Yang YT, Kulp SK, Chen KF, Brueggemeier RW, Shapiro CL, Chen CS (2005) Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma-independent ablation of cyclin D1 by thiazolidinediones and their derivatives in breast cancer cells. *Mol Pharmacol* 67: 1342–1348.
- Kahn CR, Chen L, Cohen SE (2000) Unraveling the mechanism of action of thiazolidinediones. J Clin Invest 106: 1305–1307.
- Kloetzel PM (2001) Antigen processing by the proteasome. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2: 179–187.
- Kloetzel PM (2004) Generation of major histocompatibility complex class I antigens: functional interplay between proteasomes and TPPII. *Nat Immunol* **5**: 661–669.
- Kloetzel PM (2004) The proteasome and MHC class I antigen processing. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1695: 225–233.
- Kudo Y, Takata T, Ogawa I, Kaneda T, Sato S, Takekoshi T, Zhao M, Miyauchi M, Nikai H (2000) p27Kip1 accumulation by inhibition of proteasome function induces apoptosis in oral squamous cell carcinoma cells. *Clin Cancer Res* 6: 916–923.
- Lecka-Czernik B, Moerman EJ, Grant DF, Lehmann JM, Manolagas SC, Jilka RL (2002) Divergent effects of selective peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-gamma 2 ligands on adipocyte versus osteoblast differentiation. *Endocrinology* 143: 2376–2384.
- Lee CJ, Han JS, Seo CY, Park TH, Kwon HC, Jeong JS, Kim IH, Yun J, Bae YS, Kwak JY, Park JI (2006) Pioglitazone, a synthetic ligand for PPAR gamma, induces

apoptosis in RB-deficient human colorectal cancer cells. *Apoptosis* **11**: 401–411.

- Leung WK, Bai AH, Chan VY, Yu J, Chan MW, To KF, Wu JR, Chan KK, Fu YG, Chan FK, Sung JJ (2004) Effect of peroxisome proliferator activated receptor gamma ligands on growth and gene expression profiles of gastric cancer cells. *Gut* 53: 331–338.
- Liu Y, Meng Y, Liu H, Li J, Fu J, Chen X (2006) Growth inhibition and differentiation induced by peroxisome proliferator activated receptor gamma ligand rosiglitazone in human melanoma cell line a375. *Med Oncol* 23: 393–402.
- Ludwig H, Khayat D, Giaccone G, Facon T (2005) Proteasome inhibition and its clinical prospects in the treatment of hematologic and solid malignancies. *Cancer* 104: 1794–1807.
- Mani A, Gelmann EP (2005) The ubiquitin-proteasome pathway and its role in cancer. *J Clin Oncol* **23**: 4776–4789.
- Meiners S, Ludwig A, Stangl V, Stangl K (2007) Proteasome inhibitors: Poisons and remedies. *Med Res Rev* 28: 309–327.
- Michalik L, Desvergne B, Dreyer C, Gavillet M, Laurini RN, Wahli W (2002) PPAR expression and function during vertebrate development. Int J Dev Biol 46: 105– 114.
- Morosetti R, Servidei T, Mirabella M, Rutella S, Mangiola A, Maira G, Mastrangelo R, Koeffler HP (2004) The PPAR gamma ligands PGJ2 and rosiglitazone show a differential ability to inhibit proliferation and to induce apoptosis and differentiation of human glioblastoma cell lines. *Int J Oncol* **25**: 493–502.
- Motomura W, Takahashi N, Nagamine M, Sawamukai M, Tanno S, Kohgo Y, Okumura T (2004) Growth arrest by troglitazone is mediated by p27Kip1 accumulation, which results from dual inhibition of proteasome activity and Skp2 expression in human hepatocellular carcinoma cells. *Int J Cancer* **108**: 41–46.
- Nam DH, Ramachandran S, Song DK, Kwon KY, Jeon DS, Shin SJ, Kwon SH, Cha SD, Bae I, Cho CH (2007) Growth inhibition and apoptosis induced in human leiomyoma cells by treatment with the PPAR gamma ligand ciglitizone. *Mol Hum Reprod* **13**: 829-836.
- Nomura S, Nakajima A, Ishimine S, Matsuhashi N, Kadowaki T, Kaminishi M (2006) Differential expression of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor in histologically different human gastric cancer tissues. J Exp Clin Cancer Res 25: 443–448.
