on-line at: www.actabp.pl

# Single-stranded DNA-binding proteins (SSBs) — sources and applications in molecular biology\*

Review

Józef Kur<sup>™</sup>, Marcin Olszewski, Anna Długołęcka and Paweł Filipkowski

Department of Microbiology, Gdańsk University of Technology, Gdańsk, Poland; Be-mail: kur@chem.pg.gda.pl

Received: 15 March, 2005; revised: 05 July, 2005; accepted: 14 July, 2005 available on-line: 04 August, 2005

Single-stranded DNA-binding proteins (SSBs) play essential roles in DNA replication, recombination, and repair in bacteria, archaea and eukarya. The SSBs share a common core ssDNA-binding domain with a conserved OB (oligonucleotide/oligosaccharide binding) fold. This ssDNAbinding domain was presumably present in the common ancestor to all three major branches of life. In recent years, there has been an increasing interest in SSBs because they are useful for molecular biology methods and for analytical purposes. In this review, we concentrate on recent advances in the discovery of new sources of SSBs as well as certain aspects of their applications in analytical sciences.

Keywords: SSB, DNA replication, recombination, PCR, Escherichia coli, Thermus aquaticus, Thermus thermophilus

Single-stranded DNA-binding proteins (SSBs) are indispensable elements in cells of all living organisms. Most SSBs bind non-specifically to singlestranded DNA (ssDNA), conferring a regular structure upon it, which is recognised and exploited by a variety of enzymes involved in the essential biological processes. SSBs are usually present in stoichiometric quantities with respect to the ssDNA substrate, and protect the transiently formed ssDNA against nuclease attack, also preventing the formation of secondary structures (Perales et al., 2003). In such a manner, SSB-binding proteins participate in all processes involving ssDNA such as replication, repair and recombination (Lohman & Overman, 1985; Greipel et al., 1989; Meyer & Laine, 1990; Moore et al., 1991; Alani et al., 1992).

# APPLICATIONS OF SINGLE-STRANDED DNA-BINDING PROTEINS

In recent years, there has been an increasing interest in SSBs because they find numerous applications in diverse molecular biology and analytical methods (Table 1). There are many natural and recombinant sources of SSBs. As a consequence of the increasing importance of such proteins, heterologous expression systems may be useful in providing large amounts of pure SSBs (Williams *et al.*, 1983; Lohman *et al.*, 1986; Dąbrowski *et al.*, 1999; 2002b). Production from recombinant expression systems has several distinct advantages over production from natural sources. It is rapid and yields are much higher than using cultures of the original organism.

There are an increasing number of studies which report the usefulness of SSBs for the polymerase chain reaction (PCR). A number of modifications to the basic PCR format have been developed in an attempt to increase amplification efficiency and specificity. It was shown that the use of a native ssDNA-binding protein, gene 32 protein from bacteriophage T4 or SSB from *Escherichia coli*, increases amplification efficiency with a number of diverse templates (Rapley, 1994; Dąbrowski & Kur, 1999).

The SSB-encoding genes from *Thermus aquaticus* and *T. thermophilus* have been cloned and *Tth*SSB and *Taq*SSB proteins overexpressed in *E. coli* were easily prepared in milligram quantities and characterized (Dąbrowski *et al.*, 2002a; 2002b). Based on their characteristics, the presence of SSBs during DNA replication is likely to improve the efficiency of PCR. SSBs of thermophilic origin would be ideal

<sup>\*</sup>Presented at the International Review Conference on Biotechnology, Vienna, Austria, November 2004.

Abbreviations: CE, capillary electrophoresis; OB., oligonucleotide/oligosaccharide binding; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; RPA, replication protein A; SSB, single-stranded DNA-binding protein; ssDNA, single-stranded DNA.

candidates for such an application due to their high thermostability. *Thermus* SBBs increase amplification efficiency with a number of diverse templates (Dąbrowski *et al.*, 2002a; 2002b; Perales *et al.*, 2003).

