Bruno Roche Didier Samuel

Treatment of hepatitis B and C after liver transplantation. Part 1, hepatitis B

Received: 21 November 2002 Revised: 25 November 2003 Accepted: 5 January 2004 Published online: 2 February 2005 © Springer-Verlag 2005

B. Roche · D. Samuel (⊠)
Centre Hepatobiliaire, UPRES 3541,
EPI 99-41, Universite Paris-Sud,
Hôpital Paul Brousse,
14 Ave. P.V. Couturier,
94800 Villejuif, France
E-mail: didier.samuel@pbr.ap-hop-paris.fr
Tel.: + 33-1-4559-3331
Fax: + 33-1-4559-3857

Abstract The outcome of OLT for HBV-related liver disease is dependent on the prevention of allograft re-infection. Over the past decade, major advances have been made in the management of HBV transplant candidates. The advent of long-term hepatitis B immune globulin (HBIG) administration as a prophylaxis against HBV recurrence, and the introduction of new antiviral agents against HBV infection, such as lamivudine (LAM), were a major breakthrough in the management of these patients. Results of OLT for HBV infection are similar to those achieved with other indications. Pre-OLT antiviral treatment such as LAM can suppress HBV replication before OLT and thus decrease the risk of re-infection of the graft. Combination prophylaxis with LAM and HBIG after transplantation highly effectively reduces the rate of HBV re-infection, even in HBV replicative cirrhotic patients. The optimal HBIG protocol in the LAM era is yet to be defined: dosing of HBIG, routes of administration, and possibility of stopping HBIG. Several antiviral drugs have been developed for the management of HBV infection on the graft, so outcome is currently good.

Keywords Hepatitis B · Liver transplantation · Antiviral therapy · Hepatitis B immune globulin

Introduction

Of orthotopic liver transplant patients (OLT), 5% to 10% have HBV-associated chronic or fulminant liver disease. Historically, in the absence of prevention, the spontaneous risk for HBV re-infection after OLT is approximately 80% related to the initial liver disease and the presence of HBV replication at time of transplantation [1, 2]. HBsAg reappearance was associated with HBV infection of the graft and poorer survival. Patients will develop acute liver failure, fibrosing cholestatic hepatitis, chronic hepatitis, or cirrhosis. Over the past years, major advances have been made in the management of HBV transplant candidates. The advent of long-term hepatitis B immune globulin (HBIG) administration as a prophylaxis of HBV recurrence and the introduction of new antiviral agents against HBV infection were a major breakthrough in the management of these patients [3, 4].

HBV re-infection is the consequence of either an immediate re-infection of the graft due to circulating HBV particles or a re-infection of the graft from HBV particles coming from extrahepatic sites, or both. In patients receiving HBIG, HBV re-infection may be the consequence of HBV overproduction coming from extrahepatic sites [5], of too low a protective titre of anti-HBs antibody, or of emergence of escape mutants.

Several effective antiviral drugs have been developed for the management of HBV disease of the graft, so the outcome is now good. Less frequently, HBV infection could be acquired in the peri-transplantation period. In this review, current knowledge on prevention and treatment of HBV graft infection after liver transplantation is discussed.

Prevention of HBV recurrence

Hepatitis B immune globulins monoprophylaxis

The mechanisms by which HBIG protects the transplanted liver against HBV re-infection are poorly understood. One hypothesis suggests that HBIG protects naive hepatocytes against HBV released from extrahepatic sites through the blocking of a putative HBV receptor. There is evidence for a dose-dependent response to HBIG treatment [3, 4]. The administration of HBIG during a short-term post-transplantation period gave disappointing results [1, 2, 3]. The Hanover group subsequently adjusted HBIG dosages to maintain the anti-HBs titre at 100 IU/l for 6 months after OLT [6]. This group showed that the rate of HBV recurrence significantly increased following discontinuation of HBIG after 6 to 12 months of therapy. We, and others, adopted an indefinite immunoprophylaxis [7]. Patients received 10,000 IU during the anhepatic phase, then 10,000 IU daily during the 6 post-operative days; then, the level of anti-HBs was assessed weekly, and 10,000 IU of HBIG were re-administered if anti-HBs was < 100 IU/l.

In a European multicentre study there was a dramatic decrease in the rate of HBV recurrence, from 75% in patients receiving no or short-term administration of HBIG to 33% in those receiving long-term administration of HBIG (P < 0.001) [3]. Recurrence of HBV occurred in 67% of patients who had undergone transplantation for HBV cirrhosis, in 40% of those who had undergone transplantation for fulminant hepatitis B-delta, in 32% of those who had undergone transplantation for HDV cirrhosis, and in 17% of those who had undergone transplantation for fulminant hepatitis B [3]. The HBV recurrence rate was dependent on the presence of HBV replication assessed by both HBeAg and HBV DNA by a conventional hybridisation technique at the time of transplantation [3]. These results were confirmed by other clinical trials in the USA and Europe and by long-term follow-up studies (Tables 1 and 2) [8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15].

For HBV DNA-positive patients, the rate of HBV reinfection could be reduced by the use of higher HBIG doses and maintenance of a serum anti-HBs level > 500 IU/l or by the use of pre-OLT and/or post-OLT supplemental antiviral therapy. Several reports that used very high doses of HBIG and maintenance titres > 500 IU/l showed promising results [9, 16]. HBV recurrence occurred in 0%–15% and 16%–35% of patients who had undergone transplantation for both nonreplicative and replicative HBV cirrhosis, respectively (Tables 1 and 2) [8, 16, 17, 18, 19].

Taking into consideration the inter-patient and intrapatient variations in the pharmacokinetics of HBIG, monitoring of serum anti-HBs levels is required. An alternative approach has been proposed by Terrault et al. in which a fixed monthly dose of 10.000 IU HBIG is given intravenously, irrespectively of preoperative viral replication status [9]. Most data support long-term intravenous administration of HBIG. Efforts to use intramuscular HBIG have been motivated by substantial cost benefit and unavailability of intravenous HBIG, but experience with the intramuscular route of HBIG is limited. HBIG administration had a very satisfactory safety record, and adverse events observed are usually minor and rare. Immune reactions have been reported but are easily prevented by steroids, antihistamine drugs and slower infusion. Long-term HBIG administration has several drawbacks: (a) HBIG administration is expensive, but the cost depends greatly on the country

Authors [references]	Patients (n)	^a Prevention of HBV recurrence	Rate of HBV recurrence (%)	Follow-up (months)
O'Grady [2]	9	Short-term HBIG	78	40
Samuel [3]	15	None	67	36
	13	Short-term HBIG	92	36
Koenig [15]	5	Short-term HBIG	100	31
Devlin [14]	9	Short-term HBIG	78	18
Lerut [10]	3	Short-term HBIG	100	60
Muller [12]	14	HBIG $> 100 \text{ IU/l} (6-12 \text{ months})$	28	24
Samuel [7]	24	Long-term HBIG > 100 IU/1	29	24
Samuel [3]	37	Long-term HBIG > 100 IU/l	38	36
Koenig [15]	17	Long-term HBIG > 100 IU/l	17.6	22
Devlin [14]	9	Long-term HBIG > 100 IU/l	33	18
Roche [8]	52	Long-term HBIG > 100 IU/l	36.9	120
Lerut [10]	9	Long-term HBIG > 100 IU/l	30	60
Gugenheim [17]	30	Long-term HBIG $> 500 \text{ IU/l}$	15.2	60
McGory [16]	9	Long-term HBIG $> 500 \text{ IU/l}$	0	24