- Nowis D, Legat M, Grzela T, Niderla J, Wilczek E, Wilczynski GM, Glodkowska E, Mrowka P, Issat T, Dulak J, Jozkowicz A, Was H, Adamek M, Wrzosek A, Nazarewski S, Makowski M, Stoklosa T, Jakobisiak M, Golab J (2006) Heme oxygenase-1 protects tumor cells against photodynamic therapy-mediated cytotoxicity. *Oncogene* 25: 3365–3374.
- Occhi G, Albiger N, Berlucchi S, Gardiman M, Scanarini M, Scienza R, Fassina A, Mantero F, Scaroni C (2007) Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma in the human pituitary gland: expression and splicing pattern in adenomas *versus* normal pituitary. *J Neuroen*-*docrinol* **19**: 552–559.
- Park JW, Zarnegar R, Kanauchi H, Wong MG, Hyun WC, Ginzinger DG, Lobo M, Cotter P, Duh QY, Clark OH (2005) Troglitazone, the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-gamma agonist, induces antiproliferation and redifferentiation in human thyroid cancer cell lines. *Thyroid* 15: 222–231.

- Peters JM, Cejka Z, Harris JR, Kleinschmidt JA, Baumeister W (1993) Structural features of the 26 S proteasome complex. J Mol Biol 234: 932–937.
- Pigneux A, Mahon FX, Moreau-Gaudry F, Uhalde M, Verneuil HD, Lacombe F, Reiffers J, Milpied N, Praloran V, Belloc F (2007) Proteasome inhibition specifically sensitizes leukemic cells to anthracyclin-induced apoptosis through the accumulation of Bim and Bax pro-apoptotic proteins. *Cancer Biol Ther* 6: 603–611.
- Qin C, Burghardt R, Smith R, Wormke M, Stewart J, Safe S (2003) Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma agonists induce proteasome-dependent degradation of cyclin D1 and estrogen receptor alpha in MCF-7 breast cancer cells. *Cancer Res* 63: 958–964.
- Radhakrishnan SK, Gartel AL (2005) The PPAR-gamma agonist pioglitazone post-transcriptionally induces p21 in PC3 prostate cancer but not in other cell lines. *Cell Cycle* **4**: 582–584.
- Ray DM, Akbiyik F, Phipps RP (2006) The peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPAR gamma) ligands 15-deoxy-Delta12,14-prostaglandin J2 and ciglitazone induce human B lymphocyte and B cell lymphoma apoptosis by PPAR gamma-independent mechanisms. J Immunol 177: 5068–5076.
- Ray DM, Bernstein SH, Phipps RP (2004) Human multiple myeloma cells express peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma and undergo apoptosis upon exposure to PPAR gamma ligands. *Clin Immunol* 113: 203–213.
- Richardson PG, Anderson KC (2003) Bortezomib: a novel therapy approved for multiple myeloma. *Clin Adv Hematol Oncol* 1: 596–600.
- Rumi MA, Ishihara S, Kadowaki Y, Ortega-Cava CF, Kazumori H, Kawashima K, Yoshino N, Yuki T, Ishimura N, Kinoshita Y (2004) Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma-dependent and -independent growth inhibition of gastrointestinal tumour cells. *Genes Cells* 9: 1113–1123.
- Sarraf P, Mueller E, Jones D, King FJ, DeAngelo DJ, Partridge JB, Holden SA, Chen LB, Singer S, Fletcher C, Spiegelman BM (1998) Differentiation and reversal of malignant changes in colon cancer through PPAR gamma. Nat Med 4: 1046–1052.
- Saville MK, Sparks A, Xirodimas DP, Wardrop J, Stevenson LF, Bourdon JC, Woods YL, Lane DP (2004) Regulation of p53 by the ubiquitin-conjugating enzymes UbcH5B/C in vivo. J Biol Chem 279: 42169–42181.
- Scudiero DA, Shoemaker RH, Paull KD, Monks A, Tierney S, Nofziger TH, Currens MJ, Seniff D, Boyd MR (1988) Evaluation of a soluble tetrazolium/formazan assay for cell growth and drug sensitivity in culture using human and other tumor cell lines. *Cancer Res* 48: 4827–4833.
- Shen Y, Ballar P, Apostolou A, Doong H, Fang S (2007) ER stress differentially regulates the stabilities of ERAD ubiquitin ligases and their substrates. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **352**: 919–924.