Recently, the application of an SSB-like protein from *T. aquaticus* (*Taq*SSB) in multiplex PCR identification of human Y-STR markers was demonstrated (Olszewski *et al.*, 2005). The use of thermostable *Taq*SSB prevents or reduces primer dimer formation, one of the problems known to cause inhibition of primer hybridization to the template and reduction of the number of primer molecules available for annealing.

The use of SSB proteins may prove to be generally applicable in improving PCR efficiency. It was also demonstrated that thermostable SSBs stimulate the mean rate of DNA synthesis by both bacterial and archaeal DNA polymerases, and the fidelity of the proof-reading-free DNA polymerase from T. thermophilus (Perales et al., 2003). The thermostable TthSSB also interacts efficiently with RNA, allowing a dramatic increase in the size of the cDNA synthesised by the reverse transcriptase activity of T. thermophilus DNA polymerase (Perales et al., 2003). Inactivation assays demonstrated that TthSSB can withstand short heating periods at 94°C, suggesting that it could be used to stimulate the activity of thermostable DNA polymerases at high temperatures. In fact, the presence of TthSSB shortened the elongation time required to synthesise a specific DNA fragment by Tth DNA polymerase (Perales et al., 2003).

A large number of proteins involved in DNA replication, DNA damage control, DNA repair, and gene expression are capable of binding DNA and RNA with different affinities and sequence specificities. This ability of the DNA- and RNA-binding proteins has a yet-to-be realized potential in analytical sciences. It is suggested that they can be used as highly efficient and versatile tools in analyses of DNA, RNA, and proteins. It has been demonstrated that SSBs can facilitate quantitative analyses of DNA, RNA, and proteins in gel-free capillary electrophoresis (CE) (Drabovich & Krylov, 2004). The application of SSB-mediated gel-free CE for analyses of PCR products has also been reported (Drabovich & Krylov, 2004). The unique ability of SSB to bind ssDNA but not double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) allows efficient separation of three types of DNA molecules in the PCR reaction mixture: primers, products (amplified templates), and by-products, which originate from non-specific DNA hybridization. The ability of the method to distinguish between products and by-products makes it an indispensable tool in preparative PCR (e.g., in the development of nucleotide aptamers).

The affinity of SSB towards ssDNA has been successfully utilized for the detection of hybridization on gold surface with surface plasmon resonance imaging by Brockman *et al.* (1999). *In situ* surface plasmon resonance difference images clearly showed that significant binding of the protein occurred at the array locations with covalently bound single-stranded oligonucleotides, whereas very little binding occurred at the array locations which contained double-stranded hybrids. A novel hybridization detection protocol, which combines the advantages of the recognition ability of a protein and the electrochemical activity of metal nanoparticles, was also described (Kerman *et al.,* 2004). SSB-coated Au nanoparticles accumulate on the probe-modified

| SSB protein                 | Applications  | Function  | References  |
|-----------------------------|---|---|---|
| EcoSSB                      | PCR   | Increased amplification efficiency  | Rapley, 1994  |
|                             |   |   | Dąbrowski & Kur, 1999   |
|                             | Analyses of DNA, RNA and pro-<br>teins in gel-free capillary electro-<br>phoresis | Quantitative analyses separation of DNA molecules in PCR reaction mixture                           | Drabovich & Krylov, 2004                                      |
|                             | Hybridization   | Detection of hybridization pro-<br>ducts  | Brockman <i>et al.,</i> 1999<br>Kerman <i>et al.,</i> 2004    |
| gp32 of T4<br>bacteriophage | PCR   | Increased amplification efficiency  | Rapley, 1994  |
| TaqSSB                      | PCR   | Increased amplification efficiency  | Dąbrowski <i>et al.,</i> 2002b<br>Perales <i>et al.,</i> 2003 |
|                             | Multiplex PCR   | Prevention of primer dimer for-<br>mation   | Olszewski et al., 2005  |
| TthSSB                      | PCR   | Increased amplification efficiency<br>stimulation of fidelity and the<br>mean rate of DNA synthesis | Dąbrowski <i>et al.,</i> 2002b<br>Perales <i>et al.,</i> 2003 |
|                             | RT-PCR  | Increased size of cDNA  | Perales et al., 2003  |

Table 1. Use of SSBs in molecular biology methods

electrode, then give rise to an amplified Au oxidation signal. This high signal of Au nanoparticles significantly lowered the detection limit of the target DNA. Thus, it can be concluded that custom fabrication of an electrochemical DNA chip based on SSB interactions, and also the design of new synthetic DNA-binding proteins for hybridization detection are promising tasks for future point-of-care tests.