Table 1 Frequency of HBV recurrence after liver transplantation for non-replicative HBV cirrhosis

^aPrevention of HBV recurrence with short-term or long-term HBIG to maintain anti-HBs levels >100 IU/l or >500 IU/l

Authors [references]	Patients (n)	Prevention of HBV recurrence ^a	Rate of HBV recurrence (%)	Follow-up (months)
O'Grady [2]	11	Short-term HBIG	100	15
Samuel [3]	16	None	75	36
	14	Short-term HBIG	71	36
Koenig [15]	1	Short-term HBIG	100	12
Devlin [14]	11	Short-term HBIG	91	18
Nymann [19]	4	None	100	17
Lerut [10]	7	Short-term HBIG	100	60
Muller [12]	9	HBIG $> 100 \text{ IU/l}$ (6–12 months)	89	24
Samuel [7]	16	Long-term HBIG > 100 IU/1	96	24
Samuel [3]	47	Long-term HBIG $> 100 \text{ IU/l}$	70	36
Koenig [15]	15	Long-term HBIG $> 100 \text{ IU/l}$	73	22
Devlin [14]	6	Long-term HBIG > 100 IU/l	94	18
Roche [8]	30	Long-term HBIG $> 100 \text{ IU/l}$	79	60
Lerut [10]	8	Long-term HBIG $> 100 \text{ IU/l}$	37.5	60
McGory [16]	19	Long-term HBIG $> 500 \text{ IU/L}$	16	18
Nymann [19]	10	Long-term HBIG $> 500 \text{ IU/L}$	30	17
Sawver [18]	26	Long-term HBIG $> 500 \text{ IU/L}$	35	36
Roche [8]	10	Long-term HBIG $> 500 \text{ IU/L}$ and antiviral drugs	20	60

Table 2 Frequency of HBV recurrence after liver transplantation for replicative HBV cirrhosis

^aPrevention of HBV recurrence with short-term or long-term hepatitis B immune globulin (HBIG) to maintain anti-HBs levels > 100 IU/l or > 500 IU/l

and the manufacturer [4]; (b) HBIG administration remains constraining because of the need for close monitoring of the level of anti-HBs antibody and frequent re-injection; (c) the HBV re-infection rate remains high in patients with HBV replication at the time of transplantation.

Pre-transplantation antiviral therapies

Until recently, the presence of HBV replication was considered a contraindication to OLT by most centres. Thus, the main goal of antiviral therapy is to suppress HBV replication prior to OLT and decrease the risk of re-infection. However, candidates for OLT are difficult to treat because of the severity of the liver disease. The ideal treatment in this setting would have rapid and potent antiviral action without inducing deterioration of liver function.

Interferon alpha

A major limitation to the use of interferon (IFN) before OLT has been its poor tolerability in cirrhotic patients. In a controlled study we used IFN in 22 cirrhotic patients awaiting OLT compared with 26 non-treated patients. HBIGs were used after OLT. INF failed to reduce the rate of HBV recurrence [20]. However, in those patients who were HBV DNA-negative by PCR in serum, the risk of recurrence was low. In one report, the use of INF prior to OLT was shown to reduce the rate of HBV re-infection [21].

Famciclovir

Famciclovir has modest activity against HBV [22] and was used successfully in a small study before and after OLT in combination with HBIG [23].

Lamivudine

Lamivudine (LAM) is well tolerated even in decompensated cirrhosis, which it achieves by inducing a loss of HBV DNA by molecular hybridisation in 90% of patients [24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30]. However, viraemia will occur in 80% following cessation of therapy, and development of mutations in the YMDD motif of the HBV DNA polymerase gene increased with treatment duration. Villeneuve et al. [25] report on 35 patients with severely decompensated HBV cirrhosis and replicative HBV infection who were treated with LAM 100 at 150 mg/day. Within 6 months after treatment initiation, seven patients underwent OLT, and five others died. Of 23 patients who were treated for at least 6 months, there was a slow but marked improvement in liver function in 22. The rate of development of resistance to LAM was 25% at 2 years.

Other studies confirmed the slow improvement (3 to 6 months) of hepatic function in patients with decompensated cirrhosis and replicating HBV treated with LAM [26, 27, 28], which may confer a survival advantage [29]. Fontana et al. [30] found, in a retrospective multicentric study that included 309 patients, that LAM did not improve overall pre-OLT or OLT-free survival. However, a subset of patients with less advanced liver

failure may derive clinical benefit from LAM treatment. The baseline Child–Pugh score was the only variable significantly associated with death before OLT and was also a significant predictor of OLT-free survival [30]. In a prospective multicentre study reported by Fontana et al. 154 patients listed for OLT received LAM for a median of 16 months [31]. The majority of deaths, 78%, occurred within the first 6 months of therapy. The estimated actuarial 3-year survival rate of patients who survived at least 6 months was 88% on continued treatment. Elevated serum bilirubin, creatinine levels and the presence of detectable serum HBV DNA were strong and independent predictors of 6-month mortality. Virological response to LAM was similar in both survivors and non-survivors. The severity of liver disease at induction of therapy is a better predictor of early mortality than the virological response to LAM. Thus, patients with advanced liver failure should be prioritised for OLT, irrespectively of the antiviral response.

A question that emerges is when to initiate therapy in patients listed for OLT. Prolonged administration of LAM is usually necessary to obtain significant clinical benefits, but the risk for developing drug-resistant mutations increases with the duration of treatment and may be associated with increasing liver failure. New antiviral agents such as adefovir dipivoxil may serve as "rescue" therapy for patients with LAM resistance [32]. Schiff et al. used adefovir, administered at doses of 10 mg/day for a median of 18 weeks to 128 patients with decompensated cirrhosis who failed LAM therapy pre-OLT [33]. A median reduction of serum HBV DNA levels of 2.2 log after 4 weeks and 4.1 log after 24 weeks, associated with improvement in Child score, was observed.

Cases of liver transplantation in patients with YMDD mutants were reported, with controversial results. In two cases, recurrence of HBV was successfully prevented by administration of a prophylaxis combining HBIG and LAM [34, 35]. In contrast, two studies reported five cases of HBV recurrence after transplantation in patients with YMDD mutants, despite the same combination prophylaxis [36, 37]. This suggests that transplantation should be either contraindicated or performed only after use of new antiviral treatment in case of emergence of HBV escape mutations before transplantation. The use of adefovir, first line in patients with liver cirrhosis and awaiting liver transplantation, has not been reported and is under evaluation.

