A.J. Robertson M.Rela J.Karani A.C. Steger I.S. Benjamin N.D. Heaton

Laparoscopic cholecystectomy injury: an unusual indication for liver transplantation

Received: 28 April 1998 Received after revision: 7 July 1998 Accepted: 8 July 1998

A.J. Robertson · M.Rela J. Karani · A.C. Steger I.S. Benjamin · N. D. Heaton (☑) Liver Transplant Surgical Service and Department of Surgery, King's College Hospital, Denmark Hill London SE5 9RS, UK Fax: + 44 171 346 3575 Abstract The introduction of laparoscopic cholecystectomy has been associated with a rise in the number of reported bile duct injuries (0.3%-0.8%). Significant vascular injuries are rare (0.16%), but may lead to life-threatening complications. We present a case report of a patient undergoing transplantion for a laparoscopic cholecystectomy injury.

Key words Laparoscopic cholecystectomy, bile duct injury, liver transplantation · Bile duct injury, laparoscopic cholecystectomy, liver transplantation · Liver transplantation, laparoscopic cholecystectomy, bile duct injury

Introduction

Bile duct injury is reported to occur in 0.3%-0.8% of patients after laparoscopic cholecystectomy [7, 8, 16] and in 0.06% after open cholecystectomy [7], and it is the most common major complication of laparoscopic cholecystectomy [14]. Vascular injury to portal vessels is reported to occur in 0.16% of laparoscopic cholecystectomies and can lead to life-threatening complications [6]. We report a case of porta hepatis injury following laparoscopic cholecystectomy that resulted in secondary biliary cirrhosis and ultimately required liver transplantation.

Case report

A 40-year-old woman underwent elective laparoscopic cholecystectomy, which was complicated by bleeding and required conversion to laparotomy. Fourteen days later she developed abdominal distension, and ultrasound demonstrated free intraperitoneal fluid and dilated intrahepatic ducts. The following day she underwent laparotomy for biliary peritonitis. At this time the common bile duct (CBD) was not identified, but the hepatic artery and portal vein were felt to be intact. She was referred for further management.

Percutaneous transhepatic cholangiogram demonstrated transection of the common hepatic duct and CT scan showed infarction of segment V and patchy necrosis of the left lobe of the liver. Laparotomy confirmed these findings, but the hepatic artery and extrahepatic bile ducts could not be identified. Segment V was debrided and drains were inserted to control the biliary fistula. Subsequent angiography showed an occluded common hepatic artery and a clip on the left branch of the portal vein. The postoperative period was complicated by sepsis and acute liver failure. Liver transplantation (OLT) was discussed at this stage but it was felt that conservative management could be continued. The patient recovered from acute liver failure but required prolonged hospitalisation with sepsis and a biliary fistula.

The patient was discharged 5 months postoperatively, but a month later was readmitted with jaundice (serum bilirubin 114 μ mol/l, normal 3–20 μ mol/l) and raised alkaline phosphatase (464 IU/l, normal 30–120 IU/l) and aspartate aminotransferase (AST; 130 IU/l, normal 10–50 IU/l). Ultrasound demonstrated right and left bile duct dilatation and bile cultures grew *Pseudomonas*. Laparotomy revealed an atrophic left liver, absent left branch of the portal vein and a cavity within the liver extending from the porta hepatis. A Roux loop was anastomosed to the cavity entrance forming a "hepato-jejunostomy".

One year after her original operation the patient became progressively jaundiced with intractable pruritus and poor synthetic function; liver biopsy revealed secondary biliary cirrhosis. Angiography demonstrated a fine network of collateral vessels running up to the porta hepatis with varices. The patient underwent transplantation 20 months following laparoscopic cholecystectomy. There were dense adhesions and a large abscess of the right lobe of the liver. OLT was performed with venovenous bypass and arterial reconstruction was performed using an infrarenal iliac conduit. Four days post-transplant, hepatic angiography performed for graft dysfunction revealed thrombosis of the arterial conduit. The patient underwent emergency retransplantation 4 days later with a new iliac artery conduit. Postoperatively she was anticoagulated with heparin for 3 weeks and then placed on aspirin. A retrohepatic haematoma was drained percutaneously and ganciclovir was required for cytomegalovirus infection. The patient made a good recovery and was discharged home at 8 weeks on cyclosporin, azathioprine and prednisolone. Apart from surgical revision of the hepatico-jejunostomy for an anastomotic biliary stricture, she remains well with normal liver function 27 months later.

Discussion

Major biliary injury is well recognised as a rare, but serious, complication following laparoscopic cholecystectomy. There has been a five-to-tenfold increase in the rate of bile duct injury with the introduction of laparoscopic cholecystectomy [4, 6, 8, 12, 14, 16, 18, 19]. Although initially blamed on a "learning curve", the trend continues [16] with a reported incidence of 0.7% in the West of Scotland [8] and 0.8% in the Netherlands [16], and few centres have avoided this complication [10]. Bile duct damage may result from inadvertent division, total or partial occlusion by clips [11] or from the use of diathermy [2] resulting in a high injury to the common bile duct or right hepatic duct. The role of operative cholangiography in protecting or at least recognising a duct injury has been debated [9, 14], but it continues to be performed by the minority of surgeons [6].