We predict that many single-stranded DNAbinding proteins will find applications in analytical sciences.

# SOURCES OF SINGLE-STRANDED DNA-BINDING PROTEINS

SSB proteins, which are present in all three branches of organisms and in viruses, share sequences as well as biochemical and structural characteristics. In their soluble form, SSBs are found in different oligomeric states. They are found in different organisms as homodimers (SSBs from bacteriophages, T. thermophilus, T. aquaticus and Deinococcus radiodurans), heterotrimers (euryarchaeal and eukaryotic SSBs, alias RPAs) and homotetramers (mitochondrial, crenarchaeal and most prokaryotic SSBs) (Williams & Koningsberg, 1978; Shamoo et al., 1995; Stassen et al., 1995; Webster et al., 1997; Wadsworth & White, 2001; Dąbrowski et al., 2002a; Eggington et al., 2004). Although the sequences of SSB family members are highly variable, two common functional themes have emerged that link this class of proteins across evolution. The first is that SSB proteins use a conserved domain called an oligonucleotide/ oligosaccharide-binding (OB) fold to bind ssDNA (Murzin, 1993). OB domains bind ssDNA in a cleft formed primarily by  $\beta$ -strands, by using aromatic residues that stack against nucleotide bases, and positively charged residues that form ionic interactions with the DNA backbone (Shamoo et al., 1995; Bochkarev et al., 1997; Raghunathan et al., 2000; Matsumoto et al., 2000). The second common feature of SSB proteins is obligate oligomerization that brings together four DNA-binding OB folds.

## Homotetrameric SSBs

Most prokaryotic SSBs, along with mitochondrial SSBs, form homotetrameric structures. SSB from *E. coli* (*Eco*SSB) is one of the first discovered SSBs and it has been studied the most extensively (Sigal *et al.*, 1972). The *Eco*SSB monomer consists of 177 amino acids and has a molecular mass of about 19 kDa (Weiner *et al.*, 1975); it comprises two parts: the N-terminal fragment (about 120 amino acids), rich in  $\alpha$ -helices and  $\beta$ -sheets, and the less structured C-terminal one (Sancar *et al.*, 1981). The N-terminal fragment contains the DNA-binding domain (OB fold). The function of the C-terminal fragment has not been characterized yet. However, we know that this fragment is neither essential in DNA binding nor in tetramer formation. At the end of the C-terminus there is an acidic region of ten amino acids, containing four aspartate residues, highly conserved among prokaryotic SSB proteins (Williams et al., 1983). The negative charges of these last ten amino acids weaken the binding of EcoSSB to DNA. However, this acidic region is essential for in vivo function - it is probably responsible for interactions with other proteins. Probably the region between the DNA-binding domain and the acidic region functions only as a spacer, keeping the negative charges away from the DNA bound to SSB (Curth et al., 1996). Many other prokaryotic homotetrameric SSBs, such as MtuSSB from Mycobacterium tuberculosis (Purnaparte & Varshney, 1999) and SSB from Pseudomonas aeruginosa (Genschel et al., 1996) have been discovered recently. A single OB fold per monomer, with the active form of the protein as a homotetramer with four OB folds, appears to define a structural paradigm for bacterial SSB family proteins because all but three of the >250 currently identified bacterial ssb genes encode proteins with a single OB fold.