Post-transplantation antiviral therapies

Lamivudine monotherapy

The administration of LAM alone pre-OLT and post-OLT showed promising results after 1 year, with only one case of HBV recurrence out of ten patients [38]. A longer follow-up time showed, however, a recurrence rate of 5/10 due to the emergence of escape mutations in the YMDD motif of the polymerase gene [39]. These mutations were observed mainly in patients with highlevel viral replication prior to drug exposure. Similar results were reported in other studies, with HBV recurrence in 22.6%-50% of patients [28, 40, 41] (Table 3). These patients developed HBV recurrence with YMDD mutants and sometimes had a severe clinical outcome [42]. A low rate of breakthrough infection was observed by Lo et al [43]. They described the appearance of serum anti-HBs antibodies after OLT in 21 of 50 Chinese patients with hepatitis B that were receiving LAM monoprophylaxis. The authors hypothesise that this increase of anti-HBs Ab level is the consequence of active production arising from donor lymphocytes. Because the follow-up time in this series is short, definite conclusions on the persistence of anti-HBs Ab and its protective efficacy cannot be drawn. These findings should be confirmed in studies carried out in the West.

In conclusion, the administration of LAM alone as a prophylaxis after liver transplantation is probably insufficient, particularly in replicative patients. Future studies are needed to assess the efficacy of adefovir monotherapy or in combination with LAM pre- and post-OLT.

Combination of lamivudine and HBIG

Several groups developed a more rational approach by giving LAM before, and a combination of LAM and HBIG after, OLT. The initial results were very encouraging, demonstrating disappearance of HBV DNA prior to OLT and absence of HBV recurrence [24]. In these studies the HBV recurrence rate at 1–2 years was < 10% [24, 36, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51] (Table 4). In addition, HBV DNA was found to be negative by PCR in most cases 1 year after OLT. LAM co-administration may reduce the overall amount of HBIG needed after transplantation [36, 44].

The good results of combination treatment may be the consequence of a synergistic effect with reduction of the production of HBsAg by LAM with a decreased rate of escape mutations in the preS/S and YMDD regions. Passive immunoprophylaxis protocols utilised in different centres are heterogeneous regarding both dosing and routes of administration of HBIG (Table 4). The major limitation of IV HBIG protocols is its cost. Therefore, high doses of HBIG appear unnecessary for the majority of patients that are receiving combination therapy. Han et al. [52] showed that conversion from i.v. to i.m. HBIG in combination with LAM resulted in absence of HBV recurrence in 58 of 59 (98%) treated patients. Taking efficacy and cost-effectiveness into consideration, i.m.

Table 3 Prev	ention of	HBV recurrence wit	th LAM monother	rapy before and	after liver transplants	ttion (NA not ava	ilable)		
Authors [references]	Patients (n)	 Pre-treatment virological status of transplant patients HBV DNA positive 	Pre-treatment virological status of transplant patients HBeAg positive	Duration of treatment before OLT (months)	HBV DNA-positive at time of OLT	Transplantation (n)	HBV recurrence [n (%)]	Follow-up (months)	Death related to HBV recurrence
Grellier [38] ^a	17	∞	4	2 (1.2-5.6)	0	12	5 (50)	32 (16-51)	2
Mutimer [39]	1 23	6	11	NÀ	3	17	5 (29.4)	37 (22-50)	2
Malkan [41]	13	3	7	8 (1-31)	0	13	4 (30.7)	22 (4-37)	7
Lo [40]	31	11	18	1.6 (0.03-20.4)	9	31	$7^{\rm b}$ (22.6)	16 (6-47)	0
Perillo [28]	LL	26	24	2.1 (0.03-20.9)	9	47	17 (36.1)	38 (2.7-48.5)	1
^a These two st ^b Six of these	udies repo	ort common patients vere HBsAg positive	s , HBV DNA nega	tive by PCR					

JCK0 2 ŝ lients ğ LDese Б ž HBIG plus LAM seems to be superior to i.v. HBIG plus LAM. The optimal HBIG protocol in the LAM era is yet to be defined.

Guidelines and future prospects

Patients considered as OLT candidates should be further subdivided into those with active viral replication and those without. For patients without viral replication, there is no evidence that preoperative antiviral therapy is useful. These patients should receive HBIG 10,000 IU daily for 7 days, including the anhepatic period, and then indefinitely every 6-8 weeks to maintain anti-HBs titres >100-150 IU/l. For patients with viral replication, LAM therapy should be started before OLT. Patients with advanced liver failure should be prioritised for OLT, irrespectively of the antiviral response. Patients who developed resistance to LAM may respond to adefovir dipivoxil. Patients who become HBV DNA negative (by molecular hybridisation) could undergo transplantation. After undergoing transplantation, these high-risk patients should receive a combination of HBIG 10,000 IU daily for 7 days and then indefinitely in association with antiviral therapy (LAM \pm adefovir) (Fig. 1).

Discontinuation of HBIG

Future prospects, especially in patients without HBV replication before transplantation, are the possibility to stop HBIG and to replace it by LAM or vaccination or both. The aims are to reduce the long-term costs and the constraints of HBIG administration. In a recent study, HBIG administration was discontinued in a selected group of 17 patients and replaced by HBV vaccination [53]. The authors claimed good results with anti-HBs production and absence of HBV re-infection. However, the antibody level was low and declining with time in most patients. These results were confirmed with a longer follow-up time [54] and in another study [55]. Conflicting results were reported by Angelico et al. using a triple course of hepatitis B vaccination in 17 patients that had undergone transplantation for HBV cirrhosis after cessation of HBIG [56]. Anti-HBs > 100 IU/l was observed in only two patients (12%). Patient populations, methods, definitions of vaccine response and immunogenicity of vaccine were different in these studies

Two studies compared the HBV re-infection rate in a group of transplant patients randomised to receive HBIG or LAM after a period of administration of HBIG [57, 58]. In the study by Naoumov et al. [58] 24 patients were selected on a low-risk HBV re-infection basis (i.e. absence of detectable HBV DNA at time of

Authors [references]	Patients (n)	 Pre-treatment virological status of transplant patients HBV DNA⁺ 	Pre-treatment virological status of transplant patients HBeAg ⁺	HBV DNA-positive (at OLT	Transplantation (n)	Prevention of HBV recurrence pre-OLT duration (months)	Prevention of HBV recurrence post-OLT	HBV recurrence [n (%)]	Follow-up (months)
Markowitz [24]	14	S	1	1	14	LAM 3 (0.7–7.8)	LAM ^a + HBIG i.v	0	13
Yao [45]	10	9 ^b	9	2	10	LAM 8.6 (1–22)	LAM + HBIG i.m.°	1 (10)	15 (10–21)
Yoshida [46]	L	4	NA	0	7	LAM NA	LAM + HBIG i.m. ^d	0	17 (13–21)
Angus 1471	37	36	19	NA	37	LAM 3.2	LAM + HBIG i.m.	1 (2.7)	18 (5-45)
Marzano [44]	33	26	7	0	26°	LAM 4.6 (0.6–14.1)	LAM + HBIG i.v. ^f	1 (4)	30 ± 8
McCaughan [48]	6	6	0	NA	6	0	$LAM + HBIG i.m.^{g}$	0	17 (9-24)
Rosenau [36]	21	11	3	S ^k	21	LAM 4.6 (0.06–14.1)	$LAM + HBIG i.v.^{1}$	2 (9.5)	21 (2.4-49.1)
Roche [50]	15	15	5	4	15	LAM 4.6 (0.3–13)	$LAM + HBIG iv^{J}$	1 (6.6)	15 (3–36)
Han [49]	59	NA	NA	NA	59	LAM NA	$LAM + HBIG i.v.^{k}$	0	15 (1-61.8)
Seehofer [37]	17	17	6	5	17	LAM 10.6 (1-28)	$LAM + HBIG i.v.^{1}$	3 (18)	25 (9-49)
Gane [51]	107	79	39	35	107	LAM 2 (0.5-3.5)	LAM + HBIG i.m. ^m	4 (3.7)	26 (0.5–76)