Major ductal injury accounts for a significant proportion of all biliary injuries [3] and is usually recognised at surgery, but some will present with postoperative biliary peritonitis. Hepatico-jejunostomy using a Roux-en-Y anastomosis is the treatment of choice to re-establish biliary drainage either at the time of injury or at a second operation [15]. If recognition of the injury is delayed, it may be preferable to control the bile leak with drains to allow acute inflammation and infection to settle, and to perform a hepatico-jejunostomy as an elective procedure [3]

Vascular injury at the time of laparoscopic cholecystectomy is not well described, but the consequences of such injuries have been reported [17]. Classically the injury is to the right hepatic artery (RHA) [13], but occasionally to the portal vein [6]. Ligation of the RHA resulting in right liver necrosis, sepsis and OLT has been described once [1]. Major ductal injury in combination with major vascular injury is potentially lethal, but fortunately rare [5, 13]. In this case the entire extrahepatic biliary tree and common hepatic artery were injured with a clip having been applied across the left branch of the portal vein, presumably at the original operation. This resulted in biliary peritonitis and ischaemic liver necrosis. Reasonable liver function was achieved for 1 year with drainage and subsequent "hepato-jejunostomy". Thereafter, gradual deterioration necessitated liver transplantation. In 1991, the prospect of liver transplantation for secondary biliary cirrhosis as a consequence of laparoscopic injury was raised [9], but we believe this is the first reported case in the United Kingdom.

References

- 1. Bacha EA, Stieber AC, Galloway JR, Hunter JG (1994) Non-biliary complication of laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Lancet 344: 896–897
- Belkhodja C, Porte H, Quandalle P (1995) Pedicular traumas during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Ann Chir 49: 149–154
- Bergman JJGHM, Brink GR van den, Rauws EAJ, Wit L de, Obertop H, Huibregtse K, Tytgat GNJ, Gouma DJ (1996) Treatment of bile duct lesions after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Gut 38: 141–147
- Cox MR, Wilson TG, Jeans PL, Padbury RT, Toouli J (1994) Minimising the risk of bile duct injury at laparoscopic cholecystectomy. World J Surg 18: 422–427
- Davidoff AM, Pappas TN, Murray EA, Hilleran DJ, Johnson RD, Baker ME, Newman GE, Cotton PB, Meyers WC (1992) Mechanisms of major biliary injury during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Ann Surg 215: 196–202
- Deziel DJ, Millikan KW, Economou SG, Doolas A, Ko ST, Airan MC (1993) Complications of laparoscopic cholecystectomy: a national survey of 4,292 hospitals and an analysis of 77,604 cases. Am J Surg 165: 9–14
- Dunn D, Nair R, Fowler S, McCloy R (1994) Laparoscopic cholecystectomy in England and Wales: results of an audit by the Royal College of Surgeons of England. Ann R Coll Surg Engl 76: 269–275
- Fullarton GM, Bell G, and the West of Scotland laparoscopic cholecystectomy audit group (1994) Prospective audit of the introduction of laparoscopic cholecystectomy in the West of Scotland. Gut 35: 1121–1126

- 9. Garden OJ (1991) Iatrogenic injury to the bile duct. Br J Surg 78: 1412–1413
- Hardy KJ, Miller H, Fletcher DR, Jones RM, Shulkes A, McNeil JJ (1994) An evaluation of laparoscopic versus open cholecystectomy. Med J Aust 160: 58–62
- 11. Hobbs KEF (1995) Laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Gut 36: 161-164
- 12. Joseph MG, Eyre-Brook I (1994) A modification of dissection technique for a safer laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Aust N Z J Surg 64: 626–627
- Madariaga JR, Dodson SF, Selby R, Todo S, Iwatsuki S, Starzl TE (1994) Corrective treatment and anatomic considerations for laparoscopic cholecystectomy injuries. J Am Coll Surg 179: 321–325

- 14. Rantis PC, Greenlee HB, Pickleman J, Prinz RA (1993) Laparoscopic cholecystectomy bile duct injuries: more than meets the eye. Am Surg 59: 533–540
- Roy AF, Passi RB, Lapointe RW, Mc-Alister VC, Dagenais MH, Wall WJ (1993) Bile duct injury during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Can J Surg 36: 509–516
- 16. Schol FPG, Go PMNYH, Gouma DJ (1994) Risk factors for bile duct injury in laparoscopic cholecystectomy: analysis of 49 cases. Br J Surg 81: 1786–1788
- 17. Stewart BT, Abraham RJ, Thomson KR, Collier NA (1995) Post-cholecystectomy haemobilia: enjoying a renaissance in the laparoscopic era? Aust N Z J Surg 65: 185–188
- The Southern Surgeons Club (1991) A prospective analysis of 1518 laparoscopic cholecystectomies. N Engl J Med 324: 1073–1078
- Wexler MJ, Hinchey EJ, Sampalis J, Barkun J (1993) Canadian laparoscopic surgery survey. Can J Surg 36: 217–224