It has been noticed that EcoSSB can form different types of complexes with single-stranded nucleic acids, depending on the length of DNA bound by the protein. The ssDNA or RNA site size bound by EcoSSB basically depends on NaCl concentration. Poly(dT) forms two types of complexes with *EcoSSB*. (SSB)<sub>33</sub> complex is formed below 10 mM NaCl and  $(SSB)_{65}$  complex – above 0.2 M NaCl (up to 5 M). Between 10 mM and 0.2 M NaCl the site size expands continuously with the concentration of NaCl. In the (SSB)<sub>33</sub> complex the nucleic acid interacts with two protomers of the tetramer whilst in the (SSB)<sub>65</sub> complex the nucleic acid interacts with all four protomers. Probably SSB may use both binding modes for one of its functions (DNA replication, recombination and repair). In vivo changes in the ionic strength may play an important role in regulation of some of these processes (Lohman & Overman, 1985). There are at least two other types of *EcoSSB* complexes with single-stranded nucleic acids - (SSB)<sub>40</sub> and (SSB)<sub>56</sub> (Lohman & Bujalowski, 1994). The type of SSB complex with the nucleic acid, besides the concentration of NaCl, depends also on other factors such as the concentration of other monovalent salts (both cations and anions), bivalent cation concentration, pH, temperature, and concentration of the SSB (Bujalowski et al., 1988).

Like most prokaryotic SSBs, also SSBs from mitochondria form stable homotetramers — human mitochondrial SSB (*Hs*mtSSB) can be an example. In spite of the relatively low sequence identity with *Eco*SSB (36%) *Hs*mtSSB has a high degree of structural similarity (Webster *et al.*, 1997).

Crenarchaeal SSB proteins, such as SSB from *Sulfolobus solfataricus*, are monomers in solution and multimers (probably tetramers) in complexes with DNA. The *Sulfolobus* OB-fold domain responsible for DNA binding is clearly related most closely to other archaeal SSB domains, the C-terminal fragment has similarities to eubacterial SSBs, playing probably a role in protein–protein interactions (Richard *et al.*, 2004). *Sulfolobus* SSB lack also the zinc finger motif found in the eukaryal and euryarchaeal proteins. DNA binding is not highly cooperative (Wadsworth & White, 2001).

## Heterotrimeric SSBs

In eukaryotes, replication protein A (RPA) acts as an SSB protein. RPA family members are heterotrimeric and contain six OB folds, four of which bind DNA. In terms of domain organization, archaeal SSB proteins are divided into two groups: those that resemble bacterial SSBs (found in crenarchaea) and those that resemble RPA (found in euryarchaea). Exceptions to the general four-OB-fold rule exist outside of cellular SSB family members, including bacteriophage and viral SSB proteins.

The human RPA (hsRPA) has been identified as the first eukaryotic replication protein A (Wold et al., 1997). This protein consists of three different subunits of molecular masses of 70, 32 and 14 kDa. The largest subunit, RPA70, consists of an N-terminal fragment, which interacts with other proteins, a central fragment which binds to ssDNA (residues 181-422), and a C-terminal fragment, which binds with subunits RPA32 and RPA14 and contains an evolutionarily conserved zinc finger motif (Pfuetzner et al., 1997; Lin et al., 1998). The ssDNA-binding domain consists of two structurally homologous subdomains oriented in tandem. The ssDNA lies in a channel extending from one subdomain to the other (Bochkarev et al., 1997). The ssDNA-binding site size comes to 6, 12 or 17-19 deoxynucleotides. Two alternative kinds of complexes of RPA with ssDNA are formed, probably depending on the salts' concentration (Pfuetzner et al., 1997).

The RPA32 subunit carries the third functional ssDNA-binding site, which is phosphorylated in a cell-cycle-dependent manner (Henricksen *et al.*, 1996; Bochkareva *et al.*, 1998). RPA14 has an additional, putative ssDNA-binding motif. However, there is no direct evidence for DNA binding by this subunit. Those four ssDNA-binding motifs and the motifs in SSBs share a significant degree of sequence homology.

Euryarchaeal SSBs form also heterotrimeric structures. SSB from *Methanococcus jannaschii* (*MjaS*-SB) can be an example. This protein has a significant amino-acid sequence similarity to the eukaryotic RPAs but not to *EcoSSB*. It contains four tandem repeats of the conserved core ssDNA-binding domain.