Table 4 Prevention of HBV recurrence after liver transplantation with LAM and anti-HBs Ig (HBIG) (NA not available)

^aLAM initiated at OLT in four patients, HBIG 80,000 IU first month then 10,000 IU per month

^bOne patient developed LAM resistance ^cPatients HBV DNA-positive: 80,000 IU i.v. + 3,300 IU i.m. first month then 1,480 IU i.m. per month; patients HBV DNA-negative: 10,000 IU i.v. + 4,400 IU i.m. first month then 1,480 IU i.m. per month ^d43,400 IU i.m. first month then 4,300-6,800 IU i.m. per month

^eSeven patients did not undergo transplantation: four died from liver failure, three had improved liver function ^{646,500} IU i.v. first month then 5,000 IU i.v. per month

g 8,260 i.m. First month then 400 IU i.m., per month

^htwo patients have YNOD mutation before OLT

¹⁴5,000 IU iv. first week then reinjection to maintain anti HBs > 500 IU/L until day 14, then > 200 IU/L 14 5,000 IU iv. first month then 10,000 IU iv. per month ⁸80,000 IU iv. first week then 10,000 IU i.v. per month ¹⁸0,000 IU i.v. first week then 1,500-2,000 IU i.v. to maintain anti-HBs > 100 IU/L 14 CO IU i.v. first week then 1,500-2,000 IU i.v. to maintain anti-HBs > 100 IU/L



transplantation and no HBV re-infection after a minimal follow-up period of 6 months after transplantation). After 1 year, the HBV re-infection rate was not significantly different: 2/12 and 1/12 patients in the LAM and HBIG groups, respectively. However, HBV DNA was detected by PCR in the serum of patients without HBV recurrence in 2/11 patients in the HBIG group and in 5/10 patients in the LAM group. This should keep us alert. Indeed, the follow-up time of these studies is limited, around 1–2 years, and it has been clearly shown that the risk of escape mutations with LAM increases with time.

HBIG withdrawal has also been explored in patients that receive combination prophylaxis. Buti et al. included 29 patients that were HBV DNA-negative (12 spontaneously and 17 LAM-induced) at the time of OLT [59]. HBIG doses were 10,000 IU i.v. during the anhepatic phase and on the first postoperative day, followed by 5,000 IU/day until day 7 and then 4,000 IU i.m. weekly until the end of the first month. Then,

patients were randomised to receive either LAM monotherapy (14 patients) or LAM plus HBIG at 2,000 IU i.m. monthly (15 patients) until month 18. None of the patients developed HBV recurrence during the study period. Indeed, HBV DNA was positive by PCR at month 18 in three patients who had received HBIG + LAM and in one patient who had received LAM monotherapy. Polymerase mutants were detected in three of these four patients.

It is important to determine which patients can stop HBIG: patients without replication at the time of transplantation, minimum delay of several months post-transplantation, negative HBV DNA by PCR before stopping HBIG. The drawbacks of the discontinuation of HBIG are: (a) the possibility of recurrence of HBV infection after cessation of HBIG; (b) the persistence of HBV DNA in serum, liver or peripheral blood mononuclear cells in 50% of HBV transplant patients who are HBsAg negative on HBIG long-term administration at 10 years [8] or on combination prophylaxis with HBIG and LAM [44, 58]; (c) the inability to identify patients who have cleared HBV post-transplantation. An alternative approach would be to maintain HBIG at lower dosage in combination with antiviral treatment.

Liver transplantation in patients with HDV liver cirrhosis

Patients chronically infected with HBV and HDV are less at risk of HBsAg reappearance than patients infected with HBV alone. The rate of HBsAg reappearance in patients with HBV-HDV cirrhosis was 50%-60% in those who did not receive long-term HBIG [2] and 17% in those receiving long-term HBIG [3]. The HBV recurrence rate in these patients is probably lower overall, because almost all patients are HBV DNAnegative at time of liver transplantation and HDV has an inhibitory effect on HBV replication.

In contrast, HDV re-infection is frequent and was observed in 80% of cases in the first post-transplantation months [60]. The course of HDV re-infection varies, depending on whether HBsAg reappears or not. In the few cases where HBsAg reappeared, it was associated with a combined HBV-HDV replication, the development of acute, then chronic, hepatitis [60, 61]. HBV-HDV recurrence is, in general, less severe than HBV recurrence alone [3]. In the patients who remained HBsAg negative after transplantation, the amount of HDAg in the liver graft was low, and the liver graft remained histologically normal. In the long term, HDV markers progressively disappeared from liver and serum [61]. The hypotheses for explaining the presence of HDV replication in HBsAg negative patients are: (a) HBV markers could be present but not detectable; (b) HDV is present in the hepatocytes in the absence of HBsAg but cannot replicate or has a low replication level; (c) the level of HDV RNA in the liver is much lower in patients without than with HBsAg, and this low level of HDV may explain the absence of liver graft lesions.

In conclusion, the risk of HBsAg reappearance after liver transplantation in HBV-HDV cirrhotic patients who received long-term HBIG is low.

Use of hepatitis B core antibody-positive donors for transplantation

Donors previously exposed to HBV provide an opportunity to expand the donor pool. However, previous or latent HBV infection in the donor liver may be reactivated in the recipient after OLT. Frequency of organdonor anti-HBcAb positivity is around 15% in the USA [62]. Indeed, a high false-positive rate of anti-HBcAb results by enzyme-linked immunoassay was reported. When the liver donor is positive only for anti-HBsAb, the organ does not transmit HBV to the recipient [63]. In recipients of anti-HBcAb \pm HBsAb positive livers, the frequency of HBV transmission ranges from 33% to 100% [62, 63, 64, 65]. Several, but not all, studies have shown that recipients negative for anti-HBcAb \pm HBsAb have a greater risk for HBV acquisition than those who have markers of previous exposure [62, 63]. HBV DNA detected in donor liver tissue also has been linked to a greater risk of HBV transmission [66]. Anti-HBcAb positive livers should first be offered to suitable HBsAg positive recipients, and secondly to HBsAb positive recipients. Prophylactic regimens for naive HBV recipients remain to be defined. Several possibilities have been explored, including HBIG alone [67], HBIG plus LAM [68] and LAM monotherapy [69], with promising results. Further studies are necessary to confirm these results in a larger cohort of transplant recipients. Due to the shortage of grafts, there is now a tendency to use these grafts in selected recipients with a prophylaxis to avoid HBV transmission.