- Shiau CW, Yang CC, Kulp SK, Chen KF, Chen CS, Huang JW (2005) Thiazolidenediones mediate apoptosis in prostate cancer cells in part through inhibition of BclxL/Bcl-2 functions independently of PPAR gamma. *Cancer Res* 65: 1561–1569.
- Spataro V, Norbury C, Harris AL (1998) The ubiquitin-proteasome pathway in cancer. *Br J Cancer* 77: 448–455.
- Strakova N, Ehrmann J, Dzubak P, Bouchal J, Kolar Z (2004) The synthetic ligand of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-gamma ciglitazone affects human glioblastoma cell lines. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 309: 1239–1247.

- Subbarayan V, Sabichi AL, Kim J, Llansa N, Logothetis CJ, Lippman SM, Menter DG (2004) Differential peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-gamma isoform expression and agonist effects in normal and malignant prostate cells. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 13: 1710–1716.
- Suh N, Wang Y, Williams CR, Risingsong R, Gilmer T, Willson TM, Sporn MB (1999) A new ligand for the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-gamma (PPAR-gamma), GW7845, inhibits rat mammary carcinogenesis. *Cancer Res* 59: 5671–5673.
- Takahashi N, Okumura T, Motomura W, Fujimoto Y, Kawabata I, Kohgo Y (1999) Activation of PPAR gamma inhibits cell growth and induces apoptosis in human gastric cancer cells. *FEBS Lett* **455**: 135–139.
- Theocharis S, Margeli A, Vielh P, Kouraklis G (2004) Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-gamma ligands as cell-cycle modulators. *Cancer Treat Rev* **30**: 545–554.
- Vignati S, Albertini V, Rinaldi A, Kwee I, Riva C, Oldrini R, Capella C, Bertoni F, Carbone GM, Catapano CV (2006) Cellular and molecular consequences of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-gamma activation in ovarian cancer cells. *Neoplasia* 8: 851–861.
- Wang T, Xu J, Yu X, Yang R, Han ZC (2006) Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma in malignant diseases. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol* 58: 1–14.
- Weber SM, Chambers KT, Bensch KG, Scarim AL, Corbett JA (2004) PPAR gamma ligands induce ER stress in pancreatic beta-cells: ER stress activation results in attenuation of cytokine signaling. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 287: E1171–E1177.
- Wlodawer A (1995) Proteasome: a complex protease with a new fold and a distinct mechanism. *Structure* **3**: 417– 420.
- Yan XB, Yang DS, Gao X, Feng J, Shi ZL, Ye Z (2007) Caspase-8 dependent osteosarcoma cell apoptosis induced by proteasome inhibitor MG132. *Cell Biol Int* **31**: 1136– 1143.
- Yang CC, Wang YC, Wei S, Lin LF, Chen CS, Lee CC, Lin CC (2007) Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma-independent suppression of androgen receptor expression by troglitazone mechanism and pharmacologic exploitation. *Cancer Res* 67: 3229–3238.
- Yang YC, Tsao YP, Ho TC, Choung IP (2007) Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-gamma agonists cause growth arrest and apoptosis in human ovarian carcinoma cell lines. *Int J Gynecol Cancer* 17: 418–425.
- Yin F, Bruemmer D, Blaschke F, Hsueh WA, Law RE, Herle AJ (2004) Signaling pathways involved in induction of GADD45 gene expression and apoptosis by troglitazone in human MCF-7 breast carcinoma cells. *Oncogene* 23: 4614–4623.
- Yu J, Tiwari S, Steiner P, Zhang L (2003) Differential apoptotic response to the proteasome inhibitor Bortezomib [VELCADE, PS-341] in Bax-deficient and p21-deficient colon cancer cells. *Cancer Biol Ther* 2: 694–699.
- Zavrski I, Jakob C, Kaiser M, Fleissner C, Heider U, Sezer O (2007) Molecular and clinical aspects of proteasome inhibition in the treatment of cancer. *Recent Results Cancer Res* 176: 165–176.
- Zhang WG, Yu JP, Wu QM, Tong Q, Li SB, Wang XH, Xie GJ (2004) Inhibitory effect of ubiquitin-proteasome pathway on proliferation of esophageal carcinoma cells. *World J Gastroenterol* **10**: 2779–2784.
- Zhu Q, Wani G, Yao J, Patnaik S, Wang QE, El-Mahdy MA, Praetorius-Ibba M, Wani AA (2007) The ubiquitinproteasome system regulates p53-mediated transcription at p21waf1 promoter. *Oncogene* 26: 4199–4208.