On the basis of sequence comparisons it has been suggested that *Mja*SSB may contain four core ssD-NA-binding domains distributed over three subunits (Kelly *et al.*, 1998). It also contains, like other euryar-chaeal SSBs, a putative zinc finger motif near the C-terminus (Chedin *et al.*, 1998). Euryarchaeal SSBs can also exist as monomers and heterodimers (Chedin *et al.*, 1998).

# Homodimeric SSBs

Although virtually all bacterial SSB family members act as homotetramers, recent discoveries have shown that SSB proteins from the Deinococcus-Thermus genera of bacteria adopt a different architecture. Bacteria from this group thrive in extreme environments that would kill most cells (desiccation, severe DNA-damaging and/or high-temperature conditions) by using mechanisms that are presently unclear. One distinguishing DNA-metabolic feature of Deinococcus-Thermus bacteria is that their SSB proteins are homodimeric, with each SSB monomer encoding two OB folds linked by a conserved spacer sequence (Dąbrowski et al., 2002a; Eggington et al., 2004; Bernstein et al., 2004). T. thermophilus and T. aquaticus SSBs were the first homodimeric SSBs identified and characterized (Dąbrowski et al., 2002a; 2002b). These proteins (TthSSB and TaqSSB) and their counterpart from D. radiodurans (DradSSB) are the largest bacterial SSBs and consist of 263, 264 and 301 amino-acid residues, with molecular masses of about 29.9, 30 and 32.6 kDa, respectively (Dąbrowski et al., 2002a; 2002b; Eggington et al., 2004; Witte et al., 2005). The monomers of these proteins have two putative ssDNA-binding sequences: N-terminal (in *Tth*SSB and *Taq*SSB located in the region from amino acids 1 to 123) and C-terminal (located between amino acids 124 and 263 or 264 in TthSSB and TaqSSB, respectively) (Dąbrowski et al., 2002a; 2002b). The structure of DradSSB is known and it indicates that the two ssDNA-binding domains are connected by a β-harpin linker (Bernstein et al., 2004). The C-terminal domain has nearly all of the amino-acid residues that would be predicted to bind ssDNA based on the EcoSSB-ssDNA complex, but the N-terminal domain does not retain a number of potentially important ssDNA-binding residues (Bernstein et al., 2004). The differences between these two ssDNA-binding domains impose an asymmetry that is likely to affect the DNA binding properties and other functions of each domain (Bernstein et al., 2004). Homodimeric SSBs, contrary to tetrameric SSB proteins, possess only two C-terminal tails in each active form. Reducing the number of C-terminal tails by half could dramatically affect the function of the two-OB-foldcontaining SSB proteins in vivo. Other acidic areas of the D. radiodurans protein could act in place of the tails to make these interactions, or the protein could form the necessary complexes by using only two acidic tails as mediators (Dabrowski *et al.*, 2002; Bernstein *et al.*, 2004). These SSBs may represent an evolutionary convergence between homotetrameric bacterial/crenarcheal SSB and eukariotic/euryarcheal RPA family members (Bernstein *et al.*, 2004).

Probably the fusion of two OB folds linked by a conserved spacer sequence is an adaptation of the *Deinococcus-Thermus* genera to extreme conditions (Dabrowski *et al.*, 2002a; Bernstein *et al.*, 2004).

## Other SSBs

The product of T4 gene 32 (gp32) was discovered as the first protein from the SSB family (Alberts & Frey, 1970). The molecular mass of the native protein is 35 kDa. It binds cooperatively to ssDNA and one protein molecule binds about ten singlestranded DNA nucleotides. gp32 exists mainly as a dimer or higher aggregates at concentrations above 0.1 mg/ml even in the absence of DNA (Williams & Koningsberg, 1978). Probably there are two kinds of gp32 dimers: one - heterologous intermediate in the indefinite aggregation process which should bind oligonucleotides and the other - an isologous self-limited dimer with very little affinity for oligonucleotides. Only one of those conformations is suitable for cooperative protein-protein interactions between neighboring gp32 molecules (Kelly & von Hippel, 1976).