Treatment of HBV graft infection

Treatment of HBV graft infection is indicated: (1) in case of recurrent HBV infection in patients without prevention; (2) in case of recurrent HBV infection despite prevention with HBIG and/or LAM; (3) in patients with "de novo" HBV infection. Selection of therapy for HBV infection depends on treatments previously received by the patients (i.e. no therapy, HBIG alone, LAM alone, or HBIG and LAM in combination). In the context of protocols that include LAM as prophylaxis, post-transplantation HBV breakthrough involves resistant HBV species. The treatment of HBV graft infection is difficult because of the high level of viral B replication, the ongoing immunosuppressive treatment, and the rapid evolution of graft disease. Rapid reduction in the immunosuppression, mainly corticosteroids, is common practice in many transplant programmes, although the efficacy of this approach is not proven.

Interferon is not very efficient in this setting, and there is a risk of graft rejection [70]. Ganciclovir [71, 72] and famciclovir [73] displayed modest activity against HBV. New nucleoside analogs such as LAM [48, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81] and adefovir dipivoxil are promising, since they have a potent antiviral effect and are well tolerated. The advent of these agents poses a considerable change in the outcome of liver transplant patients infected with HBV. The main disadvantage of these methods of treatment is that all these antiviral agents should be used for long periods of time.

Furthermore, there is a risk of rebound viral replication when these agents are suspended. LAM is the most widely used nucleoside analog, due to a more

Table 5 LAM treat	tment of hepai	titis B after transpla	ntation $(NA$ not avai	ilable)				
Author [references]	Patients (n)	Pre-treatment HBV DNA ⁺	Pre-treatment HBeAg ⁺	Treatment duration (months)	HBV DNA-negative [n (%)]	Seroconversion HBe [n (%)]	Seroconversion HBs [n (%)]	Breakthrough (n(%))
Andreone [78] ^a	11	11	2	17 (8–27)	11 (100)	2 (100)	1 (9)	3(27)
Ben Ari [77]	8	8	5	36 (24–50)	8 (100)	1 (12.5)	0	5(62.5)
Perillo [74]	52	47	45	12	32 (68)	5 (11)	2 (4)	14(27)
McCaughan [48]	10	10	0	12.4 (1–30)	NA	0	0	6(60)
Nerv [76]	11	10	NA	15 (13–21)	6 (00)	NA	NA	2(22)
Roche [75]	16	16	10	15.5 (1-30)	13 (81)	3 (30)	3 (18.7)	6(50)
Fischer [79]	12	12	NA	10.5 (5-43)	10(83)	NA	NA	3(30)
Raves [73]	41	41	NA	12 to 36	31 (75.6)	NA	NA	14(45)
Malkan [80]	15	14	. 6	21.2 (4-39)	14(100)	0	1 (6.6)	2(14.2)
Fontana [81]	33	29	24	21 (4–36)	22 (72)	1 (3)	0	13(45)
^a Reports treatment	of acute HBV	re-infection of the	graft					

potent antiviral effect than ganciclovir and famciclovir. LAM 100 mg/day is well tolerated, and a rapid loss of HBV DNA in serum has been achieved. In a multicentre study on 52 HBV DNA-positive transplant patients, LAM for 1 year resulted in 60% loss of HBV DNA in serum, 11% HBe seroconversion, 4% HBs seroconversion and a histological improvement [74].

Those results were confirmed in other studies showing no HBV DNA in 68% to 100% of patients and HBe seroconversion in 3% to 30% of patients treated for periods of 12 to 36 months (Table 5). Prolonged therapy (i.e. for more than 6 months) is associated with the development of breakthrough (i.e. rise in serum HBV DNA and ALT levels) due to the emergence of HBV escape mutants in 14% to 62% of patients (Table 5) and clinical deterioration in some cases [74, 81]. Thus, with longer follow-up periods, it seems likely that the majority of treated patients would develop HBV DNA breakthrough. Molecular analysis of mutations observed during LAM or famciclovir monotherapy has shown changes in the gene for the viral DNA polymerase (B and/or C domains). Thus, famciclovir-resistant viruses may not be sensitive to LAM. If antiviral drugs are stopped, the wild-type HBV becomes the dominant viral population, but re-treatment is associated with the development of resistant mutants at an accelerated rate [82].

Bock et al. [83] have recently reported severe posttransplantation HBV disease in patients receiving combination prophylaxis with LAM and HBIG, related to a drug-dependent enhanced replication of LAM-resistant HBV mutants. HBV sequence analysis of these patients showed both mutations in the "a-determinant" of the envelope and the YMDD motif (domain C) of the polymerase protein. In vitro experiments indicated that combinations of mutations enhance replication in vitro in the presence of LAM. Thus, it was suggested that continuation of lamivudine could be deleterious in these patients. The prevalence of patients with LAM-resistant HBV infection will continue to increase because of the increasing number of patients treated with LAM prior to or after transplantation.

Fortunately, new HBV antivirals such as adefovir dipivoxil are effective in viral suppression of LAMresistant variants [33, 84]. The use of adefovir at a dose of 10 mg/day may be limited by toxicity possibly associated with impaired renal function. Schiff et al. reported on 121 patients treated with adefovir, 10 mg/day for a median of 33 weeks (1–88 weeks) [33]. Treatment for 48 weeks resulted in a significant decline in HBV DNA levels by 4 log copies/ml. Dose reductions are required if creatinine clearance is less than 50 ml/min, whereas no dose adjustment is needed for hepatic dysfunction.

Successful treatment by adefovir for hepatic failure, such as cholestatic fibrosant hepatitis resulting from LAM-resistant HBV, was reported [84, 85, 86, 87]. For these patients, sustained inhibition of replication was not achieved with high-dose LAM, ganciclovir or famciclovir treatment. It is not known if patients treated for LAM resistance with adefovir need to continue on LAM, but it seems better to continue both drugs for some period after the initiation of adefovir. In contrast to the experience with LAM during pivotal studies, no patients developed evidence of viral resistance to therapy.

However, Angus et al. described recently the case of a patient who developed virological resistance to adefovir therapy following the development of a novel mutation in the HBV polymerase gene [88].

Experience with entecavir [89] or tenofovir [90] is limited, but these antivirals seem effective in the treatment of LAM-variants in transplant patients.

Historically, liver retransplantation for HBV recurrence was highly controversial and was considered as a contraindication due to the high risk of recurrence on the second graft and to overall poor results [91]. The advent of HBIG and new antiviral agents has changed this outcome, so that good results are now achievable [92].

Survival of patients undergoing transplantation for HBV cirrhosis

In the absence of prophylaxis of HBV re-infection, the 5-year survival rate is only 40%-60%. In 206 patients receiving adequate immunoprophylaxis, results of OLT for HBV infection in Berlin are similar to those achieved with other indications. Survival rates at 1, 5 and 10 years were 91%, 81%, 73%, respectively [93]. In the multivariate analysis for patient survival, presence of

hepatocellular carcinoma and HBV recurrence was associated with lower survival rates. In our own series, the 10-year survival rate of patients who underwent transplantation for HBV and HDV, for cirrhosis, was 70.9% and 89%, respectively [8].

Conclusions

Over the past decade major advances have been made in the management of HBV transplant candidates. The advent of long-term HBIG administration as a prophylaxis of HBV recurrence was a major breakthrough. With the use of LAM before transplantation and a combination of LAM and HBIG after transplantation it is possible to reduce the rate of HBV re-infection in HBV-replicative cirrhotic patients. Future research should: (a) test new protocols using lower HBIG doses given intravenously or intramuscularly, alone, or in combination with antiviral agents; (b) identify patients in whom HBIG prophylaxis can be stopped safely; (c) evaluate new antiviral agents against LAM-resistant HBV mutants.

Currently, treatment of post-liver transplantation hepatitis B is a less pressing clinical problem than it has been in the past. However, in the context of protocols that include LAM as prophylaxis, post-transplantation HBV breakthrough involves LAM-resistant variants. New HBV antivirals, such as adefovir dipivoxil, entecavir or tenofovir, are effective in viral suppression of LAM-resistant variants. Future prospects should compare combination of antivirals to monotherapy and define duration of therapy and criteria for safe discontinuation of the drug.

References

- Todo S, Demetris AJ, Van Thiel D, Teperman L, Fung J, Starzl TE. Orthotopic liver transplantation for patients with hepatitis B virus related liver disease. Hepatology 1991; 13:619.
- O'Grady JG, Smith HM, Davies SE, et al. Hepatitis B virus re-infection after orthotopic liver transplantation. Serological and clinical implications. J Hepatol 1992; 14:104.
- 3. Samuel D, Muller R, Alexander G, et al. Liver transplantation in European patients with the hepatitis B surface antigen. New Engl J Med 1993; 329:1842.
- 4. Shouval D, Samuel D. Hepatitis B immune globulin to prevent HBV graft reinfection following liver transplantation: a concise review. Hepatology 2000; 32:1189.
- Feray C, Zignego AL, Samuel D, et al. Persistent hepatitis B virus infection of mononuclear cells without concomitant liver infection: transplantation model. Transplantation 1990; 49:1155.
- Lauchart W, Muller R, Pichlmayr R. Long-term immunoprophylaxis of hepatitis B virus (HBV) re-infection in recipients of human liver allografts. Transplant Proc 1987; 19:4051.
- Samuel D, Bismuth A, Mathieu D, et al. Passive immunoprophylaxis after liver transplantation in HBsAg-positive patients. Lancet 1991; 337:813.

- Roche B, Feray C, Gigou M, et al. HBV DNA persistence 10 years after liver transplantation despite successful anti-HBs passive immunoprophylaxis. Hepatology 2003; 38:86.
- 9. Terrault NA, Zhou S, Combs C, et al. Prophylaxis in liver transplant recipients using a fixed dosing schedule of hepatitis B immunoglobulins. Hepatology 1996; 24:1327.
- Lerut JP, Donataccio M, Ciccarelli O, et al. Liver transplantation and HBsAgpositive postnecrotic cirrhosis: adequate immunoprophylaxis and delta virus coinfection as the significant determinants of long-term prognosis. J Hepatol 1999; 30:706.

- Blumhardt G, Neuhaus WD, Bechstein L, et al. Liver transplantation in HBsAg positive patients. Transplant Proc 1990; 22:1577.
- Muller R, Gubernatis G, Farle M, et al. Liver transplantation in HBs antigen (HBsAg) carriers. Prevention of hepatitis B virus (HBV) recurrence by passive immunisation. J Hepatol 1991; 13:90.
- Lemmens HP, Langrehr JM, Blumhardt G, et al. Outcome following orthotopic liver transplantation in HBsAg positive patients using short or long-term immunoprophylaxis. Transplant Proc 1994; 26:3622.
- 14. Devlin J, Smith HM, O'Grady JG, Portmann B, Tan KC, Williams R. Impact of immunoprophylaxis and patient selection on outcome of transplantation for HBsAg-positive liver recipients. J Hepatol 1994; 21:204.
- 15. Koenig V, Hopf U, Neuhaus P, et al. Long-term follow up of hepatitis B virus infected recipients after orthotopic liver transplantation. Transplantation 1994; 58:553.
- McGory RW, Ishitani MB, Oliveira WM, et al. Improved outcome of orthotopic liver transplantation for chronic hepatitis B cirrhosis with aggressive passive immunization. Transplantation 1996; 61:1358.
- Gugenheim J, Crafa F, Fabiani P, et al. Récidive du virus de l'hépatite B après transplantation hépatique. Gastroenterol Clin Biol 1992; 16:430.
- Sawyer RG, McGory RW, Gaffey MJ, et al. Improved clinical outcome with liver transplantation for hepatitis B related cirrhosis. Ann Surg 1998; 227:841.
- Nymann T, Shokouh-Amiri MH, Vera SR, Riely CA, Alloway RR, Gaber AO. Prevention of hepatitis B recurrence with indefinite hepatitis B immune globulin (HBIG) prophylaxis after liver transplantation. Clin Transplant 1996; 10:663.
- Marcellin P, Samuel D, Areias J, et al. Pretransplant interferon treatment and recurrence of hepatitis B virus infection after liver transplantation for hepatitis B-related end-stage liver disease. Hepatology 1994; 19:6.
- Tchervenkov J, Tector A, Barkun J, et al. Recurrence-free long-term survival after liver transplantation for hepatitis B using interferon-alpha pretransplant and hepatitis B immune globulin posttransplant. Ann Surg 1997; 226:356.
- 22. DeMan RA, Marcellin P, Habal F, et al. A randomized placebo-controlled study to evaluate the efficacy of 12month famciclovir treatment in patients with chronic hepatitis B e antigen-positive hepatitis B. Hepatology 2000; 32:413.

- 23. Singh N, Gayowski T, Wannstedt CF, Wagener MM, Marino IR. Pretransplant famciclovir as prophylaxis for hepatitis B virus recurrence after liver transplantation. Transplantation 1997; 63:1415.
- 24. Markowitz JS, Martin P, Conrad AJ, et al. Prophylaxis against hepatitis B recurrence following liver transplantation using combination lamivudine and hepatitis B immune globulin. Hepatology 1998; 28:585.
- Villeneuve JP, Condreay LD, Willems B, et al. Lamivudine treatment for decompensated cirrhosis resulting from chronic hepatitis B. Hepatology 2000; 31:207.
- 26. Yao FY, Bass NM. Lamivudine treatment in patients with severely decompensated cirrhosis due to replicating hepatitis B infection. J Hepatol 2000; 33:301.
- Kapoor D, Guptan RC, Wakil SM, et al. Beneficial effects of lamivudine in hepatitis B virus-related decompensated cirrhosis. J Hepatol 2000; 33:308.
- Perrillo RP, Wright T, Rakela J, et al. A multicenter United States-Canadian trial to assess lamivudine monotherapy before and after transplantation for chronic hepatitis B. Hepatology 2001; 33:424.
- 29. Yao FY, Terrault NA, Freise C, Maslow L, Bass NM. Lamivudine treatment is beneficial in patients with severely decompensated cirrhosis and actively replicating hepatitis B infection awaiting liver transplantation: a comparative study using a matched, untreated cohort. Hepatology 2001; 34:411.
- 30. Fontana R, Keeffe E, Carey W, et al. Effect of lamivudine treatment on survival of 309 North American patients awaiting liver transplantation for . chronic hepatitis B. Liver Transpl 2002; 8:433.
- .31. Fontana R, Hann H, Perrillo R, et al. Determinants of early mortality in patients with decompensated chronic hepatitis B treated with antiviral therapy. Gastroenterology 2002; 123:719.
- 32. Perrillo R, Schiff E, Yoshida E, et al. Adefovir dipivoxil for the treatment of lamivudine-resistant hepatitis B mutants. Hepatology 2000; 32:129.
- 33. Schiff E, Lai CL, Neuhaus P, et al. Adefovir dipivoxil for the treatment of chronic hepatitis B in patients pre- and post-liver transplantation with lamivudine-resistant hepatitis B virus. Hepatology 2002; 36:371A.
- 34. Stärkel P, Horsmans Y, Geubel A, et al. Favorable outcome of orthotopic liver transplantation in a patient with subacute liver failure due to emergence of a hepatitis B YMDD escape mutant virus. J Hepatol 2001; 35:679.