Recently it was discovered that the Bacillus subtilis genome contains two paralogous singlestranded DNA-binding protein genes, ssb and ywpH (Lindner et al., 2004). The main ssb gene is strongly expressed during exponential growth and is flanked by the *rpsF* and *rpsR* genes, coding for the ribosomal protein S6 and S18, respectively, that coregulate the ssb gene (Lindner et al., 2004). The gene organization rpsF-ssb-rpsR, as found in B. subtilis, is also present in many other bacteria, but not in E. coli (Lindner et al., 2004). The ssb gene is necessary for cell viability, and its expression is elevated during SOS response (Lindner et al., 2004). However, the paralogous *ywpH* gene has its own promoter, and the product of this gene is expressed during stationary phase in minimal medium only (Lindner et al., 2004). In view of that discovery bacteria can be divided into four groups based on ssb gene organization: group I contains bacteria with the same ssb organisation as B. subtilis (rpsF-ssb-rpsR, multiple SSB paralogues); group II contains bacteria with the same ssb gene organization as group I but without multiple SSB paralogues; group III contains bacteria with the same ssb gene organization as E. coli (uvrA-ssb, only one ssb gene); group IV contains bacteria with ssb neither placed between *rpsF* and *rpsR* nor divergently located to uvrA (Lindner et al., 2004).

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the State Committee for Scientific Research grant No. 4 P05A 099 17 to J.K.

## REFERENCES

- Alani E, Thresher R, Griffith JD, Kolodner RD (1992) Characterization of DNA-binding and strand-exchange stimulation properties of y-RPA, a yeast single-strand-DNA-binding protein. J Mol Biol 227: 54–71.
- Alberts BM, Frey L (1970) T4 bacteriophage gene 32: a structural protein in the replication and recombination of DNA. *Nature* 227: 1313–1318.
- Bernstein DA, Eggington JM, Killoran MP, Misic AM, Cox MM, Keck JL (2004) Crystal structure of the *Deinococcus radiodurans* single-stranded DNA-binding protein suggests a mechanism for coping with DNA damage. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **101**: 8575–8580.
- Bochkarev A, Pfuetzner RA, Edwards AM, Frappier L (1997) Structure of the single-stranded DNA-binding domain of replication protein A bound to DNA. *Nature* **385**: 176–181.
- Bochkareva E, Frappier L, Edwards AM, Bochkarev A (1998) The RPA32 subunit of human replication protein A contains a single-stranded DNA-binding domain. *J Biol Chem* **273**: 3932–3936.
- Brockman JM, Frutos AG, Corn RM (1999) A multistep chemical modification procedure to create DNA arrays on gold surfaces for the study of protein–DNA interactions with surface plasmon resonance imaging. J Am Chem Soc 121: 8044–8051.
- Bujalowski W, Overman LB, Lohman TM (1988) Binding mode transitions of *Escherichia coli* single strand binding protein–single-stranded DNA complexes. Cation, anion, pH, and binding density effects. *J Biol Chem* 163: 4629–4640.
- Chedin F, Seitz EM, Kowalczykowski SC (1998) Novel homologs of replication protein A in archaea: implications for the evolution of ssDNA–binding proteins. *Trends Biochem Sci* 23: 273–277.
- Curth U, Genschel J, Urbanke C, Greipel J (1996) *In vitro* and *in vivo* function of the C-terminus of *Escherichia coli* single-stranded DNA binding protein. *Nucleic Acids Res* 24: 2706–2711.
- Dąbrowski S, Kur J (1999) Cloning, overexpression, and purification of the recombinant His-tagged SSB protein of *Escherichia coli* and use in polymerase chain reactionamplification. *Protein Exrp Purif* 16: 96–102.
- Dąbrowski S, Olszewski M, Piątek R, Brillowska-Dąbrowska A, Konopa G, Kur J (2002a) Identification and characterization of single-stranded-DNA-binding proteins from *Thermus thermophilus* and *Thermus aquaticus* — new arrangement of binding domains. *Microbiology* **148**: 3307–3315.
- Dąbrowski S, Olszewski M, Piątek R, Kur J (2002b) Novel thermostable ssDNA-binding proteins from *Thermus thermophilus* and *T. aquaticus* — expression and purification. *Protein Expr Purif* **26**: 131–138.
- Drabovich A, Krylov SN (2004) Single-stranded-DNAbinding protein facilitates gel-free analysis of polymerase chain reaction products in capillary electrophoresis. *J. Chromatogr A* **1051**: 171–175.
- Eggington JM, Haruta N, Wood EA, Cox MM (2004) The single-stranded DNA-binding protein of *Deinococcus radiodurans. BMC Microbiol* **4**: 2.