- 35. Saab S, Kim M, Wright T, et al. Successful orthotopic liver transplantation for lamivudine associated YMDD mutant hepatitis B virus. Gastroenterology 2000; 119:1382.
- 36. Rosenau J, Bahr M, Tillmann HL, et al. Lamivudine and low-dose hepatitis B immune globulin for prophylaxis of hepatitis B reinfection after liver transplantation. Possible role of mutations in the YMDD motif prior to transplantation as a risk factor for reinfection. J Hepatol 2001; 34:895.
- 37. Seehofer D, Rayes N, Naumann U, et al. Preoperative antiviral treatment and postoperative prophylaxis in HBV-DNA-positive patients undergoing liver transplantation. Transplantation 2001; 72:1381.
- Grellier L, Mutimer D, Ahmed M, et al. Lamivudine prophylaxis against reinfection in liver transplantation for hepatitis B cirrhosis. Lancet 1996; 348:1212.
- 39. Mutimer D, Dusheiko G, Barrett C, et al. Lamivudine without HBIG for prevention of graft reinfection by hepatitis B: long-term follow up. Transplantation 2000; 70:809.
- 40. Lo CM, Cheung ST, Lai, et al. Liver transplantation in Asian patients with chronic hepatitis B using lamivudine prophylaxis. Ann Surg 2001; 233:276.
- 41. Malkan G, Cattral M, Humar A, et al. Lamivudine for hepatitis B in liver transplantation. Transplantation 2000; 69:1403.
- 42. Mutimer D, Pillay D, Shields P, et al. Outcome of lamivudine resistant hepatitis B virus infection in the liver transplant recipient. Gut 2000; 46:107.
- 43. Lo C, Fung J, Lau G, et al. Development of antibody to hepatitis B surface antigen after liver transplantation for chronic hepatitis B. Hepatology 2003; 37:36.
- 44. Marzano A, Salizzoni M, Debernardi-Venon W, et al. Prevention of hepatitis B virus recurrence after liver transplantation in cirrhotic patients treated with lamivudine and passive immunoprophylaxis. J Hepatol 2001; 34:903.
- 45. Yao FY, Osorio RW, Roberts JP, et al. Intramuscular hepatitis B immune globulin combined with lamivudine for prophylaxis against hepatitis B recurrence after liver transplantation. Liver Transpl Surg 1999; 5:491.
- 46. Yoshida EM, Erb SR, Partovi N, et al. Liver transplantation for chronic hepatitis B infection with the use of combination lamivudine and low-dose hepatitis B immune globulin. Liver Transpl Surg 1999; 5:520.

- 47. Angus PW, McCaughan GW, Gane EJ, Crawford DHG, Harley H. Combination low-dose hepatitis B immune globulin and lamivudine therapy provides effective prophylaxis against posttransplantation hepatitis B. Liver Transpl 2000; 6:429.
- 48. McCaughan GW, Spencer J, Koorey D, Bowden S, Bartholomeusz A, Littlejohn M. Lamivudine therapy in patients undergoing liver transplantation for hepatitis B virus precoce mutant-associated infection: high resistance rates in treatment of recurrence but universal prevention if used as prophylaxis with very low dose hepatitis B immune globulin. Liver Transpl Surg 1999; 6:512.
- 49. Han SH, Ofman J, Holt C, et al. An efficacy and cost-effectiveness analysis of combination hepatitis B immune globulin and lamivudine to prevent recurrent hepatitis B after orthotopic liver transplantation compared with hepatitis B immune globulin monotherapy. Liver Transpl 2001; 6:741.
- 50. Roche B, Samuel D, Roque AM, et al. Intravenous anti-HBs Ig combined with oral lamivudine for prophylaxis against HBV recurrence after liver transplantation (abstract). J Hepatol 1999; 30:A80.
- 51. Gane EJ, McCaughan G, Crawford D, et al. Combination lamivudine plus low dose intramuscular hepatitis B immunoglobulin prevents recurrent hepatitis B and may eradicate residual graft infection. Hepatology 2002; 36:221A.
- 52. Han SH, Martin P, Edelstein M, et al. Conversion from intravenous to intramuscular hepatitis B immune globulin in combination with lamivudine is safe and cost-effective in patients receiving long-term prophylaxis to prevent hepatitis B recurrence after liver transplantation. Liver Transpl 2003; 9:182.
- 53. Sanchez-Fueyo A, Rimola A, Grande L, et al. Hepatitis B immunoglobulin discontinuation followed by hepatitis B virus vaccination: a new strategy in the prophylaxis of hepatitis B virus recurrence after liver transplantation. Hepatology 2000; 31:496.
- Sanchez-Fueyo A, Martinez-Bauer E, Rimola A. Hepatitis B vaccination after liver transplantation. Hepatology 2002; 36:257.
- 55. Bienzle U, Gunther M, Neuhaus R, Neuhaus P. Successful hepatitis B vaccination in patients who underwent transplantation for hepatitis B virusrelated cirrhosis: preliminary results. Liver Transpl 2002; 8:562.

- 56. Angelico M, Di Paolo D, Trinito M, et al. Failure of a reinforced triple course of hepatitis B vaccination in patients transplanted for HBVrelated cirrhosis. Hepatology 2002; 35:176.
- 57. Dodson SF, De Vera ME, Bonham CA, Geller DA, Rakela J, Fung JJ. Lamivudine after hepatitis B immune globulin is effective in preventing hepatitis B recurrence after liver transplantation. Liver Transpl 2000; 6:434.
- 58. Naoumov NV, Lopes AR, Burra P, et al. Randomized trial of lamivudine versus hepatitis B immunoglobulin for long-term prophylaxis of hepatitis B recurrence after liver transplantation. J Hepatol 2001; 34:888.
- 59. Buti M, Mas A, Prieto M, et al. A randomized study comparing lamivudine monotherapy after a short course of hepatitis B immune globulin (HBIg) and lamivudine with long-term lamivudine plus HBIg in the prevention of hepatitis B virus recurrence after liver transplantation. J Hepatol 2003; 38:811.
- 60. Rizzetto M, Macagno S, Chiaberge E, et al. Liver transplantation in hepatitis delta virus disease. Lancet 1987; II:469.
- 61. Samuel D, Zignego AL, Reynes M, et al. Long-term clinical and virological outcome after liver transplantation for cirrhosis due to chronic delta hepatitis. Hepatology 1995; 21:333.
- 62. Manzarbeitia C, Reich D, Ortiz J, et al. Safe use of liver donors with positive hepatitis B core antibody. Liver Transpl 2002; 8:556.
- 63. Prieto M, Gomez MD, Berenguer M, et al. De novo hepatitis B after liver transplantation from hepatitis B core antibody-positive donors in an area with high prevalence of anti-HBc positivity in the donor population. Liver Transpl 2001; 7:51.
- 64. Dickson R, Everhart J, Lake JR, et al. Transmission of hepatitis B by transplantation of livers from donors positive for antibody to hepatitis B core antigen. Gastroenterology 1997; 113:1168.
- 65. Douglas D, Rakela J, Wright T, Krom R, Wiesner R. The clinical course of transplantation-associated de novo hepatitis B infection in the liver transplant recipient. Liver Transpl Surg 1997; 3:105.
- 66. Roche B, Samuel D, Gigou M, et al. De novo and apparent de novo hepatitis B virus infection after liver transplantation. J Hepatol 1997; 26:517.
- 67. Uemoto S, Sugiyama K, Marusawa H, et al. Transmission of hepatitis B virus from core antibody positive donors in living related liver transplants. Transplantation 1998; 65:494.

- 68. Dodson S, Bonham C, Geller D, Cacciarelli T, Rakela J, Fung J. Prevention of de novo hepatitis B infection in recipients of hepatic allografts from anti HBc-positive donors. Transplantation 1999; 68:1058.
- 69. Yu AS, Vierling J, Colquhoun S, et al. Transmission of hepatitis B virus infection from hepatitis B core antibodypositive liver allografts is prevented by lamivudine therapy. Liver Transpl 2001; 7:513.
- Terrault NA, Combs Holland C, Ferrell L, et al. Interferon alpha for recurrent hepatitis B infection after liver transplantation. Liver Transpl Surg 1996; 2:132.
- 71. Gish RG, Lau JYN, Brooks L, et al. Ganciclovir treatment of hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection in liver transplant patients. Hepatology 1996; 23:1.
- 72. Roche B, Samuel D, Gigou M, et al. Long-term ganciclovir therapy for hepatitis B virus infection after liver transplantation. J Hepatol 1999; 31:584.
- Rayes N, Seehofer D, Hopf U, et al. Comparison of famciclovir and lamivudine in the long-term treatment of hepatitis B infection after liver transplantation. Transplantation 2001; 71:96.
- 74. Perrillo R, Rakela J, Dienstag J, et al. Multicenter study of lamivudine therapy for hepatitis B after liver transplantation. Hepatology 1999; 29:1581.
- Roche B, Samuel D, Roque AM, et al. Lamivudine therapy for HBV infection after liver transplantation (abstract). J Hepatol 1999; 30:78.
- 76. Nery JR, Weppler D, Rodriguez M, Ruiz P, Schiff ER, Tzakis AG. Efficacy of lamivudine in controlling hepatitis B virus recurrence after liver transplantation. Transplantation 1998; 65:1615.
- 77. Ben-Ari Z, Mor E, Shapira Z, Tur-Kaspa R. Long-term experience with lamivudine therapy for hepatitis B virus infection after liver transplantation. Liver Transpl 2001; 7:113.
- Andreone P, Caraceni P, Grazi GL, et al. Lamivudine treatment for acute hepatitis B after liver transplantation. J Hepatol 1998; 29:985.
- 79. Fischer L, Sterneck M, Zöllner B, Rogiers X. Lamivudine improves the prognosis of patients with hepatitis B after liver transplantation. Transplant Proc 2000; 32:2128.
- Malkan G, Cattral M, Humar A, et al. Lamivudine for hepatitis B in liver transplantation. Transplantation 2000; 69:1403.

- 81. Fontana RJ, Hann HW, Wright T, et al. A multicenter study of lamivudine treatment in 33 patients with hepatitis B after liver transplantation. Liver Transpl 2001; 6:504.
- 82. Chayama K, Suzuki Y, Kobayashi M, et al. Emergence and takeover of YMDD motif mutant hepatitis B virus during long-term lamivudine therapy and re-takeover by wild type after cessation of therapy. Hepatology 1998; 27:1711.
- Bock CT, Tillmann HL, Torresi J, et al. Selection of hepatitis B virus polymerase mutants with enhanced replication by lamivudine treatment after liver transplantation. Gastroenterology 2002; 122:264.
- 84. Perrillo R, Schiff E, Yoshida E, et al. Adefovir dipivoxil for the treatment of lamivudine-resistant hepatitis B mutants. Hepatology 2000; 32:129.

- 85. Peters MG, Singer G, Howard T, et al. Fulminant hepatic failure resulting from lamivudine-resistant hepatitis B virus in a renal transplant recipient: durable response after orthotopic liver transplantation on Adefovir dipivoxil and hepatitis B immune globulin. Transplantation 1999; 68:1912.
- 86. Mutimer D, Feraz-Neto BH, Harrison R, et al. Acute liver graft failure due to emergence of lamivudine resistant hepatitis B virus: rapid resolution during treatment with adefovir. Gut 2001; 49:860.
- 87. Walsh KM, Woodall T, Lamy P, et al. Successful treatment with adefovir dipivoxil in a patient with fibrosing cholestatic hepatitis and lamivudine resistant hepatitis B virus. Gut 2001; 49:436.
- 88. Angus P, Vaughan R, Xiong S, et al. Resistance to adefovir dipivoxil therapy associated with the selection of a novel mutation in the HBV polymerase. Gastroenterology 2003; 125:292.
- 89. Shakil AO, Lilly L, Angus P, Gerken G, Thomas N. Entecavir reduces viral load in liver transplant patients who have failed prophylaxis or treatment for hepatitis B (abstract). Hepatology 2001; 34[Suppl]:619.

- 90. Van Bommel F, Wunsche T, Schurmann D, Berg T. Tenofovir treatment in patients with lamivudine-resistant hepatitis B mutants strongly affects viral replication. Hepatology 2002; 36:507.
- Crippin J, Foster B, Carlen S, Borcich A, Bodenheimer H. Retransplantation in hepatitis B—a multicenter experience. Transplantation 1994; 57:823.
- 92. Roche B, Samuel D, Feray C, et al. Retransplantation of the liver for recurrent hepatitis B virus infection: the Paul Brousse experience. Liver Transpl Surg 1999; 5:166.
- 93. Steinmuller T, Seehofer D, Rayes N, et al. Increasing applicability of liver transplantation for patients with hepatitis B-related liver disease. Hepatology 2002; 35:1528.