- Genschel J, Litz L, Thole H, Roemling U, Urbanke C (1996) Isolation, sequencing and overproduction of the singlestranded DNA binding protein from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO. *Gene* **182**: 137–143.
- Greipel J, Urbanke C, Mass G (1989) The single-stranded DNA binding protein of *Escherichia coli*. Physicochemical properties and biological functions. In *Protein–Nucleic Acid Interaction*, Saenger W, Heinemann U, eds, pp 61–86. Macmillan, London.
- Henricksen LA, Carter T, Dutta A, Wold MS (1996) Phosphorylation of human replication protein A by the DNA-dependent protein kinase is involved in the modulation of DNA replication. *Nucleic Acids Res* 24: 3107–3112.
- Kelly RC, von Hippel PH (1976) DNA "melting" proteins. III. Fluorescence "mapping" of the nucleic acid binding site of bacteriophage T4 gene 32-protein. J Biol Chem 251: 7229–7239.
- Kelly TJ, Simancek P, Brush GS (1998) Identification and characterization of a single-stranded DNA-binding protein from the archaeon *Methanococcus jannaschii*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 95: 14634–14639.
- Kerman K, Morita Y, Takamura Y, Ozsoz M, Tamiva E (2004) Modification of *Escherichia coli* single-stranded DNA binding protein with gold nanoparticles for electrochemical detection of DNA hybridization. *Anal Chimica Acta* 510: 169–174.
- Lin YL, Shivji KK, Chen C, Kolodner R, Wood RD, Dutta A (1998) The evolutionarily conserved zinc finger motif in the largest subunit of human replication protein A is required for DNA replication and mismatch repair but not for nucleotide excision repair. J Biol Chem 273: 1453–1461.
- Lindner C, Nijland R, van Hartskamp M, Bron S, Hamoen LW, Kuipers OP (2004) Differential expression of two paralogous genes of *Bacillus subtilis* encoding singlestranded DNA binding protein. J Bacteriol 186: 1097– 1105.
- Lohman TM, Overman LB (1985) Two binding modes in *Escherichia coli* single strand binding protein–single stranded DNA complexes. Modulation by NaCl concentration. J Biol Chem 260: 3394–3603.
- Lohman TM, Bujalowski W (1994) Effects of base composition on the negative cooperativity and binding mode transitions of *Escherichia coli* SSB-single-stranded DNA complexes. *Biochemistry* 33: 6167–6176.
- Matsumoto T, Morimoto Y, Shibata N, Kinebuchi T, Shimamoto N, Tsukihara T, Yasuoka N (2000) Roles of functional loops and the C-terminal segment of a single-stranded DNA binding protein elucidated by X-ray structure analysis. *J Biochem (Tokyo)* **127**: 329–335.
- Meyer RR, Laine PS (1990) The single-stranded DNA-binding protein of *Escherichia coli*. *Microbiol Rev* **54**: 342–380.
- Meyer RR, Glassberg J, Kornberg A (1979) An *Escherichia coli* mutant defective in single-strand binding protein is defective in DNA replication. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **76**: 1702–1705.
- Moore SP, Erdile T, Kelly T, Fishel R (1991) The human homologous pairing protein HPP-1 is specifically stimulated by the cognate single-stranded binding protein hRP-A. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **88**: 9067–9071.
- Murzin AG (1993) OB (oligonucleotide/oligosaccharide binding)-fold: common structural and functional solution for non-homologous sequences. *EMBO J* **12**: 861– 867.
- Olszewski M, Rębała K, Szczerkowska Z, Kur J (2005) Application of SSB-like protein from *Thermus aquaticus* in multiplex PCR of human Y-STR markers identification. *Mol Cell Probes* **19**: 203–205.

- Perales C, Cava F, Meijer WJJ, Berenguer J (2003) Enhancement of DNA, cDNA synthesis and fidelity at high temperatures by a dimeric single-stranded DNA-binding protein. *Nucleic Acids Res* **31**: 6473–6480.
- Pfuetzner RA, Bochkarev A, Frappier L, Edwards AM (1997) Replication protein A. Characterization and crystallization of the DNA binding domain. *J Biol Chem* **272**: 430–434.
- Purnaparte K, Varshney U (1999) Cloning, over-expression and biochemical characterization of the single-stranded DNA binding protein from *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Eur J Biochem* 264: 591–598.
- Richard DJ, Bell SD, White MF (2004) Physical and functional interaction of the archaeal single-stranded DNAbinding protein SSB with RNA polymerase. *Nucleic Acids Res* 32: 1065–1074.
- Raghunathan S, Kozlov AG, Lohman TM, Waksman G (2000) Structure of the DNA binding domain of *E. coli* SSB bound to ssDNA. *Nat Struct Biol* **7**: 648–652.
- Rapley R (1994) Enhancing PCR amplification and sequencing using DNA-binding proteins. *Mol Biotechnol* **2**: 295–298.
- Sancar A, Williams K, Chase J, Rupp W (1981) Sequences of the *ssb* gene and protein. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **78**: 4274–4278.
- Sigal N, Delius H, Kornberg T, Gefter ML, Alberts B (1972) A DNA unwinding protein isolated from *Escherichia coli*: its interaction with DNA and DNA polymerase. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **69**: 3537–3541.
- Shamoo Y, Friedman AM, Parsons MR, Konigsberg WH, Steits TA (1995) Crystal structure of a replication fork single-stranded DNA binding protein (T4 gp 32) complexes to DNA. *Nature* 376: 362–366.
- Stassen AP, Folmer RH, Hilbers CW, Konings RN (1995) Single-stranded DNA-binding protein encoded by the filamentous bacteriophage M13: structural and functional characteristics. *Mol Biol Rep* 20: 109–127.
- Wadsworth RIM, White MF (2001) Identification and properties of the crenarchaeal single-stranded DNA binding protein from *Sulfolobus solfataricus*. *Nucleic Acids Res* **29**: 914–920.
- Webster G, Genschel J, Curth U, Urbanke C, Kang C, Hilgenfeld R (1997) A common core for binding singlestranded DNA: structural comparison of the singlestranded DNA-binding proteins (SSB) from *E. coli* and human mitochondria. *FEBS Lett* **411**: 313–316.
- Weiner JH, Bertsch LL, Kornberg A (1975) The deoxyribonucleic acid unwinding protein of *Escherichia coli*. Properties and functions in replication. J Biol Chem 250: 1972–1980.
- Williams KR, Koningsberg W (1978) Structural changes in the T4 gene 32 protein induced by DNA and polynucleotides. J Biol Chem 253: 2463–2470.
- Williams KR, Spicer EK, LoPresti MB, Guggenheimer RA, Chase JW (1983) Limited proteolysis studies on the *Escherichia coli* single-stranded DNA binding protein. Evidence for a functionally homologous domain in both the *Escherichia coli* and T4 DNA binding proteins. J Biol Chem 258: 3346–3355.
- Witte G, Urbanke C, Curth U (2005) Single-stranded DNAbinding protein of *Deinococcus radiodurans*: a biophysical characterization. *Nucleic Acids Res* 33: 1662–1670.
- Wold MS (1997) Replication protein A: a heterotrimeric, single-stranded DNA-binding protein required for eukaryotic DNA metabolism. *Annu Rev Biochem* 66: 61– 92.